

# The Schottky Problem

Samuel Grushevsky

Princeton University

January 29, 2009 — MSRI

## What is the Schottky problem?

Schottky problem is the following question (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ):

*Which principally polarized abelian varieties  
are Jacobians of curves?*

$\mathcal{M}_g$	moduli space of curves $C$ of genus $g$
$\mathcal{A}_g$	moduli space of $g$ -dimensional abelian varieties $(A, \Theta)$ (complex principally polarized)
$Jac : \mathcal{M}_g \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$	Torelli map
$\mathcal{J}_g := Jac(\mathcal{M}_g)$	locus of Jacobians

(Recall that  $A$  is a projective variety with a group structure;  $\Theta$  is an ample divisor on  $A$  with  $h^0(A, \Theta) = 1$ ;  $Jac(C) = \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \simeq \text{Pic}^0(C)$ )

### Schottky problem.

Describe/characterize  $\mathcal{J}_g \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ .

## Why might we care about the Schottky problem?

- Relates two important moduli spaces. Lots of beautiful geometry arises in this study. A “good” answer could help relate the geometry of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  and  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .
- Could have applications to problems about curves easily stated in terms of the Jacobian:

### Coleman's conjecture

For  $g$  sufficiently large there are finitely many curves of genus  $g$  such that their Jacobians have complex multiplication.

### Stronger conjecture (+ Andre-Oort $\implies$ Coleman).

There do not exist any complex geodesics for the natural metric on  $\mathcal{A}_g$  that are contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{J}_g}$  (and intersect  $\mathcal{J}_g$ ).

[Work on this by Möller-Viehweg-Zuo; Hain, Toledo...]

- (Super)string scattering amplitudes [D'Hoker-Phong], ...

## Dimension counts

$g$	$\dim \mathcal{M}_g$		$\dim \mathcal{A}_g$			
1	1	=	1			
2	3	=	3	$\mathcal{M}_g = \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indecomposable}}$		
3	6	=	6			
4	9	+1 =	10	Schottky's original equation		
5	12	+3 =	15	Partial results		
$g$	$3g - 3$	$+$	$\frac{(g-3)(g-2)}{2}$	=	$\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$	“weak” solutions (up to extra components)

## Classical (Riemann-Schottky) approach

Embed  $\mathcal{A}_g$  into  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and write equations for the image of  $\mathcal{J}_g$ .

$\mathcal{H}_g :=$  Siegel upper half-space of dimension  $g$   
 $= \{\tau \in \text{Mat}_{g \times g}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \tau^t = -\tau, \text{Im}\tau > 0\}$ .

Given  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ , have  $A_\tau := \mathbb{C}^g / (\tau\mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g) \in \mathcal{A}_g$ .

For  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  let  $\gamma \circ \tau := (C\tau + D)^{-1}(A\tau + B)$ .

Claim:  $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{H}_g / \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ .

### Definition

A **modular form of weight  $k$**  with respect to  $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  is a function  $F : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$F(\gamma \circ \tau) = \det(C\tau + D)^k F(\tau) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$$

### Definition

For  $\varepsilon, \delta \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g$  (or  $m = \tau\varepsilon + \delta \in A_\tau[n]$ ) the **theta function with characteristic  $\varepsilon, \delta$  or  $m$**  is

$$\theta_m(\tau, z) := \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \left[ \pi i (N + \varepsilon, \tau(N + \varepsilon)) + 2\pi i (N + \varepsilon, z + \delta) \right]$$

- As a function of  $z$ ,  $\theta_m(\tau, z)$  is a section of  $t_m\Theta$  ( $t_m =$ translate by  $m$ ) on  $A_\tau$ , so  $\theta_m(\tau, z)^n$  is a section of  $n\Theta$ .
- For  $n = 2$ ,  $\theta_m(\tau, z)$  is even/odd in  $z$  depending on whether  $4\varepsilon \cdot \delta$  is even/odd. For  $m$  odd  $\theta_m(\tau, 0) \equiv 0$ .

### Definition

For  $\varepsilon \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g$  the **theta function of the second order** is

$$\Theta[\varepsilon](\tau, z) := \theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2\tau, 2z).$$

- Theta functions of the second order generate  $H^0(A_\tau, 2\Theta)$ .

- Theta constants  $\theta_m(\tau, 0)$  are modular forms of weight  $1/2$  for a certain finite index normal subgroup  $\Gamma(2n, 4n) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- Theta constants of the second order  $\Theta[\varepsilon](\tau, 0)$  are modular forms of weight  $1/2$  for  $\Gamma(2, 4)$ .

### Theorem (Igusa, Mumford, Salvati Manni)

For any  $n \geq 2$  theta constants embed

$$\mathcal{A}_g(2n, 4n) := \mathcal{H}_g / \Gamma(2n, 4n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n^{2g}-1}$$

$$\tau \mapsto \left\{ \theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\}_{\text{all } \varepsilon, \delta \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g}$$

- Theta constants of the second order define a generically injective  $Th : \mathcal{A}_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2^g-1}$  (conjecturally an embedding).

### Classical Riemann-Schottky problem

Write the defining equations for

$$\overline{Th(\mathcal{J}_g(2, 4))} \subset \overline{Th(\mathcal{A}_g(2, 4))} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2^g-1}.$$

$g$	$\deg Th(\mathcal{J}_g(2, 4))$	$\deg Th(\mathcal{A}_g(2, 4))$
1	1	1
2	1	1
3	16	16
4	208896	$16 \cdot 13056$

### Theorem (Schottky, Igusa)

The defining equation for  $\mathcal{J}_4 \subset \mathcal{A}_4$  is

$$F_4 := 2^4 \sum_{m \in A[2]} \theta_m^{16}(\tau) - \left( \sum_{m \in A[2]} \theta_m^8(\tau) \right)^2$$

### Open Problem

Construct all geodesics for the metric on  $\mathcal{A}_4$  contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_4}$ .

In terms of lattice theta functions,

$$\sum \theta_m^{16}(\tau) = \theta_{D_{16}^+}(\tau), \quad \sum \theta_m^8(\tau) = \theta_{E_8}(\tau).$$

Physics conjecture (Belavin, Knizhnik, D'Hoker-Phong, ...)

The ...  $SO(32)$  ... type ... superstring theory ... and ...  $E_8 \times E_8$  theory ... are dual, thus ... expectation values of ... are equal ..., so  $\theta_{D_{16}^+} \simeq \theta_{E_8 \times E_8}$ , and thus

$$F_g := 2^g \sum \theta_m^{16} - \left( \sum \theta_m^8 \right)^2$$

vanishes on  $\mathcal{J}_g$  for any  $g$  (this is true for  $g \leq 4$ ).

Theorem (G.-Salvati Manni)

This conjecture is **false** for any  $g \geq 5$ .

In fact the zero locus of  $F_5$  on  $\mathcal{M}_5$  is the divisor of trigonal curves.

$g$	$\deg Th(\mathcal{J}_g(2, 4))$	$\deg Th(\mathcal{A}_g(2, 4))$
1	1	1
2	1	1
3	16	16
4	208896	13056
5	282654670848	1234714624
6	23303354757572198400	25653961176383488
7	87534047502300588892024209408	197972857997555419746140160

These are the top self-intersection numbers of  $\lambda_1/2$  on  $\mathcal{M}_g$  and  $\mathcal{A}_g$  times the degree of  $\mathcal{A}_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ . (G., using Faber's algorithm)

Corollary

$Th(\mathcal{J}_g(2, 4)) \subset Th(\mathcal{A}_g(2, 4))$  is not a complete intersection for  $g = 5, 6, 7$ . (previously proven by Faber)

Challenge

Write at least one (nice/invariant) modular form vanishing on  $\mathcal{J}_5$ .

# The hyperelliptic Schottky problem

## Theorem (Mumford, Poor)

For any  $g$  there exist sets of characteristics  $S_1, \dots, S_N \subset \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  such that  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_g$  is the period matrix of a hyperelliptic Jacobian ( $\tau \in \text{Hyp}_g$ ) if and only if for some  $1 \leq i \leq N$

$$\forall m \quad \{\theta_m(\tau) = 0 \iff m \in S_i\}$$

## Schottky-Jung approach (H. Farkas-Rauch)

### Definition

The **Prym variety** for an étale double cover  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  of  $C \in \mathcal{M}_g$  (given by a point  $\eta \in \text{Jac}(C)[2] \setminus \{0\}$ ) is

$$\text{Prym}(C, \eta) := \text{Ker}_0(\text{Jac}(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(C)) \in \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$$

Denote  $\mathcal{P}_g \subset \mathcal{A}_g$  the **Prym locus**.

### Theorem (Schottky-Jung, Farkas-Rauch proportionality)

Let  $\tau$  be the period matrix of  $C$  and let  $\pi$  be the period matrix of the Prym (for the simplest choice of  $\eta$ ). Then

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{bmatrix}(\pi)^2 = \text{const} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \cdot \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon \\ 1 & \delta \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \quad \forall \varepsilon, \delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{g-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{g-1}$$

Using this allows us to get some equations for  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{J}_g(2, 4))$  from equations for  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{A}_{g-1}(2, 4))$ .

## Theorem (van Geemen / Donagi)

The locus  $\mathcal{J}_g$  is an irreducible component of the small / big Schottky-Jung locus — the locus obtained by taking the ideal of equations defining  $Th(\mathcal{A}_{g-1}(2, 4))$  and applying the proportionality for all / for just one double covers(s)  $\eta$ .

## Conjecture

$\mathcal{J}_5$  is equal to the “small” (i.e., if we take all  $\eta$ ) Schottky-Jung locus in genus 5.

- The locus of intermediate Jacobians of cubic threefolds is contained in the “big” (if we take just one  $\eta$ ) Schottky-Jung locus in genus 5.
- For  $g \geq 7$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{g-1}} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$ , so may have more equations  $\Rightarrow$  need to solve the Prym Schottky problem if the above is not enough.

## Equations for theta constants: recap

- + We get explicit algebraic equations for theta constants.

We do get the one defining equation for  $\mathcal{J}_4$ .

Get 8 conjectural defining equations for  $\mathcal{J}_5$  [Accola] that involve lots of combinatorics, unlike the defining equation  $F_4$  for  $\mathcal{J}_4$ .

- We do not really know  $Th(\mathcal{A}_{g-1}(2, 4))$  entirely (though we do know many elements of the ideal).

This is so far a “weak” (i.e., up to extra components) solution to the Schottky problem.

Boundary degeneration of Pryms is hard  
[Alexeev-Birkenhake-Hulek]

## Singularities of the theta divisor approach

For  $C \in \text{Hyp}_g$  have  $\dim(\text{Sing } \Theta_{\text{Jac}(C)}) = g - 3$ .

For  $C \in \mathcal{M}_g \setminus \text{Hyp}_g$  have  $\dim(\text{Sing } \Theta_{\text{Jac}(C)}) = g - 4$ .

(By Riemann's theta singularity theorem)

### Definition (Andreotti-Mayer loci)

$$N_k := \left\{ (A, \Theta) \in \mathcal{A}_g \mid \dim \text{Sing } \Theta \geq k \right\}$$

### Theorem (Andreotti-Mayer)

$\text{Hyp}_g$  is an irreducible component of  $N_{g-3}$ .

$\mathcal{J}_g$  is an irreducible component of  $N_{g-4}$ .

### Theorem (Debarre)

$\mathcal{P}_g$  is an irreducible component of  $N_{g-6}$ .

## Andreotti-Mayer divisor $N_0$

- $N_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_g$  [Andreotti-Mayer]
- $N_0$  is a divisor in  $\mathcal{A}_g$  [Beauville]
- $N_0 = 2N'_0 \cup \theta_{\text{null}}$ , two irreducible components [Debarre]

### Definition (Theta-null divisor)

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\text{null}} &:= \left\{ \tau \mid \prod_{\varepsilon, \delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g \text{ even}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (A, \Theta) \in \mathcal{A}_g \mid A[2]^{\text{even}} \cap \Theta \neq \emptyset \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- $N_1 \subsetneq N_0$ ,  $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{A}_g} N_1 \geq 2$  [Mumford]
- $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{A}_g} N_1 \geq 3$  [Ciliberto-van der Geer]



### Conjecture (Beauville, Debarre, ...)

$$N_{g-3} = \text{Hyp}_g; \quad N_{g-4} \setminus \mathcal{J}_g \subset \theta_{\text{null}} \quad \text{within } \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$$

Thus interested in  $\mathcal{J}_g \cap \theta_{\text{null}}$ .

For genus 4 have  $N_0 = \mathcal{J}_4 \cup \theta_{\text{null}}$ , so  $\mathcal{J}_4 \setminus \theta_{\text{null}} = N_0 \setminus \theta_{\text{null}}$ .

### Conjecture (H. Farkas)

Theorem (G.-Salvati Manni; Smith-Varley)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_4 \cap \theta_{\text{null}} &= \left\{ \exists m \in A[2]^{\text{even}} \quad \theta(\tau, m) = \det_{i,j} \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} \theta(\tau, m) = 0 \right\} \\ &= \exists m \in A[2]^{\text{even}} \cap \Theta; \quad TC_m \Theta \text{ has rank } \leq 3 \quad =: \theta_{\text{null}}^3 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (G.-Salvati Manni, Smith-Varley + Debarre)

$$(\mathcal{J}_g \cap \theta_{\text{null}}) \subset \theta_{\text{null}}^3 \subset \theta_{\text{null}}^{g-1} \subset (\theta_{\text{null}} \cap N'_0) \subset \text{Sing } N_0$$

## More questions on $N_k$

Note that  $\Theta_{A_1 \times A_2} = (\Theta_{A_1} \times A_2) \cup (A_1 \times \Theta_{A_2})$ .

Thus  $\text{Sing}(\Theta_{A_1 \times A_2}) \supset \Theta_{A_1} \times \Theta_{A_2}$ .

### Conjecture (Arbarello-De Concini)

Theorem (Ein-Lazarsfeld)

$$N_{g-2} = \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{decomposable}}$$

### Conjecture (Ciliberto-van der Geer)

$$\text{codim}_{\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}} N_k \geq \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

### Question

Is it possible that  $N_k = N_{k+1}$  for some  $k$ ?

# Multiplicity of the theta divisor

## Theorem (Kollár)

For any  $(A, \Theta) \in \mathcal{A}_g$ , any  $z \in A$  we have  $\text{mult}_z \Theta \leq g$ .

## Theorem (Smith-Varley)

If  $\text{mult}_z \Theta = g$ , then  $A = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_g$ .

## Conjecture

For  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$  and any  $z \in A$ ,  $\text{mult}_z \Theta \leq \left\lfloor \frac{g+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ .

- The bound holds and is achieved for Jacobians [Riemann]
- The bound holds and is achieved for Pryms [Mumford, Smith-Varley, Casalaina-Martin]
- Thus the conjecture is true for  $g \leq 5$  ( $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_5 = \mathcal{A}_5$ )

## Andreotti-Mayer approach: recap

- + Geometric conditions for an abelian variety to be a Jacobian.  
Geometric solution in genus 4.
- $\dim \text{Sing } \Theta_\tau$  hard to compute for an explicitly given  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ .  
Only a weak solution (at least so far) in higher genera.

## Curves of small homology class

For Jacobians have the Abel-Jacobi curve  $C \hookrightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ .

### Theorem (Matsusaka-Ran)

If  $\exists C \subset A$  of "minimal" class  $\frac{\Theta^{g-1}}{(g-1)!}$ , then  $A = \text{Jac}(C)$

For Pryms the Abel-Prym curve  $\tilde{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Jac}(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \text{Prym}(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C)$ .

### Theorem (Welters)

If  $\exists C \subset A$  of homology class  $2 \frac{\Theta^{g-1}}{(g-1)!}$ , then  $A$  is a Prym (or a degeneration, technical details, ...)

Here we start with a curve and solve an easier version of Schottky:  
Given  $C \subset A$ , is  $A = \text{Jac}(C)$ ?

## Geometry of the Kummer variety

### Definition

The **Kummer variety** is the image of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kum} &:= |2\Theta| : A_\tau / \pm 1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2g-1} \\ z &\rightarrow \{ \Theta[\varepsilon](\tau, z) \}_{\text{all } \varepsilon \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g} \end{aligned}$$

### Trisecant formula (Fay, Gunning)

$\forall p, p_1, p_2, p_3 \in C \subset \text{Jac}(C) = \text{Pic}^0(C)$  the following are collinear:

$$\text{Kum}(p+p_1-p_2-p_3), \text{Kum}(p+p_2-p_1-p_3), \text{Kum}(p+p_3-p_1-p_2) \quad (*)$$

### Theorem (Gunning)

If for some  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$  there exist infinitely many  $p$  such that  $(*)$  ( $p_i$  fixed, in general position), then  $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ .

This is a solution to the Schottky problem, already given a curve.

## “Getting rid” of the points of secancy

### “Multi” secant formula (Gunning)

For any  $1 \leq k \leq g$  and for any

$p_1, \dots, p_{k+2}, q_1, \dots, q_k \in C \subset \text{Jac}(C)$  the  $k+2$  points

$$\text{Kum}(2p_j + \sum_{i=1}^k q_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} p_i), \quad j = 1 \dots k+2$$

are linearly dependent.

Note  $\text{Sym}^g C \twoheadrightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ , use  $k = g$  above. The converse is

### Conjecture (Buchstaber-Krichever)

#### Theorem (G., Pareschi-Popa)

Given  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_{g+2} \in A$  in general position, if

$$\forall z \in A \quad \{\text{Kum}(2p_i + z)\}_{i=1 \dots g+2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2g-1}$$

are linearly dependent, then  $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ .

### Trisecant Conjecture (Welters)

#### Theorem (Krichever)

If  $\text{Kum}(A)$  has a trisecant, for  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ .

- No general position assumption: only that the points of secancy are not in  $A[2]$ , so that  $\text{Kum}(A)$  is smooth at them.
- Also true for degenerate trisecants, i.e., the existence of a
  - Semidegenerate trisecant: a line tangent to  $\text{Kum}(A)$  at a point not in  $A[2]$  intersecting  $\text{Kum}(A)$  at another point
  - or
  - Flex line: a line tangent to  $\text{Kum}(A)$  at a point not in  $A[2]$  with multiplicity 3

implies that  $A$  is a Jacobian.

## Kummer images of Prym varieties

### Theorem (Fay, Beauville-Debarre)

For any  $p, p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \tilde{C} \rightarrow \text{Prym}(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C)$  the points

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Kum}(p + p_1 + p_2 + p_3), & \text{Kum}(p + p_1 - p_2 - p_3), \\ & \text{Kum}(p + p_2 - p_1 - p_3), & \text{Kum}(p + p_3 - p_1 - p_2) \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

lie on a 2-plane in  $\mathbb{P}^{2g-1}$ .

### Theorem (Debarre)

If for some  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$  there exist infinitely many  $p$  such that  $(**)$  ( $p_i$  fixed and in general position), then  $A \in \mathcal{P}_g$ .

### Example (Beauville-Debarre)

There exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g \setminus \overline{\mathcal{P}_g}$  such that  $\text{Kum}(A)$  has a quadrisecant.

### Theorem (G.-Krichever)

For  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{indec}}$  and  $p, p_1, p_2, p_3 \in A$ , if  $(**)$ , and  $(**)$  also holds for  $-p, p_1, p_2, p_3$ , then  $A \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_g}$ .

## Secants of the Kummer variety: recap

- + A “strong” solution to Schottky and Prym-Schottky (no extra components).  
Finite amount of data involved, no curves or infinitesimal structure.
- The points of the tri(quadri)secancy enter in the equations, i.e., we do not directly get algebraic equations for theta constants.

### Challenge

Use these characterizations to approach Coleman’s conjecture, or solve the Torelli problem for Pryms (period map generically injective — conjecturally the non-injectivity is due only to the tetragonal construction), or ...

### Theorem (Buser, Sarnak)

*The upper bound for the length of the shortest period for Jacobians is (much) less than the upper bound for the length of the shortest period for abelian varieties.*

### Theorem (Lazarsfeld, also work by Bauer, Nakamaye)

*The Seshadri constant for a generic Jacobian is much smaller than for a generic abelian variety.*

+ Gives a way to tell that some abelian varieties are *not* Jacobians.  
— Does not possibly give a way to show that a given abelian variety *is* a Jacobian, or does it?

Can characterize  $Hyp_g$  by the value of their Seshadri constant, if the  $\Gamma_{00}$  conjecture holds [Debarre, Lazarsfeld]

## $\Gamma_{00}$ conjecture

### Definition

$$\Gamma_{00} = \{f \in H^0(A, 2\Theta) \mid mult_0 f \geq 4\}$$

### Theorem (set-theoretically: Welters, scheme-theoretically: Izadi)

*For  $g \geq 5$  on  $Jac(C)$  we have  $Bs(\Gamma_{00}) = C - C$ .*

### Conjecture (van Geemen-van der Geer)

For  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{indec}$  if  $Bs(\Gamma_{00}) \neq \{0\}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ .

- Holds for  $g = 4$ . [Izadi]
- Holds for a generic Prym for  $g \geq 8$ . [Izadi]
- Holds for a generic abelian variety for  $g = 5$  or  $g \geq 14$ .  
[Beauville-Debarre-Donagi-van der Geer]

- Even functions  $\Theta[\varepsilon](\tau, z)$  generate  $H^0(A, 2\Theta)$ .

Thus

$$\begin{array}{c}
 z \in Bs(\Gamma_{00}) \\
 \updownarrow \\
 Kum(z) \in \langle Kum(0), \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} Kum(0) \rangle_{\text{linear span}} \\
 \updownarrow \\
 Kum(z) = cKum(0) + \sum c_{ij} \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} Kum(0) \quad (\dagger)
 \end{array}$$

for some  $c, c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$

- Similar to a semidegenerate trisecant tangent at  $Kum(0)$ .
- For  $p, q \in C \subset Jac(C)$  in fact  $rk(c_{ij}) = 1$  [ $\sim$ Frobenius]

### Theorem (G.)

For  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g^{indec}$  if  $(\dagger)$  holds with  $rk(c_{ij}) = 1$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ .

### Idea (Muñoz-Porrás)

If  $\Gamma_{00}$  conjecture holds, then  $\mathcal{J}_g =$  small Schottky-Jung locus (methods to prove this by degenerating to the boundary).

## Proofs

For the results on Schottky's form and theta divisors:

*Theta functions are not a spectator sport...*

--- Lipman Bers

For the characterization of Pryms by pairs of quadrisecants:

Use integrable systems.

Dubrovin, Krichever, Novikov, Arbarello, De Concini, Shiota, Mulase, Marini, Muñoz Porrás, Plaza Martín, ...

*...and neither are integrable systems.*

- Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation is the condition for the existence of a 1-jet of a family of degenerate trisecants (flex lines of the Kummer).
- KP hierarchy of PDEs is the hierarchy of the conditions for the existence of  $n$ -jets of a family of flex lines, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- The existence of an  $n$ -jet of a family of flexes for any  $n$  gives a formal one-dimensional family of flexes.
- Such a formal family comes from an actual geometric family.
- Thus if the KP hierarchy is satisfied by the theta function, the abelian variety is a Jacobian.
- Shiota proved that the KP equation suffices to recover the hierarchy.
- Krichever showed that the obstruction for extending one flex to a family of flexes vanishes in Taylor series.
- For Pryms, G.-Krichever needed a new hierarchy, etc.