

## MAT 310 Linear Algebra Midterm 2 Solutions

1. Write a complete, clear and correct proof of the following statement:  
Given  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  eigenvectors of a linear operator; if their associated eigenvalues are different, then  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are linearly independent.

**Solution.** (This is an abridged version of a proof in the book). Let  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  be the corresponding eigenvalues. If  $T$  is the operator, then  $Tv_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$  and  $Tv_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$ . Suppose a linear relation  $a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 = 0$ . Since  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , being eigenvectors, are not zero, both  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are nonzero. Then for example  $v_1 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1} v_2$ . so  $Tv_1 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1} Tv_2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1} \lambda_2 v_2$ ; on the other hand,  $Tv_1 = \lambda_1 v_1 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1} \lambda_1 v_2$ . Since everything else is nonzero,  $\lambda_1$  must equal  $\lambda_2$ , a contradiction. So there is no such linear relation, and  $v_1, v_2$  are linearly independent.

2. Consider the linear operator  $T$  defined in the standard basis  $(1, 0), (0, 1)$  by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 11 & -4 \\ 30 & -11 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Take  $v = (1, 0)$  and note that  $T(v) = (11, 30)$  and  $T^2(v) = (1, 0)$ , so  $T$  satisfies the equation  $(T^2 - I)v = 0$ . Factor  $T^2 - I$  as  $(T - aI)(T - bI)$ . When you have calculated  $a$  and  $b$ , apply  $(T - bI)$  and then  $(T - aI)$  to  $v$  to obtain an eigenvector for  $T$ , as in the proof in the text that every operator on a complex vector space has an eigenvector.

**Solution.** The polynomial  $x^2 - 1$  factors as  $(x + 1)(x - 1)$ , so  $T^2 - I = (T + I)(T - I)$ , giving  $a = -1, b = 1$  in the statement of the problem. If we apply

$$T - I = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -4 \\ 30 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$$

to  $v = (1, 0)$  we get  $(10, 30)$ . This is an eigenvector, with eigenvalue  $-1$  as can be checked. (If we applied

$$T + I = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -4 \\ 30 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

instead, we would get  $(12, 30)$ , an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $1$ .) Similar analysis for the other form of this problem, where  $v = (0, 1)$ .

3. Give an example of a linear operator on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which is not diagonalizable but can be put in upper- triangular form.

**Solution.** Suppose  $T$  is an operator which with respect to some basis is in upper-triangular form, with matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}.$$

We know that the diagonal entries are eigenvalues of  $T$ , and that if they are distinct the corresponding eigenvectors are linearly independent; using those eigenvectors as basis gives a diagonal matrix. So  $a$  and  $b$  must be equal. If  $c = 0$  then our matrix is diagonal. So take for example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We check this matrix is not diagonalizable. The only eigenvalue is 1; a possible eigenvector must satisfy

$$A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + y \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first components give  $x + y = x$  so  $y = 0$ . So any eigenvector is a multiple of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . There cannot be two linearly independent vectors, so this transformation is not diagonalizable.