Tropical geometries and multifields

Oleg Viro

April 15, 2010

Multi-valued algebra

- Triangle addition
- Multifields
- Examples of
- multifields
- Tropical addition of complex numbers

• Properties of tropical addition

- Operation induced on
- a subset
- Tropical addition of real numbers
- Other submultifields
- of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$
- Multiring

homomorphisms

• Weakness of ideals

Dequantizataions

Complex Tropical Geometry

Multi-valued algebra

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a multivalued addition:

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

$$a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$$

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

```
a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.
```

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c.

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

$$a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

$$a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. (a, b) $\mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

$$a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

 $a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative, because

 $(a \lor b) \lor c = \{x \mid \exists \text{ quadrilateral with sides } a, b, c, x\} = a \lor (b \lor c).$

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

$$a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative, because

 $(a \lor b) \lor c = \{x \mid \exists \text{ quadrilateral with sides } a, b, c, x\} = a \lor (b \lor c).$

$$b \bigvee_{a}^{c} (a_{\nabla}b)_{\nabla}c$$

$$b \bigvee_{a}^{c} a_{\nabla}(b_{\nabla}c)$$

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

```
a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.
```

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative, for each a, the only b such that $0 \in a \lor b$ is a.

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

 $a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative, for each a, the only b such that $0 \in a \lor b$ is a.

That is -a = a!

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

 $a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.$

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative, for each a, the only b such that $0 \in a \lor b$ is a.

That is -a = a!

Distributivity: $a(b \lor c) = ab \lor ac$.

In $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ define a **multivalued addition**:

```
a \lor b = \{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a - b| \le c \le a + b\}.
```

 $a \lor b$ is the set of numbers c such that \exists a traingle with sides a, b, c. $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ is commutative; has zero 0; is associative, for each a, the only b such that $0 \in a \lor b$ is a. That is -a = a!

Distributivity: $a(b \lor c) = ab \lor ac$.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with addition $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$ and usual multiplication is a **multifield**.

Multifields

A set X with a multivalued operation $X \times X \to 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} : (a, b) \mapsto a \intercal b$ and a multiplication $X \times X \to X : (a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$ is called a **multifield**, if

- $(a, b) \mapsto a \intercal b$ is commutative, associative;
- $\exists 0 \in X$ such that 0 = a = a for any $a \in X$;
- for $\forall a \in X$ there exists a unique $-a \in X$ such that $0 \in a \top (-a)$;
- -(a T b) = (-a) T (-b)
- $X \times 0$ is a commutative group under the multiplication;
- $0 \cdot a = 0$ for any $a \in X$;
- distributivity: a(b + c) = ab + ac for any $a, b, c \in X$.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \rightarrow 1 = \{0, 1\}$, $0 \rightarrow 0 = 0$, $0 \rightarrow 1 = 1$, $0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$, $1 \cdot 1 = 1$.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \lor 1 = \{0, 1\}$, $0 \lor 0 = 0$, $0 \lor 1 = 1$, $0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$, $1 \cdot 1 = 1$.

The sign multifield: $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ with $1 \le 1 = 1$, $-1 \le -1 = -1$, $1 \le -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \rightarrow 1 = \{0, 1\}$, $0 \rightarrow 0 = 0$, $0 \rightarrow 1 = 1$, $0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$, $1 \cdot 1 = 1$.

The sign multifield:
$$Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$$
 with $1 \sim 1 = 1$, $-1 \sim -1 = -1$, $1 \sim -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$.

Let X be a linearly ordered multiplicative group and $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \prec a$ for any $a \in X$.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \rightarrow 1 = \{0, 1\}, 0 \rightarrow 0 = 0, 0 \rightarrow 1 = 1, 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0, 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$

The sign multifield:
$$Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$$
 with $1 \le 1 = 1$, $-1 \le -1 = -1$,
 $1 \le -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$

Let X be a linearly ordered multiplicative group and $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \prec a$ for any $a \in X$. Define a multivalued addition γ :

$$(a,b) \mapsto a \lor b = \begin{cases} \max(a,b), & \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{x \in Y \mid x \le a\}, & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \rightarrow 1 = \{0, 1\}, 0 \rightarrow 0 = 0, 0 \rightarrow 1 = 1, 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0, 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$

The sign multifield:
$$Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$$
 with $1 \le 1 = 1$, $-1 \le -1 = -1$,
 $1 \le -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$

Let X be a linearly ordered multiplicative group and $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \prec a$ for any $a \in X$. Define a multivalued addition γ :

$$(a,b) \mapsto a \lor b = \begin{cases} \max(a,b), & \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{x \in Y \mid x \le a\}, & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

 $(Y, \curlyvee, \varkappa)$ is a multifield.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \neg 1 = \{0, 1\}$, $0 \neg 0 = 0$, $0 \neg 1 = 1$, $0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$, $1 \cdot 1 = 1$.

The sign multifield:
$$Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$$
 with $1 \le 1 = 1$, $-1 \le -1 = -1$,
 $1 \le -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$

Let X be a linearly ordered multiplicative group and $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \prec a$ for any $a \in X$. Define a multivalued addition γ :

$$(a,b) \mapsto a \lor b = \begin{cases} \max(a,b), & \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{x \in Y \mid x \le a\}, & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

 (Y, Υ, X) is a multifield.

If X is the additive group of real numbers, then this Y is the **tropical multifield** \mathbb{Y} .

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \rightarrow 1 = \{0, 1\}, 0 \rightarrow 0 = 0, 0 \rightarrow 1 = 1, 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0, 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$

The sign multifield:
$$Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$$
 with $1 \le 1 = 1$, $-1 \le -1 = -1$,
 $1 \le -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$

Let X be a linearly ordered multiplicative group and $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \prec a$ for any $a \in X$. Define a multivalued addition γ :

$$(a,b) \mapsto a \lor b = \begin{cases} \max(a,b), & \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{x \in Y \mid x \le a\}, & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

 (Y, Υ, X) is a multifield. If X is the additive group of real numbers, then this Y is the **tropical multifield** Y. If X is the multiplicative group of positive real numbers, then Y is a multifield $U\nabla$ isomorphic to Y.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\tau = \nabla$ and the usual multiplication is a multifield.

triangle multifield ∇ .

The smallest multifield: $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ with multivalued addition \neg and $1 \rightarrow 1 = \{0, 1\}, 0 \rightarrow 0 = 0, 0 \rightarrow 1 = 1, 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0, 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$

The sign multifield:
$$Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$$
 with $1 \le 1 = 1$, $-1 \le -1 = -1$,
 $1 \le -1 = \{1, 0, -1\}$

Let X be a linearly ordered multiplicative group and $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \prec a$ for any $a \in X$. Define a multivalued addition γ :

$$(a,b) \mapsto a \lor b = \begin{cases} \max(a,b), & \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{x \in Y \mid x \le a\}, & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

 (Y, Υ, X) is a multifield. If X is the additive group of real numbers, then this Y is the **tropical multifield** Y.

If X is the multiplicative group of positive real numbers, then Y is a multifield $U\nabla$ isomorphic to Y.

Similar to ∇ , but with ultrametric triangle inequality.

Tropical addition of complex numbers



Tropical addition of complex numbers



 \mathbb{C} with the tropical addition and usual multiplication is a multifield.

Tropical addition of complex numbers



 \mathbb{C} with the tropical addition and usual multiplication is a multifield. The **complex tropical multifield** $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

How do several complex numbers with the same absolute values give zero?

 $0 \in a \sim b \sim c \sim \ldots \sim x$ iff $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}(a, b, c, \ldots, x)$.

 $0 \in a \sim b \sim c \sim \ldots \sim x$ iff $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}(a, b, c, \ldots, x)$.

What if the summands have different absolute values?

 $0 \in a \smile b \smile c \smile \ldots \smile x$ iff $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}(a, b, c, \ldots, x)$.

What if the summands have different absolute values?

Then only those with the greatest one matter!

 $0 \in a \sim b \sim c \sim \ldots \sim x$ iff $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}(a, b, c, \ldots, x)$.

What if the summands have different absolute values? Then only those with the greatest one matter!

Theorem. The tropical addition \checkmark is upper semi-continuous and maps a connected set to a connected set and a compact set to a compact set.

 $0 \in a \sim b \sim c \sim \ldots \sim x$ iff $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}(a, b, c, \ldots, x)$.

What if the summands have different absolute values? Then only those with the greatest one matter!

Theorem. The tropical addition \checkmark is upper semi-continuous and maps a connected set to a connected set and a compact set to a compact set.

Corollary. The multivalued map defined by a complex tropical polynomial is upper semi-continuous. It preserves connectedness and compactness.

 $0 \in a \sim b \sim c \sim \ldots \sim x$ iff $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}(a, b, c, \ldots, x)$.

What if the summands have different absolute values? Then only those with the greatest one matter!

Theorem. The tropical addition \checkmark is upper semi-continuous and maps a connected set to a connected set and a compact set to a compact set.

Corollary. The multivalued map defined by a complex tropical polynomial is upper semi-continuous. It preserves connectedness and compactness.

If p is a complex tropical polynomial and $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a closed set, then $p^{-1}(X) = \{a \mid X \subset p(a)\}$ is closed.
Let $Y \subset X$ and $f : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a binary multivalued operation.

Let $Y \subset X$ and $f : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a binary multivalued operation.

A binary multivalued operation $g: Y \times Y \to 2^Y$ is induced by f if $g(a,b) = f(a,b) \cap Y$ for any $a, b \in Y$.

Let $Y \subset X$ and $f : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a binary multivalued operation.

A binary multivalued operation $g: Y \times Y \to 2^Y$ is induced by f if $g(a,b) = f(a,b) \cap Y$ for any $a, b \in Y$.

g is determined by f.

Let $Y \subset X$ and $f : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a binary multivalued operation.

A binary multivalued operation $g: Y \times Y \to 2^Y$ is induced by f if $g(a,b) = f(a,b) \cap Y$ for any $a, b \in Y$.

g is determined by f.

g exists iff $f(a, b) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for any $a, b \in Y$

Let $Y \subset X$ and $f : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a binary multivalued operation.

A binary multivalued operation $g: Y \times Y \to 2^Y$ is induced by f if $g(a,b) = f(a,b) \cap Y$ for any $a, b \in Y$.

g is determined by f.

g exists iff $f(a, b) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for any $a, b \in Y$

(The definition of multivalued addition prohibits empty values.)

The tropical addition in \mathbb{C} induces a tropical addition in \mathbb{R} .

The tropical addition in \mathbb{C} induces a tropical addition in \mathbb{R} .



The tropical addition in $\mathbb C$ induces a tropical addition in $\mathbb R$.



The tropical addition in \mathbb{C} induces a tropical addition in \mathbb{R} .



The sign multifield $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ is a submultifield of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

The sign multifield $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ is a submultifield of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

The smallest multifield $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$ is not, because \mathcal{TC} is idempotent: $a \sim a = a$ for any $a \in \mathcal{TC}$, while $1 \neq 1 = \{0, 1\}$ in Q_1 .

The sign multifield $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ is a submultifield of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem. Any $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing 0, invariant under $z \mapsto -z$ and $z \mapsto z^{-1}$ and closed under multiplication inherits from $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$ the structure of multifield.

The sign multifield $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ is a submultifield of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem. Any $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing 0, invariant under $z \mapsto -z$ and $z \mapsto z^{-1}$ and closed under multiplication inherits from \mathcal{TC} the structure of multifield.

In particular, the phase multifield $\Phi = S^1 \cup 0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|^2 = |z|\}$.

The sign multifield $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ is a submultifield of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem. Any $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing 0, invariant under $z \mapsto -z$ and $z \mapsto z^{-1}$ and closed under multiplication inherits from $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$ the structure of multifield.

In particular, the phase multifield $\Phi = S^1 \cup 0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|^2 = |z|\}$.

The exponential copy $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \max, \times)$ of tropical semifield \mathbb{T} is a subsemifield of multifields $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

The sign multifield $Q_2 = \{0, 1, -1\}$ is a submultifield of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem. Any $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing 0, invariant under $z \mapsto -z$ and $z \mapsto z^{-1}$ and closed under multiplication inherits from \mathcal{TC} the structure of multifield.

In particular, the phase multifield $\Phi = S^1 \cup 0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|^2 = |z|\}$.

The exponential copy $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \max, \times)$ of tropical semifield \mathbb{T} is a subsemifield of multifields $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

Inclusion $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \max, \times) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism.

Multiring is a multifield without division.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a (multiring) homomorphism if $f(a \top b) \subset f(a) \top f(b)$ and f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for any $a, b \in X$.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a (multiring) homomorphism if $f(a \top b) \subset f(a) \top f(b)$ and f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for any $a, b \in X$.

Example. $\mathbb{C} \to \nabla : z \mapsto |z|$ is a multiring homomorphism.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a (multiring) homomorphism if $f(a \top b) \subset f(a) \top f(b)$ and f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for any $a, b \in X$.

Example. $\mathbb{C} \to \nabla : z \mapsto |z|$ is a multiring homomorphism.

Generalization. A multiplicative norm $K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ in a ring K is a multiring homomorphism $K \to \nabla$.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a (multiring) homomorphism if $f(a \top b) \subset f(a) \top f(b)$ and f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for any $a, b \in X$.

Example. $\mathbb{C} \to \nabla : z \mapsto |z|$ is a multiring homomorphism.

Generalization. A multiplicative norm $K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ in a ring K is a multiring homomorphism $K \to \nabla$.

A multiplicative non-archimedean norm $K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a multiring homomorphism from $K \to \mathbb{U}\nabla$.

non-archimedian = satisfies the ultra-metric triangle inequality $|a+b| \le \max(a,b)$ for any $a, b \in K$.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a (multiring) homomorphism if $f(a \top b) \subset f(a) \top f(b)$ and f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for any $a, b \in X$.

Example. $\mathbb{C} \to \nabla : z \mapsto |z|$ is a multiring homomorphism.

Generalization. A multiplicative norm $K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ in a ring K is a multiring homomorphism $K \to \nabla$.

A multiplicative non-archimedean norm $K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a multiring homomorphism from $K \to \mathbb{U}\nabla$.

Example.

e. $\operatorname{sign}: \mathbb{R} \to \{0, 1, -1\}: x \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{x}{|x|}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ is a multiring homomorphism $\mathbb{R} \to Q_2$ and $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \to Q_2$.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a (multiring) homomorphism if $f(a \top b) \subset f(a) \top f(b)$ and f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for any $a, b \in X$.

Example. $\mathbb{C} \to \nabla : z \mapsto |z|$ is a multiring homomorphism.

Generalization. A multiplicative norm $K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ in a ring K is a multiring homomorphism $K \to \nabla$.

A multiplicative non-archimedean norm $K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a multiring homomorphism from $K \to \mathbb{U}\nabla$.

Example.sign:
$$\mathbb{R} \to \{0, 1, -1\} : x \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{x}{|x|}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$
is a multiring homomorphism $\mathbb{R} \to Q_2$ and $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R} \to Q_2$ **Example.**phase: $\mathbb{C} \to S^1 \cup \{0\} : x \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{x}{|x|}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ is a multiring homomorphism $\mathbb{C} \to \Phi$ and $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C} \to \Phi$.

Ideal is a subset I in a multiring X such that $I \perp I \subset I$ and $XI \subset I$.

Ideal is a subset I in a multiring X such that $I + I \subset I$ and $XI \subset I$. In a multifield X any ideal is either 0 or X.

Ideal is a subset I in a multiring X such that $I + I \subset I$ and $XI \subset I$. In a multifield X any ideal is either 0 or X. For multirings X, Y and a multiring homomorphism $h : X \to Y$, the kernel Ker $h = \{a \in X \mid h(a) = 0\}$ is an ideal.

Ideal is a subset I in a multiring X such that $I + I \subset I$ and $XI \subset I$. In a multifield X any ideal is either 0 or X.

For multirings X, Y and a multiring homomorphism $h : X \to Y$, the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} h = \{a \in X \mid h(a) = 0\}$ is an ideal.

However, the image of a multiring homomorphism $h: X \to Y$ is not isomorphic to $X/\operatorname{Ker} h$.

Ideal is a subset I in a multiring X such that $I + I \subset I$ and $XI \subset I$. In a multifield X any ideal is either 0 or X.

For multirings X, Y and a multiring homomorphism $h : X \to Y$, the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} h = \{a \in X \mid h(a) = 0\}$ is an ideal.

However, the image of a multiring homomorphism $h: X \to Y$ is not isomorphic to $X/\operatorname{Ker} h$.

Moreover, there are non-injective multiring homomorphisms between multifields. (e.g., sign : $\mathbb{R} \to Q_2$).

Multi-valued algebra

Dequantizataions

• Litvinov-Maslov dequantization

• Dequantization $\nabla \rightarrow U\nabla$

• Dequantization $\mathbb C$ to $\mathcal T\mathbb C$

- Dequantizations commute
- Tropical Geometry
- Graphs and curves

Complex Tropical Geometry

Dequantizataions

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

These are multiplicative homomorphisms.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

These are multiplicative homomorphisms, but they do not respect addition.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition:

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition: $a +_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) + R_h(b))$ = $(a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition: $a +_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) + R_h(b))$ = $(a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h$

 $R_h = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +_h, \times)$ is a copy of semifield $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ and $R_h : P_h \to (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ is an isomorphism.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition: $a +_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) + R_h(b))$ = $(a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h$

 $\begin{aligned} R_h &= (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +_h, \times) \text{ is a copy of semifield } (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times) \text{ and} \\ R_h &: P_h \to (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times) \text{ is an isomorphism.} \\ \lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h &= \max(a, b). \end{aligned}$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition: $a +_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) + R_h(b))$ = $(a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h$

 $R_h = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +_h, \times)$ is a copy of semifield $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ and $R_h : P_h \to (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ is an isomorphism.

 $\lim_{h\to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = \max(a, b) \,.$

 P_h is a degeneration of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ to $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \max, \times)$.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition: $a +_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) + R_h(b))$ = $(a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h$

 $R_h = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +_h, \times)$ is a copy of semifield $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ and $R_h : P_h \to (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ is an isomorphism.

 $\lim_{h\to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = \max(a, b).$

 P_h is a dequantization of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +, \times)$ to $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \max, \times)$.
Dequantization $\nabla \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \nabla$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

These are multiplicative homomorphisms.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

These are multiplicative homomorphisms, but they do not respect $(a, b) \mapsto a \lor b$.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the v-addition:

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the \triangledown -addition: $a \triangledown_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) \triangledown R_h(b))$ = $\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h \le c \le (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h\}$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the \triangledown -addition: $a \triangledown_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) \triangledown R_h(b))$ = $\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h \le c \le (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h\}$

 $\nabla_h = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \nabla_h, \cdot)$ is a copy of ∇ and $R_h : \nabla_h \to \nabla$ is an isomorphism.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the \triangledown -addition: $a \triangledown_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) \triangledown R_h(b))$ = $\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h \le c \le (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h\}$

 $\begin{aligned} \nabla_h &= \left(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \triangledown_h, \cdot\right) \text{ is a copy of } \nabla \text{ and } R_h : \nabla_h \to \nabla \text{ is an isomorphism.} \\ \text{If } a \neq b \text{, then} \\ \lim_{h \to 0} |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h &= \lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = \max(a, b), \\ \text{if } a = b \text{, then } |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h &= 0 \text{, while } \lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = a \text{.} \end{aligned}$

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the \triangledown -addition: $a \triangledown_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) \triangledown R_h(b))$ = $\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h \le c \le (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h\}$

 $\nabla_h = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \nabla_h, \cdot)$ is a copy of ∇ and $R_h : \nabla_h \to \nabla$ is an isomorphism. If $a \neq b$, then $\lim_{h \to 0} |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h = \lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = \max(a, b),$ if a = b, then $|a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h = 0$, while $\lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = a$. The endpoints of segment $a \nabla_h b$ tend to the endpoints of segment $a \vee b$ as $h \to 0$.

For
$$h > 0$$
, consider a map $R_h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 $x \mapsto \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{h}}, & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the \triangledown -addition: $a \triangledown_h b = R_h^{-1}(R_h(a) \triangledown R_h(b))$ = $\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h \le c \le (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h\}$

 $\begin{aligned} \nabla_h &= \left(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \nabla_h, \cdot\right) \text{ is a copy of } \nabla \text{ and } R_h : \nabla_h \to \nabla \text{ is an isomorphism.} \\ \text{If } a \neq b \text{, then} \\ \lim_{h \to 0} |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h &= \lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = \max(a, b), \\ \text{if } a = b \text{, then } |a^{1/h} - b^{1/h}|^h &= 0 \text{, while } \lim_{h \to 0} (a^{1/h} + b^{1/h})^h = a \text{.} \\ \text{The endpoints of segment } a \nabla_h b \text{ tend} \\ \text{to the endpoints of segment } a \vee b \text{ as } h \to 0 \text{.} \end{aligned}$

Let $a \lor_0 b \coloneqq a \lor b$.

∇_h is a dequantization of ∇ to $U\nabla$.

Table of Contents

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

These are multiplicative isomorphisms.

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
$$z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

These are multiplicative isomorphisms, but they do not respect the addition.

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

 $z +_h w = S_h^{-1}(S_h(z) + S_h(w))$

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

 $z +_h w = S_h^{-1}(S_h(z) + S_h(w))$

 $\mathbb{C}_h = \mathbb{C}_{+_h,\times}$ is a copy of \mathbb{C} and $S_h : \mathbb{C}_h \to \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism.

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

 $z +_h w = S_h^{-1}(S_h(z) + S_h(w))$

 $\mathbb{C}_h = \mathbb{C}_{+_h,\times}$ is a copy of \mathbb{C} and $S_h : \mathbb{C}_h \to \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism. In a sense, $\lim_{h\to 0} (z + w) = z - w$.

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

$$z +_h w = S_h^{-1}(S_h(z) + S_h(w))$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{C}_h &= \mathbb{C}_{+_h, \times} \text{ is a copy of } \mathbb{C} \text{ and } S_h : \mathbb{C}_h \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is an isomorphism.} \\ \text{In a sense, } \lim_{h \to 0} (z +_h w) &= z \sim w : \\ \text{let } \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{C}^3 \text{ be a graph of } +_h \text{ for all } h > 0 \text{ ,} \\ \Gamma &= \{(h, a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid a +_h b = c\} \text{ .} \end{split}$$

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

$$z +_h w = S_h^{-1}(S_h(z) + S_h(w))$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{C}_h &= \mathbb{C}_{+_h,\times} \text{ is a copy of } \mathbb{C} \text{ and } S_h : \mathbb{C}_h \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is an isomorphism.} \\ \text{In a sense, } \lim_{h \to 0} (z +_h w) &= z \sim w : \\ \text{let } \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{C}^3 \text{ be a graph of } +_h \text{ for all } h > 0 \text{ ,} \\ \Gamma &= \{(h, a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid a +_h b = c\} \text{ .} \end{split}$$

Then $\operatorname{Cl}(\Gamma) \cap (0 \times \mathbb{C}^3)$ is the graph of \sim .

For
$$h > 0$$
 consider a map $S_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{z}{|z|}, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$

Pull back the addition via S_h :

$$z +_h w = S_h^{-1}(S_h(z) + S_h(w))$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{C}_h &= \mathbb{C}_{+_h, \times} \text{ is a copy of } \mathbb{C} \text{ and } S_h : \mathbb{C}_h \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is an isomorphism.} \\ \text{In a sense, } \lim_{h \to 0} (z +_h w) &= z \sim w : \\ \text{let } \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{C}^3 \text{ be a graph of } +_h \text{ for all } h > 0 \text{ ,} \\ \Gamma &= \{(h, a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid a +_h b = c\} \text{ .} \end{split}$$

Then $\operatorname{Cl}(\Gamma) \cap (0 \times \mathbb{C}^3)$ is the graph of \sim .

\mathbb{C}_h is a dequantization of \mathbb{C} to $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$.

$$\mathbb{C} \equiv \mathbb{C}_h \xrightarrow{h \to 0} \mathbb{C}_0 = \mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$$







Complex Algebraic Geometry



Amoebas







Complex Algebraic Geometry

Complex Tropical Geometry



Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes.

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. Indeed, a monomial $ax_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ is $a + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n$.

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. Indeed, a monomial $ax_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ is $a + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n$, that is, a linear function $a + \langle k, x \rangle$.

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. Indeed, a monomial $ax_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ is $a + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n$, that is, a linear function $a + \langle k, x \rangle$. A polynomial is a finite sum of monomials.

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. Indeed, a monomial $ax_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ is $a + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n$, that is, a linear function $a + \langle k, x \rangle$. A polynomial is a finite sum of monomials,

that is the maximum of finite collection of linear functions.

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$

A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes.

A polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k+k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n)$ over \mathbb{T}

does not vanish, because the zero in \mathbb{T} is $-\infty$.

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. A polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ over \mathbb{T} does not vanish, because the zero in \mathbb{T} is $-\infty$. **Tricky definition.** A hypersurface defined by tropical polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ is the set of points, at which the maximum is attained by at least two of the linear functions.
Tropical Geometry

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. A polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\dots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n)$ over \mathbb{T} does not vanish, because the zero in \mathbb{T} is $-\infty$. **Tricky definition.** A hypersurface defined by tropical polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\dots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n)$ is the set of points, at which the maximum is attained by at least two of the linear functions.

The easiest way to understand this: replace \mathbb{T} by \mathbb{Y} .

Tropical Geometry

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. A polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ over \mathbb{T} does not vanish, because the zero in \mathbb{T} is $-\infty$. **Tricky definition.** A hypersurface defined by tropical polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ is the set of points, at which the maximum is attained by at least two of the linear functions.

The easiest way to understand this: replace \mathbb{T} by \mathbb{Y} .

The only difference between \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{Y} :

T is an **idempotent semiring**, $\max(x, x) = x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Y is a multifield of characteristic 2, $x \lor x = \{y \mid y \le x\}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}$.

Tropical Geometry

Usually tropical geometry is defined as an algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +), \text{ not over } \mathbb{Y}.$ A polynomial over \mathbb{T} is a convex PL-function with integral slopes. A polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ over \mathbb{T} does not vanish, because the zero in \mathbb{T} is $-\infty$. **Tricky definition.** A hypersurface defined by tropical polynomial $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ is the set of points, at which the maximum is attained by at least two of the linear functions.

The easiest way to understand this: replace \mathbb{T} by \mathbb{Y} .

The only difference between \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{Y} :

T is an **idempotent semiring**, $\max(x, x) = x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Y is a multifield of characteristic 2, $x \prec x = \{y \mid y \leq x\}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}$.

 $-\infty \in \mathsf{Y}_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ where the maximum $\max_{k=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(a_k + k_1x_1 + \cdots + k_nx_n)$ is attained at least twice.

In geometry over \mathbb{T}

In geometry over \mathbb{T}







Multi-valued algebra

Dequantizataions

Complex Tropical Geometry

• Good and bad polynomials

• Complex tropical line

- Complex tropical varieties
- Problems

Complex Tropical Geometry

Is $x = x \sim 1 \sim -1$?

Is $x = x \sim 1 \sim -1$? Somewhere yes, somewhere no.





A polynomial is said to be **pure** if it has no two monomials with the same exponents.



A polynomial is said to be **pure** if it has no two monomials with the same exponents.

Is
$$x^2 \sim -1 = (x \sim 1)(x \sim -1)$$
?



$$\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}^2\mid 0\in x\backsim y\backsim 1\}$$

$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 0 \in x \sim y \sim 1\}$

The amoeba (the image under $\text{Log}: (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$) is the tropical line

 $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 0 \in x \sim y \sim 1\}$

The amoeba (the image under $\text{Log}: (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$) is the tropical line

 $Log^{-1}(a ray)$ is a holomorphic cylinder.

 $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 0 \in x \backsim y \backsim 1\}$

The amoeba (the image under $\text{Log}: (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$) is the tropical line

 $Log^{-1}(a ray)$ is a holomorphic cylinder.



 $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 0 \in x \sim y \sim 1\}$

The amoeba (the image under $\text{Log}: (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$) is the tropical line

 $Log^{-1}(a ray)$ is a holomorphic cylinder.

$$Log^{-1} (the central point) = (0,\pi) \qquad (0,0) \qquad (\pi,0) \qquad \phi$$

Overall a disk.

Table of Contents

 $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 0 \in x \sim y \sim 1\}$

The amoeba (the image under $\text{Log}: (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$) is the tropical line



Log⁻¹(the central point) =
$$(0,\pi)$$

(0,0) $(\pi,0)$ φ
Overall a disk. A 2-manifold!

Table of Contents

Any complex toric variety is a complex tropical variety.

Any complex toric variety is a complex tropical variety.

A non-singular complex tropical plane projective curve (defined by a pure polynomial) is homeomorphic and isotopic to a non-singular complex plane projective curve of the same degree.

Any complex toric variety is a complex tropical variety.

A non-singular complex tropical plane projective curve (defined by a pure polynomial) is homeomorphic and isotopic to a non-singular complex plane projective curve of the same degree.

Conjecture. Any non-singular complex tropical variety is a topological manifold.

Any complex toric variety is a complex tropical variety.

A non-singular complex tropical plane projective curve (defined by a pure polynomial) is homeomorphic and isotopic to a non-singular complex plane projective curve of the same degree.

Conjecture. Any non-singular complex tropical variety is a topological manifold.

Conjecture. If under the dequantization a non-singular complex varieties tends to a non-singular complex tropical variety, then the dequantization provides an isotopy between the varieties.

There are lots of open questions.

There are lots of open questions. First, what are infinitesimal structures

in the complex and real tropical varieties.?

There are lots of open questions. First, what are infinitesimal structures

in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles?

There are lots of open questions. First, what are infinitesimal structures

> in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms?

There are lots of open questions.

First, what are infinitesimal structures

in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms?

Conjecture. (Itenberg, Mikhalkin, Zharkov) Let X be a complex tropical variety, $X_q = \text{Log}^{-1}(q\text{-skeleton}(\text{Log}(X)))$, $H_n^q(X) = \text{Im}(\text{in}_* : H_n(X_q) \to H_n(X))$, $H_{p,q}(X) = H_{p+q}^q(X)/H_{p+q}^{q-1}(X)$. Then $H_{p,q}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $H^{p,q}(X_h)$.

There are lots of open questions. First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms?

Second, what happens at singular points?

There are lots of open questions . First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms? Second, what happens at singular points?

Does the tropical deformation smash them completely?

There are lots of open questions . First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms? Second, what happens at singular points? Does the tropical deformation smash them completely? Third, is there other multifields responsible for the fate of higher germs of complex varieties in the dequantization?

There are lots of open questions. First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms? Second, what happens at singular points? Does the tropical deformation smash them completely? Third, is there other multifields responsible for the fate of higher germs of complex varieties in the dequantization? There is a tropical addition of quaternions.

There are lots of open questions . First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms? Second, what happens at singular points? Does the tropical deformation smash them completely? Third, is there other multifields responsible for the fate of higher germs of complex varieties in the dequantization? There is a multivalued addition of p-adic numbers.
Problems

There are lots of open questions . First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? Differential forms? Second, what happens at singular points? Does the tropical deformation smash them completely? Third, is there other multifields responsible for the fate of higher germs of complex varieties in the dequantization? Fourth, what are abstract complex tropical varieties?

Problems

There are lots of open questions. First, what are infinitesimal structures in the complex and real tropical varieties.? Tangent bundles? **Differential forms?** Second, what happens at singular points? Does the tropical deformation smash them completely? Third, is there other multifields responsible for the fate of higher germs of complex varieties in the dequantization? Fourth, what are abstract complex tropical varieties? This is a work in progress started 5 months ago.

Table of Contents

Multi-valued algebra

Triangle addition Litvinov-Maslov dequantization Dequantization $\nabla \rightarrow U\nabla$ **Multifields** Dequantization \mathbb{C} to $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$ Examples of multifields Tropical addition of complex numbers Dequantizations commute Properties of tropical addition **Tropical Geometry** Operation induced on a subset Graphs and curves Tropical addition of real numbers **Complex Tropical Geometry** Other submultifields of $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}$ Good and bad polynomials Multiring homomorphisms Complex tropical line Weakness of ideals Complex tropical varieties

Problems

Dequantizataions