# Math 639: Lecture 14

Ergodic theory

Bob Hough

March 28, 2017

Bob Hough

Math 639: Lecture 14

March 28, 2017 1 / 45

э.

3

## Definition

A sequence  $X_0, X_1, ...$  of random variables is *stationary* if, for each k, the shifted sequence  $\{X_{n+k}, n \ge 0\}$  has the same distribution, that is, if for each m,  $(X_0, ..., X_m)$  is equal in distribution to  $(X_k, ..., X_{k+m})$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Stationary sequence

#### Example

- X<sub>0</sub>, X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ... are i.i.d.
- Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain with transition probability p(x, A) and stationary probability distribution  $\pi$ , so  $\pi(A) = \int \pi(dx)p(x, A)$ . If  $X_0$ has distribution  $\pi$  then  $X_0, X_1, X_2, ...$  is stationary.
- A special case of the previous example:  $S = \{0, 1\}$  and  $p(x, \{1 x\}) = 1$ . The stationary distribution is  $\pi(0) = \pi(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $(X_0, X_1, ...)$  is either (0, 1, 0, 1, ...) or (1, 0, 1, 0, ...) with equal probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Example

- (Rotation of the circle) Let Ω = [0,1), *F* Borel sets and P Lebesgue measure. Set X<sub>n</sub>(ω) = ω + nθ mod 1. To see this as a Markov chain, set p(x, {y}) = 1 if y = (x + θ) mod 1.
- If  $X_0, X_1, ...$  is a stationary sequence and  $g : \mathbb{R}^{\{0,1,2...\}} \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable then  $Y_k = g(X_k, X_{k+1}, ...)$  is a stationary sequence.
- (Bernoulli shift)  $\Omega = [0, 1)$ ,  $\mathscr{F}$  Borel, P Lebesgue measure.  $Y_0(\omega) = \omega$  and for  $n \ge 1$ , let  $Y_n(\omega) = 2Y_{n-1}(\omega) \mod 1$ .

#### Example

(Measure preserving map) Let (Ω, ℱ, P) be a probability space. A measurable map φ : Ω → Ω is measure preserving if P(φ<sup>-1</sup>A) = P(A) for all A ∈ ℱ. Let φ<sup>n</sup> = φ(φ<sup>n-1</sup>) be the *n*th iterate, n ≥ 1, where φ<sup>0</sup>(ω) = ω. For X ∈ ℱ, X<sub>n</sub>(ω) = X(φ<sup>n</sup>ω).

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Let  $Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, ...$  be a stationary sequence in a space  $(S, \mathscr{S})$ . By Kolmogorov's extension theorem there is a probability measure P on  $(S^{\{0,1,2,...\}}, \mathscr{S}^{\{0,1,2,...\}})$  so that the sequence  $X_n(\omega) = X(\omega_n)$  has the same distribution as  $Y_0, Y_1, ...$
- Let φ be the shift operator φ(ω<sub>0</sub>, ω<sub>1</sub>, ...) = (ω<sub>1</sub>, ω<sub>2</sub>, ...). Then φ is measure preserving and X<sub>n</sub>(ω) = X(φ<sup>n</sup>ω).

・ロト ・聞ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

#### Theorem

Any stationary sequence  $\{X_n : n \ge 0\}$  can be embedded in a two-sided stationary sequence  $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Proof.

Define

$$\mathsf{Prob}(Y_{-m} \in A_0, ..., Y_n \in A_{m+n}) = \mathsf{Prob}(X_0 \in A_0, ..., X_{m+n} \in A_{m+n})$$

and apply Kolmogorov's extension theorem to extend Prob to a probability on  $(S^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathscr{S}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

## Definition

Let  $\phi$  be measure preserving.

- A set  $A \in \mathscr{F}$  is invariant if  $\phi^{-1}A = A$ .
- A is almost invariant if  $Prob(A\Delta \phi^{-1}(A)) = 0$ .
- The class of invariant events is a  $\sigma$ -field,  $\mathscr{I}$ .
- A measure preserving transformation on (Ω, ℱ, Prob) is said to be ergodic if 𝒴 is trivial, in the sense that if A ∈ 𝒴 then Prob(A) ∈ {0, 1}.

▲ @ ▶ < ≥ ▶</p>

### Example

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a Markov chain on countable state space S, with invariant probability measure  $\pi > 0$ .

- If the chain is reducible, then the various irreducible components are invariant sets with measure between 0 and 1, so the chain is not ergodic.
- If the chain is irreducible, then any invariant set is either empty or the whole space, so the chain is ergodic.

## Example

Consider rotation on the circle, identified with  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , by an angle  $\theta$ .

- If  $\theta = \frac{m}{n}$ , 0 < m < n integers then the rotation is not ergodic. If B is any subset of  $[0, \frac{1}{n})$  then  $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} (B + \frac{k}{n})$  is invariant.
- If  $\theta$  is irrational then the sequence is ergodic. To check this, note that  $x_n = n\theta \mod 1$ . If A is an invariant set with |A| > 0 then, for any  $\delta > 0$  we can choose interval J = [a, b) with |b a| > 0 such that  $|A \cap J| \ge (1 \delta)|J|$ . By translating,  $|A| \ge 1 2\delta$ , so |A| = 1.

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

#### Theorem

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^{\{0,1,\ldots\}} \to \mathbb{R}$  be measurable. If  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$  is an ergodic stationary sequence, then  $Y_k = g(X_k, X_{k+1}, \ldots)$  is ergodic.

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

#### Theorem

Let U be a unitary operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}$ . Let P be the orthogonal projection onto  $\{\psi : \psi \in \mathscr{H}, U\psi = \psi\}$ . Then, for any  $f \in \mathscr{H}$ ,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}U^nf=Pf.$$

We will prove a vast generalization of this theorem over the next several lectures.

#### Lemma

- If U is unitary, then Uf = f if and only if  $U^*f = f$ .
- **2** For any operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}$ ,  $(\operatorname{Ran} A)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Ker} A^*$ .

## Proof.

To prove the first statement, since  $U^*U = I$ , if Uf = f then  $U^*Uf = f = U^*f$ . Meanwhile, if  $U^*f = f$  then  $\langle f - Uf, f - Uf \rangle = 0$  by unitarity. The second statement is immediate.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

# Mean ergodic theorem

## Proof of the Mean ergodic theorem.

• First let f = g - Ug. Then

$$\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}U^nf\right\| = \left\|\frac{1}{N}(g-U^Ng)\right\| \leq \frac{2\|g\|}{N} \to 0.$$

The same holds for  $f \in \text{Ran}(I - U)$  by a limiting argument.

- ② If  $f \in (\text{Ran}(I U))^{\perp}$  then  $U^*f = f$ , so Uf = f, and the limit is Pf = Uf = f.
- **③** Thus the statement holds on all of  $\overline{\text{Ran}(I-U)} \oplus \text{Ker}(I-U^*) = \mathcal{H}$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

#### Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be a measure-preserving transformation on  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ . For any  $X \in L^1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}X(\phi^m\omega)\to\mathsf{E}[X|\mathscr{I}]$$

a.s and in  $L^1$ .

3

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

Lemma (Maximal ergodic lemma) Let  $X_j(\omega) = X(\phi^j \omega)$ ,  $S_k(\omega) = X_0(\omega) + \dots + X_{k-1}(\omega)$ , and  $M_k(\omega) = \max(0, S_1(\omega), \dots, S_k(\omega))$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}(M_k > 0)] \ge 0.$ 

Bob Hough

Proof of Maximal ergodic lemma. • If  $j \le k$  then  $M_k(\phi\omega) \ge S_j(\phi\omega)$ , so  $X(\omega) + M_k(\phi\omega) \ge X(\omega) + S_j(\phi\omega) = S_{j+1}(\omega)$ , so  $X(\omega) \ge S_{j+1}(\omega) - M_k(\phi\omega)$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k. • Trivially  $X(\omega) \ge S_1(\omega) - M_k(\phi\omega)$  since  $S_1 = X$ . • Thus

$$E[X(\omega)\mathbf{1}(M_k > 0)] \ge \int_{M_k > 0} \max(S_1(\omega), ..., S_k(\omega)) - M_k(\phi\omega)dP$$
$$= \int_{M_k > 0} M_k(\omega) - M_k(\phi\omega)dP$$
$$\ge \int M_k(\omega) - M_k(\phi\omega)dP = 0.$$

## Proof of Pointwise ergodic theorem.

- After replacing X with  $X E[X|\mathscr{I}]$  we can assume that  $E[X|\mathscr{I}] = 0$ .
- Let  $\overline{X} = \limsup \frac{S_n}{n}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $D = \{\omega : \overline{X}(\omega) > \epsilon\}$ .

• Since 
$$\overline{X}(\phi\omega) = \overline{X}(\omega), \ D \in \mathscr{I}$$

Define

$$X^*(\omega) = (X(\omega) - \epsilon) \mathbf{1}_D(\omega), \qquad S^*_n(\omega) = X^*(\omega) + \dots + X^*(\phi^{n-1}\omega)$$
$$M^*_n(\omega) = \max(0, S^*_1(\omega), \dots, S^*_n(\omega)), \qquad F_n = \{M^*_n > 0\}$$
$$F = \bigcup_n F_n = \left\{\sup_{k \ge 1} \frac{S^*_k}{k} > 0\right\} = D.$$

#### Proof of Pointwise ergodic theorem.

- By the Maximal ergodic theorem  $E[X^*\mathbf{1}(F_n > 0)] \ge 0$ .
- Since E[|X\*|] ≤ E[|X|] + ε < ∞, the dominated convergence theorem implies E[X\*1<sub>Fn</sub>] → E[X\*1<sub>F</sub>], so E[X\*1<sub>F</sub>] ≥ 0.

• Since 
$$F = D \in \mathscr{I}$$
,

$$0 \leq \mathsf{E}[X^*\mathbf{1}_D] = \mathsf{E}[(X-\epsilon)\mathbf{1}_D] = \mathsf{E}[\mathsf{E}[X|\mathscr{I}]\mathbf{1}_D] - \epsilon P(D) = -\epsilon P(D).$$

• Thus  $0 = P(D) = P(\limsup S_n/n > \epsilon)$ . Replacing X with -X obtains  $S_n/n \to 0$  a.s.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Proof of Pointwise ergodic theorem.

• To get the convergence in  $L^1$  we truncate. Let M > 0,

 $X'_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega) = X(\omega)\mathbf{1}(|X| \leq M), \qquad X''_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega) = X(\omega) - X'_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega).$ 

• By the earlier part of the proof,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}X'_{M}(\phi^{m}\omega)\to \mathsf{E}[X'_{M}|\mathscr{I}] \text{ a.s.}$$

By bounded convergence

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}X'_{M}(\phi^{m}\omega)-\mathsf{E}[X'_{M}|\mathscr{I}]\right|\right]\to 0.$$

Proof of Pointwise ergodic theorem.

• To handle  $X''_M$ , bound

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}X_{M}''(\phi^{m}\omega)\right|\right] \leqslant \mathsf{E}[|X_{M}''|].$$

Since  $E[|E[X''_M|\mathscr{I}]|] \leq E[E[|X''_M||\mathscr{I}]] = E[|X''_M|].$ • It follows

• 11 10110103

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathsf{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} X(\phi^m \omega) - \mathsf{E}[X|\mathscr{I}]\right|\right] \leq 2 \,\mathsf{E}[|X_M''|].$$

Bob Hough

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

# Wiener's maximal equality

#### Theorem

Let 
$$X_j(\omega) = X(\phi^j \omega)$$
,  $S_k(\omega) = X_0(\omega) + \cdots + X_{k-1}(\omega)$ ,  $A_k(\omega) = \frac{S_k(\omega)}{k}$ ,  
and  $D_k = \max(A_1, ..., A_k)$ . If  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$\mathsf{Prob}(D_k > \alpha) \leq \alpha^{-1} \mathsf{E}[|X|].$$

#### Proof.

Let  $B = \{D_k > \alpha\}$ . It follows from the Maximal ergodic lemma that

$$\mathsf{E}[|X|] \ge \int_{B} X dP \ge \int_{B} \alpha dP = \alpha \operatorname{Prob}(B).$$

## Markov chains

#### Example

 $\bullet$  (i.i.d. sequence) Since  ${\mathscr I}$  is trivial, the ergodic theorem implies

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}X_m\to \mathsf{E}[X_0]$$

a.s. and in  $L^1$ .

• (Markov chains) Let  $\{X_n\}$  be an irreducible Markov chain with stationary measure  $\pi > 0$ . Then  $\mathscr{I}$  is trivial again, so

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}f(X_m)\to\sum_x f(x)\pi(x)$$

a.s. and in  $L^1$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三)

## Example

• (irrational rotations) Let  $\Omega = [0,1)$ ,  $\phi(\omega) = \omega + \theta \mod 1$  where  $\theta$  is irrational. Again  $\mathscr{I}$  is trivial, so for A a Borel set,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\mathbf{1}(\phi^m\omega\in A)\to |A|, \ a.s.$$

р.	эb	ы	

3

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

#### Theorem

If A = [a, b) is an interval then the exceptional set of rotations is empty.

## Proof.

Approximate the characteristic function of the interval from above and below by trigonometric polynomials. Use that  $\sum_{n=0}^{N} e(k\theta) = \frac{1-e((N+1)k\theta)}{1-e(k\theta)}$ , which is bounded.

過 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Let  $\theta = \log_{10} 2$  and for  $1 \le k \le 9$ ,  $A_k = [\log_{10} k, \log_{10}(k+1))$ . By the previous result,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(\phi^m(0)) \to \log_{10} \frac{k+1}{k}.$$

This says that the first digit of the powers of 2 is asymptotically distributed according to Benford's law.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### Theorem

Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be a stationary sequence taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $S_k = X_1 + \cdots + X_k$ , let  $A = \{S_k \neq 0 \text{ all } k \ge 1\}$ , and let  $R_n = |\{S_1, ..., S_n\}|$  be the number of points visited at time n. As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\frac{R_n}{n} \to \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{1}_A|\mathscr{I}] \text{ a.s.}$$

Pal	<u>ч</u> Ц.	ough
BOI	2 1 10	Jugn

< < p>< < p>

## Recurrence

## Proof.

- Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  constructed on  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\{0,1,\ldots\}}$  with  $X_n(\omega) = \omega_n$ , with  $\phi$  the shift operator.
- We have  $R_n \ge \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(\phi^m \omega)$ . Thus the ergodic theorem gives

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{R_n}{n} \ge \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{1}_A|\mathscr{I}], \ a.s.$$

• Let  $A_k = \{S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, ..., S_k \neq 0\}$ . One has

$$R_n \leq k + \sum_{m=1}^{n-k} \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(\phi^m \omega)$$

so  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{R_n}{n} \leq \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_k}|\mathscr{I}]$ . Since  $\mathsf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_k}|\mathscr{I}] \downarrow \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{1}_A|\mathscr{I}]$ , the claim follows.

#### Theorem

Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be a stationary sequence taking values in  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $E[|X_i|] < \infty$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , and let  $A = \{S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, ...\}$ .

- If  $E[X_1|\mathscr{I}] = 0$  then Prob(A) = 0.
- Also, if Prob(A) = 0 then  $Prob(S_n = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Recurrence

## Proof.

• If  $E[X_1|\mathscr{I}] = 0$  then the ergodic theorem implies  $S_n/n \to 0$  a.s. • For any K,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\max_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}\frac{|S_k|}{n}\right)\leqslant \max_{k\geqslant K}\frac{|S_k|}{k}.$$

- This tends to 0 as  $K \to \infty$ , so  $\frac{R_n}{n} \to 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Prob}(A) = 0$ .
- Let  $F_j = \{S_i \neq 0, \text{ for } i < j, S_j = 0\}$  and  $G_{j,k} = \{S_{j+i} - S_j \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k, S_{j+k} - S_j = 0\}.$
- Since  $\operatorname{Prob}(A) = 0$ ,  $\sum \operatorname{Prob}(F_k) = 1$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

## Recurrence

## Proof.

By stationarity, Prob(G<sub>j,k</sub>) = Prob(F<sub>k</sub>). Also, for fixed j, the G<sub>j,k</sub> are disjoint and have union of full measure, so

$$\sum_{j,k} \mathsf{Prob}(F_j \cap G_{j,k}) = 1.$$

• It follows that  $Prob(S_n = 0 \text{ at least } 2 \text{ times}) = 1$ . Iterating,  $Prob(S_n = 0 \text{ at least } k \text{ times}) = 1 \text{ for all } k$ .

Bo	h	ш	~	~	h
Бυ	D		ou	в	

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

#### Theorem

Let A be a set and let  $T_0 = 0$ ,  $T_n = \inf\{m > T_{n-1} : X_m \in A\}$ . If  $Prob(X_n \in A \text{ at least once}) = 1$ , then conditioned on  $X_0 \in A$ ,  $t_n = T_n - T_{n-1}$  is a stationary sequence with

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathcal{T}_1|X_0 \in A] = \frac{1}{\mathsf{Prob}[X_0 \in A]}$$

See Durrett pp. 340-341.

The result is due to Poincaré.

#### Theorem

Suppose  $\phi : \Omega \to \Omega$  preserves Prob in the sense that  $\operatorname{Prob} \circ \phi^{-1} = \operatorname{Prob}$ . Let  $T_A = \inf\{n \ge 1 : \phi^n(\omega) \in A\}$ .

- $T_A < \infty \ a.s. \ on \ A$
- **2**  $\{\phi^n(\omega) \in A \text{ i.o.}\} \supset A$
- Solution If  $\phi$  is ergodic and  $\operatorname{Prob}(A) > 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Prob}(\phi^n(\omega) \in A \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

## Recurrence

## Proof.

- Let  $B = \{\omega \in A, T_A = \infty\}$ . If  $\omega \in \phi^{-m}B$  then  $\phi^m(\omega) \in A$ , by  $\phi^n(\omega) \notin A$  for n > m, so the  $\phi^{-m}B$  are pairwise disjoint. Since  $\phi$  is measure preserving, Prob(B) = 0.
- 2 Since  $\phi^k$  is measure preserving,

$$0 = \operatorname{Prob}(\omega \in A, \phi^{nk}(\omega) \notin A, \text{ for all } n \ge 1)$$
  
 
$$\ge \operatorname{Prob}(\omega \in A, \phi^{m}(\omega) \notin A, \text{ for all } m \ge k).$$

This holds for all k, so the claim follows.

Solution B = {ω : φ<sup>n</sup>(ω) ∈ A i.o.} is invariant and contains A, hence has probability 1.

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

# The subadditive ergodic theorem

Theorem (Subadditive ergodic theorem) Suppose  $X_{m,n}$ ,  $0 \leq m < n$  satisfy **1**  $X_{0,m} + X_{m,n} \ge X_{0,n}$ **2**  $\{X_{nk,(n+1)k}, n \ge 1\}$  is a stationary sequence for each k So The distribution of  $\{X_{m,m+k}, k \ge 1\}$  does not depend on m. •  $\mathbb{E}[X_{0,1}^+] < \infty$  and for each n,  $\mathbb{E}[X_{0,n}] \ge \gamma_0 n$ , where  $\gamma_0 > -\infty$ . Then 1  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[X_{0,n}] = \inf_m \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}[X_{0,m}] = \gamma.$ 2  $X = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_{0,n}}{n}$  exists a.s. and in  $L^1$ , so  $E[X] = \gamma$ . If the stationary sequences in 2 above are ergodic then  $X = \gamma$  a.s.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

# Examples

## Example

- (Stationary sequences) Suppose  $\xi_1, \xi_2, ...$  is a stationary sequence with  $E[|\xi_k|] < \infty$ , and let  $X_{m,n} = \xi_{m+1} + \cdots + \xi_n$ . Then  $X_{0,n} = X_{0,m} + X_{m,n}$ .
- (Range of a random walk) Suppose  $\xi_1, \xi_2, ...$  is a stationary sequence and let  $S_n = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n$ . Let  $X_{m,n} = |\{S_{m+1}, ..., S_n\}|$ . Then  $X_{0,m} + X_{m,n} \ge X_{0,n}$ .
- (Longest common subsequence) Given ergodic stationary sequences  $X_1, X_2, X_3, ...$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ...$ , let  $L_{m,n} = \max\{K : X_{i_k} = Y_{j_k}, 1 \le k \le K\}$  where  $m < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_K \le n$  and  $m < j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_K \le n$ . Then

$$L_{0,m}+L_{m,n} \geq L_{0,n}.$$

< 白 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# The subadditive ergodic theorem

Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

The proof is in four steps.

• We have  $E[|X_{0,n}|] \leq Cn$ . To check this, use  $X_{0,m}^+ + X_{m,n}^+ \geq X_{0,n}^+$ . Thus  $E[X_{0,n}^+] \leq n E[X_{0,1}^+] < \infty$ . Combine this with  $E[X_{0,n}] \geq \gamma_0 n$ where  $\gamma_0 > -\infty$ . Let  $a_n = E[X_{0,n}]$ . Then  $a_m + a_{n-m} \geq a_n$ , which implies  $a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{n} a_n$ 

$$\frac{2m}{n} \to \inf_{m \ge 1} \frac{2m}{m} = \gamma$$

Bo	h l	Ho	ııσ	h
00		10	чъ	

## The subadditive ergodic theorem

Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

• Write  $n = km + \ell$ . Then

$$\frac{X_{0,n}}{n} \leq \frac{k}{km+\ell} \frac{X_{0,m}+\cdots+X_{(k-1)m,km}}{k} + \frac{X_{km,n}}{n}.$$

The pointwise ergodic theorem gives

$$\frac{X_{0,m} + \dots + X_{(k-1)m,km}}{k} \to A_m \text{ a.s. and in } L^1$$

where  $A_m = \mathbb{E}[X_{0,m}|\mathscr{I}_m]$ , and  $\mathscr{I}_m$  is shift invariant for  $X_{(k-1)m,km}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . For fixed  $\ell$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $\mathbb{E}[X_{0,\ell}^+] < \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Prob}(X_{km,km+\ell} > (km+\ell)\epsilon) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Prob}(X_{0,\ell} > k\epsilon) < \infty.$$

Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

Combining these observations,

$$\overline{X} = \limsup \frac{X_{0,n}}{n} \leqslant \frac{A_m}{m},$$

so  $E[\overline{X}] \leq \frac{1}{m} E[X_{0,m}]$ , which implies  $E[\overline{X}] \leq \gamma$ . If the sequences are ergodic, then  $\overline{X} \leq \gamma$ .

# The subadditive ergodic theorem

Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

• Let  $\underline{X} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_{0,n}}{n}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $Z = \epsilon + (\underline{X} \lor -M)$ . Since  $E[\overline{X}] < \infty$ ,  $E[|Z|] < \infty$ . Define  $Y_{m,n} = X_{m,n} - (n-m)Z$  and  $\underline{Y} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_{0,n}}{n} \leqslant -\epsilon$ . Define  $T_m = \min\{n \ge 1 : Y_{m,m+n} \le 0\}$ . By stationarity,  $T_m$  is equal in distribution to  $T_0$ , so

$$\mathsf{E}[Y_{m,m+1}\mathbf{1}(T_m > N)] = \mathsf{E}[Y_{0,1}\mathbf{1}(T_0 > N)].$$

Since  $Prob(T_0 < \infty) = 1$ , pick *N* large enough so that

$$\mathsf{E}[Y_{0,1}\mathbf{1}(T_0 > N)] \leqslant \epsilon.$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

## Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

Define

$$S_m = \begin{cases} T_m & \text{on } \{T_m \leqslant N\} \\ 1 & \text{on } \{T_m > N\} \end{cases}$$
$$\xi_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } \{T_m \leqslant N\} \\ Y_{m,m+1} & \text{on } \{T_m > N\} \end{cases}.$$

Since  $Y_{m,m+T_m} \leq 0$  and  $S_m = 1$ ,  $Y_{m,m+1} > 0$  on  $\{T_m > N\}$  we have  $Y_{m,m+S_m} \leq \xi_m$  and  $\xi_m \ge 0$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

# The subadditive ergodic theorem

#### Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

Let  $R_0 = 0$  and  $R_k = R_{k-1} + S_{R_{k-1}}$ . Define  $K = \max\{k : R_k \leq n\}$ . We have

$$Y_{0,n} \leq Y_{R_0,R_1} + Y_{R_1,R_2} + \ldots + Y_{R_{K-1},R_K} + Y_{R_K,n} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \xi_m + \sum_{j=1}^N |Y_{n-j,n-j+1}|.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{\mathsf{E}}[Y_{0,n}]\leqslant\operatorname{\mathsf{E}}[\xi_0]\leqslant\operatorname{\mathsf{E}}[Y_{0,1}\mathbf{1}(T_0>N)]\leqslant\epsilon.$$

Thus  $\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[X_{0,n}] \leq 2\epsilon + \mathbb{E}[\underline{X} \vee -M]$ . Thus  $\gamma = \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]$ and  $\underline{X} = \overline{X}$  almost surely.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

# The subadditive ergodic theorem

Proof of the subadditive ergodic theorem.

See Durrett p. 346 for the convergence in  $L^1$ .

Bo		

# Products of random matrices

#### Example

• (Products of random matrices) Suppose  $A_1, A_2, ...$  is a stationary sequence of  $k \times k$  matrices with positive entries, and let

$$\alpha_{m,n}(i,j) = (A_{m+1} \cdots A_n)(i,j)$$

Note  $\alpha_{0,m}(1,1)\alpha_{m,n}(1,1) \leq \alpha_{0,n}(1,1)$ . Set  $X_{m,n} = -\log \alpha_{m,n}(1,1)$  so  $X_{0,m} + X_{m,n} \geq X_{0,n}$ . Subject to

 $\mathsf{E}[|\log A_m(i,j)|] < \infty, \text{ all } i,j$ 

we obtain  $\frac{1}{n}X_{0,n} \to X$  a.s.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

# First-passage percolation

#### Example

(First passage percolation) Consider  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  as a graph with edges connecting  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  when |x - y| = 1. Assign i.i.d. non-negative edge weights  $\tau(e)$  of finite mean.

• If  $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n = y$  is a path from x to y with  $|x_m - x_{m-1}| = 1$ , define the *travel time* to be

$$\tau(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + \tau(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

- Define the *passage time* t(x, y) to be the infimum of travel times over all paths from x to y.
- Define  $X_{m,n} = t((m,0), (n,0))$ . Since  $X_{0,m} + X_{m,n} \ge X_{0,n}$ , one obtains  $\frac{X_{0,n}}{n} \to X$  a.s. We have X is almost surely constant, since it is measurable in the tail sigma field.