

Calculus Solutions: Chapter 1.1

Aaron Peterson, Stephen Taylor

December 21, 2005

1. Under what conditions is the union of two intervals another interval? Does your answer depend on the type of interval (open, closed, infinite)?

Solution:

The union of any two intervals is another interval if the two intervals are not disjoint and contain at least one common element. For a more explicit argument, consider the two open intervals

$$(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | a < x < b\} \quad (c, d) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | c < x < d\}$$

and suppose each contain a common point $e \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \cup (c, d) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} | a < x \leq e\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} | e < x \leq b\} \\ &\quad \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} | c < x \leq e\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} | e \leq x < d\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} | a < x \leq e\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} | e < x < d\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | a < x < d\} = (a, d) \end{aligned}$$

The answer does not depend on the type of interval, and parallel proofs of the above may be created for combinations of open, closed, and infinite intervals.

□

Use the properties of the real number system given in this section to prove the following; you may also use each result to prove subsequent results, if appropriate.

4a. (ii) For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, assume that if $a = b$, then $a + c = b + c$ and $ab = bc$. Prove the cancelation law: if $ac = bc$ and $c \neq 0$, then $a = b$.

Solution:

We first note that since $c \neq 0$, by property 5 on page 4 of the text, c has a multiplicative inverse $1/c$ such that $c \cdot (1/c) = 1$. Thus we multiply both sides of the above equation by the inverse element $1/c$ and obtain

$$\frac{1}{c}(ac) = \frac{1}{c}(bc)$$

Applying property 1d on page 4 we find

$$(ac)\frac{1}{c} = (bc)\frac{1}{c}$$

Applying property 1b we have

$$a\left(c \cdot \frac{1}{c}\right) = b\left(c \cdot \frac{1}{c}\right)$$

and finally noting that by definition of the multiplicative inverse of c , $c \cdot \frac{1}{c} = 1$, we see

$$a = b$$

□

4c. Show for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ that $a \cdot 0 = 0$.

Solution:

From property 2, we note that the set \mathbb{R} has an additive identity element denoted 0 such that if $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$a + 0 = a$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by a we find

$$a \cdot a + a \cdot 0 = a \cdot a$$

By property 4, the element $a \cdot a \in \mathbb{R}$ has an additive inverse $-(a \cdot a)$ such that $a \cdot a - (a \cdot a) = 0$. Adding this additive inverse to both sides of the above equation yields

$$-(a \cdot a) + a \cdot a + a \cdot 0 = -(a \cdot a) + a \cdot a$$

$$a \cdot 0 = 0$$

□

4e. Prove that $0 < 1$ by carrying out the following steps:

- i. Show that if $0 = 1$, then for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = 0$. Conclude that $0 \neq 1$.
- ii. Show that if $1 < 0$, then $0 < -1$ and hence $-1 < 0$. Conclude that $1 < 0$ is impossible.
- iii. Conclude that $0 < 1$, and explain why.

Solution:

Suppose that the multiplicative and additive identity elements of \mathbb{R} are equal, ($1 = 0$). Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider

$$a \cdot 1 = a \cdot 0$$

By Property 3 on page 4 and exercise 4c the above equation becomes

$$a = 0$$

Thus $a = 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since, $a = 3 \in \mathbb{R}$ this is clearly a contradiction. Therefore $1 \neq 0$.

Consider the following lemma

Lemma 1. *If $\{a, r, t\} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < 0, r < t$, then $ar > at$.*

Proof:

Let $a = -A$ and note $A > 0$. Then since $t > r$ we find

$$t - r > 0 \Rightarrow -(r - t) > 0$$

Applying property 6d on page 4 we have

$$-A(r - t) > 0 \cdot A = 0$$

which by definition of A yields

$$a(r - t) > 0 \Rightarrow ar > at$$

□

Now suppose $1 < 0$. By the above lemma this implies $-1 \cdot 1 = -1 > -1 \cdot 0 = 0$. Thus $0 < -1$. Adding this result to our assumption, we find $1 < -1$. Thus by exercise 4d(ii) there exists a $c > 0$ such that $1 + c = -1$. Solving we find $c = -2 \not> 0$. Thus we have a contradiction and we may conclude $1 \not< 0$.

Thus since $1 \neq 0$ and $1 \not< 0$ we conclude by property 6a on page 4 that $0 < 1$.

□

Give the interval in which x lies if

7b. $|x - 5| < 1.5$

Solution:

Rewriting the inequality, we have

$$\pm(x - 5) < 1.5$$

This yields the two equations

$$x - 5 < 1.5 \quad \text{and} \quad x - 5 > -1.5$$

Solving we find $x < 6.5$ and $x > 3.5$, or $3.5 < x < 6.5$. Putting this in interval notation $x \in (3.5, 6.5)$.

□

7d. $|x + 4| < 0.001$

Using the same method as in the previous problem we find $-4.001 < x < -3.999$ or in interval notation $x \in (-4.001, -3.999)$.

□

9. Prove that if S has a greatest lower bound, it is unique.

Solution:

Let S have a greatest lower bound L , and suppose there exists another greatest lower bound L' of S such that $L' \neq L$. By property 6d on page 4, either $L < L'$, $L > L'$, or $L = L'$. Suppose $L < L'$. Then L is not a greatest lower bound, since L' is also a lower bound of S but is larger than L . Similarly if $L' < L$, then L' is not a greatest lower bound. Therefore the only other option is $L = L'$, which shows the uniqueness of the greatest lower bound.

□

Give the least upper bounds and the greatest lower bound of the following subsets of \mathbb{R} . If a bound does not state it.

11b. $(0, 1)$

Solution:

The least upper bound of this set is 1. The greatest lower bound is 0.

□

11d. $\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$

Solution:

The least upper bound of this set is 1. The greatest lower bound is 0.

□

11f. $\{x | x > 0 \text{ and } x^2 < 2\}$

Solution:

We note this set is a representation of the interval $(0, \sqrt{2})$. Thus the least upper bound is 0 and the greatest lower bound is $\sqrt{2}$.

□

13. Let S be a nonempty, finite set of real numbers.

- a) Explain why S is bounded.
- b) What is the least upper bound of S ?
- c) What is the greatest lower bound of S ?

Solution:

Let the total number of elements of S be n . Since the real numbers are well ordered, (for any two real numbers a and b either $a = b$, $a < b$, or $b > a$). we may represent S as follows

$$S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$$

where the s_i are the n elements of S and they are ordered such that $s_i \geq s_{i+1}$ for all i . Then S must be bounded since $s_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and is greater than or equal s_i for all i . Moreover, s_n is the least upper bound of the set. Similarly, s_1 is the greater lower bound of S .

□