

2.4 #17.

(a)  $0_V \in V_0$  (since  $V_0$  subspace)  $\Rightarrow 0_W = T(0_V) \in T(V_0)$ .

Let  $w_1, w_2 \in T(V_0)$ , and  $c \in F$ . Then

$\exists! v_1, v_2 \in V_0$  s.t.  $T(v_1) = w_1, T(v_2) = w_2$ , and:

$$w_1 + cw_2 = T(v_1) + cT(v_2) = T(v_1 + cv_2) \in T(V_0)$$

since  $v_1 + cv_2 \in V_0$  because  $V_0$  is subspace.

(b) Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  be a basis for  $V_0$ .

Then  $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_r)\}$  generates  $R(T) = T(V_0)$ .

Since  $T$  is 1-1,  $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_r)\}$  is also a L.I. set:

$$\text{if } a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_r T(v_r) = 0 \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_r \in F,$$

$$T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_r v_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i T(v_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \left( \text{since } T \text{ 1-1} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r a_i v_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r.$$

(since  $\beta$  basis)

Therefore  $T(\beta)$  is a basis for  $T(V_0)$ , and

$$\text{thus } \dim T(V_0) = \#T(\beta) = r = \#\beta = \dim V_0.$$

Remark: Can you relax the hypothesis on (a) and/or (b) for them to still be true?

2.5 #2.

$$(b) Q = [I_{\mathbb{R}^2}]_{\beta}^{\beta}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -a + 2b = 0 \rightarrow a = 2b \\ 3a - b = 10 \rightarrow 6b - b = 10 \\ b = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -a + 2b = 5 \rightarrow -a + 6a = 5 \\ 3a - b = 0 \rightarrow b = 3a \\ a = 1, b = 3 \end{cases} \quad a = 4$$

$$\text{So } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(c) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2a - b = 1 \rightarrow b = 2a - 1 \\ 5a - 3b = 0 \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow 5a - 6a + 3 = 0 \rightarrow a = 3 \\ b = 5 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2a - b = 0 \rightarrow b = 2a \\ 5a - 3b = 1 \rightarrow 5a - 6a = 1 \rightarrow a = -1, b = -2 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{So } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 5 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**2.6 #14.** We need to show that  $\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\}$  basis for  $W^\circ$ .

$$W^\circ = \{f \in V^* \mid f(w) = 0 \forall w \in W\}.$$

First we need to check that

$$\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\} \subset W^\circ:$$

$$\text{if } w \in W, \text{ then } w = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_k \in F$$

(since  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  is a basis for  $W$ );

thus, for  $j = k+1, \dots, n$  fixed,

$$f_j(w) = f_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_j(x_i) = 0$$

since  $f_j(x_i) = 0 \forall j \neq i$ .

Since this works  $\forall w \in W$ , we have  $f_j \in W^\circ$

by definition of  $W^\circ$ ,  $\forall j = k+1, \dots, n$ .

Now we can prove  $\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\}$  is a basis for  $W^\circ$ .

$\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\} \subset \beta^*$  and  $\beta^*$  is L.I. set;

therefore  $\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\}$  is L.I. too.

To show it generates  $W^\circ$ , consider any  $f \in W^\circ$ .

Since  $f \in V^*$  and  $\beta^*$  basis, we can write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in F.$$

Since  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset W$ , and  $f \in W^\circ$ , we have

$$f(x_j) = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k.$$

$$\text{But } f(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_{ij} = a_j.$$

Thus  $a_j = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k$ , i.e.

$$f = a_{k+1} f_{k+1} + \dots + a_n f_n.$$

So  $\dim W^\circ = n - k$ , and  $\dim W + \dim W^\circ = k + (n - k) = n = \dim V$ .

**3.2 #6d:** Let  $\beta, \gamma$  be standard bases for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then:

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$T$  invertible  $\Leftrightarrow [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  invertible  $\Leftrightarrow$

$\Leftrightarrow [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  has rank 3.

Reducing by rows and columns:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ has rk} = 3.$$

So  $T$  invertible. To find its inverse:

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & & 1 & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{reducing by rows}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1 \end{array}$$

Therefore  $T^{-1}: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

$$T^{-1}(b_1 + b_2x + b_3x^2) = (b_3, \frac{1}{2}b_1 - \frac{1}{2}b_2, \frac{1}{2}b_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_2 - b_3)$$

**3.3 #7d**:  $Ax=b$  has a solution  $\iff \text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(A|b)$ .

$$[A|b] = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 8 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \end{array} \right] \begin{matrix} 25/6 \\ 4/3 \\ -5/2 \\ -2 \end{matrix}$$

(reduced by rows)

Thus  $\text{rk}(A) = 4 \geq \text{rk}(A|b) \geq \text{rk}(A) \implies$   
 $\implies \text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(A|b)$  so the system has solution.

**3.4 #9**: With respect to standard coordinates, we obtain the set  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Consider  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

The reduced row echelon form will tell us exactly which columns are L.I., and therefore a basis (since we know that the given set generates).

$$A \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a basis for  $W$ .