Computing Symplectic Homology of Affine Varieties (using Spectral Sequences)

http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~markmclean/talks/spectralsequencealItogether.pdf

Related Projects (in progress)

- Diogo-Lisi
- Ganatra, Pomerleano
- Sheridan, Borman
- Hülya Argüz
- ► Joint work with Tehrani, Zinger.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Disclaimer: only one of the spectral sequences in this presentation has been constructed in detail (the second one). The details of the first one have not been worked out fully yet.

An Introduction to Spectral Sequences.

 "The words 'spectral sequence' strike fear into the hearts of many hardened mathematicians. These notes will attempt to demonstrate that spectral sequences are not so scary, and also very powerful." - M. Hutchings

An Introduction to Spectral Sequences.

- "The words 'spectral sequence' strike fear into the hearts of many hardened mathematicians. These notes will attempt to demonstrate that spectral sequences are not so scary, and also very powerful." - M. Hutchings
- "The machinery of spectral sequences, stemming from the algebraic work of Lyndon and Koszul, seemed complicated and obscure to many topologists. Nevertheless, it was successful..." - G. W. Whitehead.

An Introduction to Spectral Sequences.

- "The words 'spectral sequence' strike fear into the hearts of many hardened mathematicians. These notes will attempt to demonstrate that spectral sequences are not so scary, and also very powerful." - M. Hutchings
- "The machinery of spectral sequences, stemming from the algebraic work of Lyndon and Koszul, seemed complicated and obscure to many topologists. Nevertheless, it was successful..." - G. W. Whitehead.
- "A spectral sequence is an algebraic object, like an exact sequence, but more complicated" - J. F. Adams.

"After my article was published, John Harper sent me email and said that when he was a graduate student back in the 1960s, he personally asked Leray about the term 'spectral' and in particular asked whether it had something to do with the spectrum of an operator. Leray began his reply by saying, "Non"; unfortunately, before he could continue, some professors approached and interrupted the conversation." -Source: Timothy Chow/ Mathoverflow.net

 We will talk about *homological* spectral sequences since the workshop is on symplectic *homology*.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

A *spectral sequence* is a sequence of bigraded chain complexes. This is page 0.



A *spectral sequence* is a sequence of bigraded chain complexes. This is page 1.



A *spectral sequence* is a sequence of bigraded chain complexes. This is page 2.



A *spectral sequence* is a sequence of bigraded chain complexes. This is page 3.





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ 三国 - のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 三注 のへぐ



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● のQ@



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● のQ@

► The differential d on E^r_{*,*} has degree (-r, r - 1). In other words, we have maps:

$$d|_{E_{p,q}^r} = d_{p,q}^r : E_{p,q}^r \longrightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r.$$

► The differential d on E^r_{*,*} has degree (-r, r - 1). In other words, we have maps:

$$d|_{E_{p,q}^r} = d_{p,q}^r : E_{p,q}^r \longrightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r.$$

▶ Note that $d_{p,q}^r$ has total degree -1 since (p-r) + (q+r-1) = p+q-1.

► The differential d on E^r_{*,*} has degree (-r, r - 1). In other words, we have maps:

$$d|_{E^r_{p,q}} = d^r_{p,q} : E^r_{p,q} \longrightarrow E^r_{p-r,q+r-1}.$$

- Note that $d_{p,q}^r$ has total degree -1 since (p-r) + (q+r-1) = p + q 1.
- Also E^{r+1}_{*,*} is the homology of the previous page E^r_{*,*}. In other words,

$$E_{p,q}^{r+1} = \ker(d_{p,q}^r) / \operatorname{im}(d_{p+r,q-r+1}^{r-1}).$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで





|▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ | ≣ | のへ⊙



▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - の Q ()

What is the E^{∞} page?

It is the set of elements which 'survive' forever.

What is the E^{∞} page?

- It is the set of elements which 'survive' forever.
- In our case, all the pages E^r_{p,q} for r > 0 will be finite dimensional and they decrease in dimension as r increases.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

What is the E^{∞} page?

- It is the set of elements which 'survive' forever.
- In our case, all the pages E^r_{p,q} for r > 0 will be finite dimensional and they decrease in dimension as r increases.
- ▶ Therefore, for each p, q there is a constant $C_{p,q}$ so that $E_{p,q}^{r+1} = E_{p,q}^r$ for all $r \ge C_{p,q}$. Hence we can define $E_{p,q}^{\infty}$ to be $E_{p,q}^r$ for $r = C_{p,q}$.

▶ Definition: We say that a spectral sequence (E^r_{p,q}) converges to a graded group H_{*} if there is a filtration

$$\cdots F_{-1} \subset F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset H_*$$

so that

$$E_{p,q}^{\infty} = F_p \cap H_{p+q}/F_{p-1} \cap H_{p+q}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Definition: We say that a spectral sequence (E^r_{p,q}) converges to a graded group H_{*} if there is a filtration

$$\cdots F_{-1} \subset F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset H_*$$

so that

$$E_{p,q}^{\infty} = F_p \cap H_{p+q}/F_{p-1} \cap H_{p+q}.$$

In our case the filtration will be nice enough so that if the above spectral sequence converges then H_n = ⊕_pE[∞]_{p,n-p}.

Spectral Sequence from a filtered complex

► Theorem: Suppose we have a nice filtration … F₋₁C_{*} ⊂ F₀C_{*} ⊂ F₁C_{*} ⊂ F₂C_{*} ⊂ … ⊂ C_{*} of a chain complex (C_{*}, ∂). Then there is a spectral sequence converging to H_{*}(C_{*}, ∂) with E¹ page equal to:

$$E_{\rho,q}^1 = H_*(F_{\rho}C_{\rho+q}/F_{\rho-1}C_{\rho+q},\partial).$$

The filtration for us will be the action filtration.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★ 三▶ ★ 三▶ - 三 - のへで



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで



|▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ | 圖|| のへで

How to use spectral sequences in our context

1. Start with a filtered chain complex (in our case, the Floer chain complex with some filtration induced by the action functional).

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ
How to use spectral sequences in our context

- 1. Start with a filtered chain complex (in our case, the Floer chain complex with some filtration induced by the action functional).
- 2. Write down the E^1 -page

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_*(F_p C_{p+q}/F_{p-1} C_{p+q}, \partial).$$

How to use spectral sequences in our context

- Start with a filtered chain complex (in our case, the Floer chain complex with some filtration induced by the action functional).
- 2. Write down the E^1 -page

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_*(F_p C_{p+q}/F_{p-1} C_{p+q}, \partial).$$

3. Hope that the differentials that we are interested in vanish, or at least are understandable. For instance, if we wish to show that $H_n \neq 0$ then it is sufficient for us to find p, q so that p + q = n and the differentials $d_{p,q}^r$ and $d_{p+r,q-r+1}^r$ vanish for all $r \geq 1$.

How to use spectral sequences in our context

- 1. Start with a filtered chain complex (in our case, the Floer chain complex with some filtration induced by the action functional).
- 2. Write down the E^1 -page

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_*(F_p C_{p+q}/F_{p-1} C_{p+q}, \partial).$$

- 3. Hope that the differentials that we are interested in vanish, or at least are understandable. For instance, if we wish to show that $H_n \neq 0$ then it is sufficient for us to find p, q so that p + q = n and the differentials $d_{p,q}^r$ and $d_{p+r,q-r+1}^r$ vanish for all $r \geq 1$.
- 4. Compute $H_n = \bigoplus_p E_{p,n-p}^{\infty}$ (the direct sum of everything along the diagonal line containing (n, 0)).



<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

æ

Here $H_{3+2} = H_5$ is non-zero.

"... the behavior of this spectral sequence ... is a bit like an Elizabethan drama, full of action, in which the business of each character is to kill at least one other character, so that at the end of the play one has the stage strewn with corpses and only one actor left alive (namely the one who has to speak the last few lines)" - J. F. Adams.

A Spectral Sequence for Symplectic Homology.

We will construct a spectral sequence converging to SH_∗(A) (symplectic homology of A) where A is a smooth affine variety of dimension n with c₁(A) = 0 (there is also a similar spectral sequence when c₁(A) is torsion but we will not focus on that).

A Spectral Sequence for Symplectic Homology.

▶ We will construct a spectral sequence converging to $SH_*(A)$ (symplectic homology of A) where A is a smooth affine variety of dimension n with $c_1(A) = 0$ (there is also a similar spectral sequence when $c_1(A)$ is torsion but we will not focus on that).

• Choose a non-zero section κ_A of the canonical bundle $K_A \equiv \wedge^n T^*A$ of A.

A Spectral Sequence for Symplectic Homology.

- ▶ We will construct a spectral sequence converging to $SH_*(A)$ (symplectic homology of A) where A is a smooth affine variety of dimension n with $c_1(A) = 0$ (there is also a similar spectral sequence when $c_1(A)$ is torsion but we will not focus on that).
- Choose a non-zero section κ_A of the canonical bundle $K_A \equiv \wedge^n T^*A$ of A.
- Such a section (up to homotopy) gives $SH_*(A)$ a \mathbb{Z} -grading.

Definition: A smooth normal crossing divisor in a smooth projective variety X is a finite union of transversely intersecting smooth complex hypersurfaces (D_i)_{i∈S}.

- Definition: A smooth normal crossing divisor in a smooth projective variety X is a finite union of transversely intersecting smooth complex hypersurfaces (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- ► Theorem (Hironaka) Every smooth affine variety A is isomorphic to X ∪_{i∈S}D_i for some X, (D_i)_{i∈S} as above. From now on fix this notation.

- Definition: A smooth normal crossing divisor in a smooth projective variety X is a finite union of transversely intersecting smooth complex hypersurfaces (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- ► Theorem (Hironaka) Every smooth affine variety A is isomorphic to X − ∪_{i∈S}D_i for some X, (D_i)_{i∈S} as above. From now on fix this notation.

► For any $I \subset S$, define $D_I \equiv \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. Here, $D_{\emptyset} = X$.



We'll assume κ_A is a meromorphic section of the canonical bundle of X which is non-zero along A.

- We'll assume κ_A is a meromorphic section of the canonical bundle of X which is non-zero along A.
- ▶ We define the **discrepancy** a_i of D_i to be the order of $\kappa_A^{-1}(0)$ minus the order of $\kappa_A^{-1}(\infty)$ along D_i . I.e. $\kappa_A = z_1^{a_i}$ in some chart z_1, \dots, z_n satisfying $D_i = \{z_1 = 0\}$.

- We'll assume κ_A is a meromorphic section of the canonical bundle of X which is non-zero along A.
- We define the **discrepancy** a_i of D_i to be the order of κ_A⁻¹(0) minus the order of κ_A⁻¹(∞) along D_i. I.e. κ_A = z₁^{a_i} in some chart z₁, · · · , z_n satisfying D_i = {z₁ = 0}.

Choose an ample line bundle L on X and a holomorphic section s_A of L so that s_A restricted to A is non-zero and D = s_A⁻¹(0).

- We'll assume κ_A is a meromorphic section of the canonical bundle of X which is non-zero along A.
- We define the **discrepancy** a_i of D_i to be the order of κ_A⁻¹(0) minus the order of κ_A⁻¹(∞) along D_i. I.e. κ_A = z₁^{a_i} in some chart z₁, · · · , z_n satisfying D_i = {z₁ = 0}.
- Choose an ample line bundle L on X and a holomorphic section s_A of L so that s_A restricted to A is non-zero and D = s_A⁻¹(0).
- We define the wrapping number w_i of D_i to be minus the order of s⁻¹_A(0) along D_i.

▶ **Definition:** For each $I \subset S$ let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $D_{I \cup I'}$ for all $I' \subset S$. Also ∂ND_I should intersect $D_{I'}$ transversally for all $I' \subset S$.

- ▶ **Definition:** For each $I \subset S$ let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $D_{I \cup I'}$ for all $I' \subset S$. Also ∂ND_I should intersect $D_{I'}$ transversally for all $I' \subset S$.
- Define $\check{N}D_I \equiv ND_I \bigcup_{i \in S} D_i$. This as a bundle over $\check{V}_I \equiv D_I \bigcup_{i \in S-I} D_i$ with fiber a product of punctured disks.



- ▶ **Definition:** For each $I \subset S$ let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $D_{I \cup I'}$ for all $I' \subset S$. Also ∂ND_I should intersect $D_{I'}$ transversally for all $I' \subset S$.
- ▶ Define $\check{N}D_I \equiv ND_I \bigcup_{i \in S-I}D_i$. This as a bundle over $\check{V}_I \equiv D_I \bigcup_{i \in S-I}D_i$ with fiber a product of punctured disks.



- ▶ **Definition:** For each $I \subset S$ let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $D_{I \cup I'}$ for all $I' \subset S$. Also ∂ND_I should intersect $D_{I'}$ transversally for all $I' \subset S$.
- ▶ Define $\check{N}D_I \equiv ND_I \bigcup_{i \in S-I}D_i$. This as a bundle over $\check{V}_I \equiv D_I \bigcup_{i \in S-I}D_i$ with fiber a product of punctured disks.



Theorem (M - in progress): There is a spectral sequence converging to $SH_*(A)$ with E^1 page

$$E_{p,q}^{1} = \bigoplus_{\{(k_{i})\in\mathbb{N}^{S} : \sum_{i}k_{i}w_{i}=-p\}} H^{n-p-q-2(\sum_{i}k_{i}(a_{i}+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_{i})}})$$

where \mathbb{N}^{S} is the set of tuples of non-negative integers indexed by S and $I_{(k_i)} = \{i \in S : k_i \neq 0\}$.

There is a similar spectral sequence for SH^{>0}_{*}(A) where we sum over everything except the term corresponding to (0) ∈ N^S.

- There is a similar spectral sequence for SH^{>0}_{*}(A) where we sum over everything except the term corresponding to (0) ∈ N^S.
- If c₁ is torsion then κ_A is a section of K_A^{⊗r} and the discrepancies a_i are now defined to be the order of κ_A⁻¹(0) minus the order of κ_A⁻¹(∞) along D_i divided by r. The associated spectral sequence is identical but the pages could potentially have entries with non-integer p, q since a_i may not be an integer. The differentials have the same gradings.

- There is a similar spectral sequence for SH^{>0}_{*}(A) where we sum over everything except the term corresponding to (0) ∈ N^S.
- If c₁ is torsion then κ_A is a section of K_A^{⊗r} and the discrepancies a_i are now defined to be the order of κ_A⁻¹(0) minus the order of κ_A⁻¹(∞) along D_i divided by r. The associated spectral sequence is identical but the pages could potentially have entries with non-integer p, q since a_i may not be an integer. The differentials have the same gradings.
- The future work of Diogo-Lisi and Ganata-Pomerleano hopefully should give better descriptions of the differentials in some cases.

Other Grading Conventions

- There are other grading conventions.
- You might need to replace (p, q) with (−p, n−q) or (−p, −q) and your spectral sequence differentials will go in the other direction (this would be a cohomological spectral sequence).

•
$$X = \mathbb{CP}^n$$
, $D_1 = \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ and $A = \mathbb{C}^n$.

•
$$X = \mathbb{CP}^n$$
, $D_1 = \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ and $A = \mathbb{C}^n$.

•
$$w_1 = -1$$
 and $a_1 = -n - 1$.

$$X = \mathbb{CP}^n, D_1 = \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \text{ and } A = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

$$w_1 = -1 \text{ and } a_1 = -n - 1.$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_1) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 0 \text{ or } 2n - 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$X = \mathbb{CP}^{n}, D_{1} = \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \text{ and } A = \mathbb{C}^{n}.$$

$$w_{1} = -1 \text{ and } a_{1} = -n - 1.$$

$$H^{*}(\check{N}D_{1}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 0 \text{ or } 2n - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$H^{*}(\check{N}D_{\emptyset}) = H^{*}(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Y	υ	υ	υ	υ	υ	U
ò	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ż	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
ò	0	0	0	0	0	0
0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0→

Case:
$$n = 3$$

 $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ for all p, q
 $SH_*(A) = 0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let X be a smooth degree 5 hypersurface in CP³ and let D₁ be the intersection of X with a generic degree 1 hypersurface and A = X − D₁.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Let X be a smooth degree 5 hypersurface in CP³ and let D₁ be the intersection of X with a generic degree 1 hypersurface and A = X − D₁.

• $w_1 = -1$ and $a_1 = 1$.

Let X be a smooth degree 5 hypersurface in CP³ and let D₁ be the intersection of X with a generic degree 1 hypersurface and A = X − D₁.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let X be a smooth degree 5 hypersurface in CP³ and let D₁ be the intersection of X with a generic degree 1 hypersurface and A = X − D₁.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let X be a smooth degree 5 hypersurface in CP³ and let D₁ be the intersection of X with a generic degree 1 hypersurface and A = X − D₁.

 Computations using ideas from Milnor's paper "On simply connected 4-manifolds". See also https://amathew.wordpress.com/2012/03/05/thecohomology-of-projective-hypersurfaces/

Therefore

$$SH_*(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{64} & \text{if } * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * < -1 \text{ and } * = 0 \text{ or } 1 \mod 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{12} & \text{if } * < -1 \text{ and } * = 2 \text{ or } 3 \mod 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example with two divisors

X be a smooth degree 6 hypersurface in CP³, D₁, D₂ are generic degree 1 hypersurfaces and A = X − D₁ − D₂.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <
X be a smooth degree 6 hypersurface in CP³, D₁, D₂ are generic degree 1 hypersurfaces and A = X − D₁ − D₂.

•
$$w_1 = w_2 = -1$$
 and $a_1 = a_2 = 1$.

X be a smooth degree 6 hypersurface in CP³, D₁, D₂ are generic degree 1 hypersurfaces and A = X − D₁ − D₂.

.

$$w_1 = w_2 = -1 \text{ and } a_1 = a_2 = 1.$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_{12}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^6 & \text{if } * = 0 \text{ or } 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{12} & \text{if } * = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

X be a smooth degree 6 hypersurface in CP³, D₁, D₂ are generic degree 1 hypersurfaces and A = X − D₁ − D₂.

.

$$w_1 = w_2 = -1 \text{ and } a_1 = a_2 = 1.$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_{12}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^6 & \text{if } * = 0 \text{ or } 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{12} & \text{if } * = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_1) = H^*(\check{N}D_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{26} & \text{if } * = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{25} & \text{if } * = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

X be a smooth degree 6 hypersurface in CP³, D₁, D₂ are generic degree 1 hypersurfaces and A = X − D₁ − D₂.

$$w_1 = w_2 = -1 \text{ and } a_1 = a_2 = 1.$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_{12}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^6 & \text{if } * = 0 \text{ or } 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{12} & \text{if } * = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_1) = H^*(\check{N}D_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{26} & \text{if } * = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{25} & \text{if } * = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\check{N}D_{\emptyset}) = H^*(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{150} & \text{if } * = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

.

Therefore $SH_*(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 1 \text{ or } 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{150} & \text{if } * = 0 \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{2+3(-*-2)/2} & \text{if } * < -1 \text{ and } * = 2 \mod 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{52+3(-*-1)} & \text{if } * < -1 \text{ and } * = 1 \mod 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{50+3(-*-4)/2} & \text{if } * < -1 \text{ and } * = 0 \mod 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Weinstein Conjecture

• Weinstein conjecture: Every contact form has a Reeb orbit.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Weinstein Conjecture

- ▶ Weinstein conjecture: Every contact form has a Reeb orbit.
- Definition: A cooriented contact manifold (C, ξ) satisfies the Weinstein conjecture if every contact form α compatible with ξ has a Reeb orbit.

Weinstein Conjecture

- ▶ Weinstein conjecture: Every contact form has a Reeb orbit.
- Definition: A cooriented contact manifold (C, ξ) satisfies the Weinstein conjecture if every contact form α compatible with ξ has a Reeb orbit.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Which contact manifolds satisfy the Weinstein conjecture?

► Recall that positive symplectic homology SH^{>0}_{*}(M) of a Liouville domain M has a chain complex freely generated by two copies of each Reeb orbit on ∂M. In other words, we do not consider critical points of the Hamiltonian in the interior.

- ► Recall that positive symplectic homology SH^{>0}_{*}(M) of a Liouville domain M has a chain complex freely generated by two copies of each Reeb orbit on ∂M. In other words, we do not consider critical points of the Hamiltonian in the interior.
- Definition: *M* satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture if SH^{>0}_{*}(M) ≠ 0.

- ► Recall that positive symplectic homology SH^{>0}_{*}(M) of a Liouville domain M has a chain complex freely generated by two copies of each Reeb orbit on ∂M. In other words, we do not consider critical points of the Hamiltonian in the interior.
- Definition: *M* satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture if SH^{>0}_{*}(M) ≠ 0.

▶ **Lemma:** If *M* satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture then *∂M* satisfies the Weinstein conjecture.

- ► Recall that positive symplectic homology SH^{>0}_{*}(M) of a Liouville domain M has a chain complex freely generated by two copies of each Reeb orbit on ∂M. In other words, we do not consider critical points of the Hamiltonian in the interior.
- Definition: *M* satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture if SH^{>0}_{*}(M) ≠ 0.
- ▶ Lemma: If *M* satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture then ∂*M* satisfies the Weinstein conjecture.
- Question: Which smooth affine varieties satisfy the algebraic Weinstein conjecture?

X = smooth projective variety and A = X − ∪_iD_i where (D_i)_{i∈S} is a smooth normal crossing divisor.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- X = smooth projective variety and A = X − ∪_iD_i where (D_i)_{i∈S} is a smooth normal crossing divisor.
- ► Theorem: Suppose that the discrepancy a_i of D_i is ≤ −1 for all i ∈ S. Then A satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture.

- X = smooth projective variety and A = X − ∪_iD_i where (D_i)_{i∈S} is a smooth normal crossing divisor.
- ► Theorem: Suppose that the discrepancy a_i of D_i is ≤ −1 for all i ∈ S. Then A satisfies the algebraic Weinstein conjecture.
- Proof of the main Theorem:



This is the highest non-zero E^1 term on the highest diagonal.

This term exists since $a_i \leq -1$, $\forall i$ and it survives to the E^{∞} page since all differentials connecting this term have source or target 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□

An Additional Grading.

We have a direct sum decomposition

$${\it SH}_*({\it A}) = igoplus_{lpha \in {\it H}_1({\it A})} {\it SH}_{*,lpha}({\it A})$$

where $SH_{*,\alpha}(A)$ is the subgroup generated by periodic orbits representing α .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• This grading can be seen in our spectral sequence.

The H₁-class associated to D_i is a class α_i ∈ H₁(A) represented by the boundary of a small disk in X intersecting D_i once transversely and negatively at 0 and intersecting no other D_i's.



For each α ∈ H₁(A), there is a spectral sequence converging to SH_{*,α}(A) with E¹ page

$$E^{1}_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\left\{(k_i)\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}}: \sum_{i}k_iw_i=-p, \atop \alpha(k_i)=\alpha}\right\}} H^{n-p-q-2(\sum_ik_i(a_i+1))}(\check{N}D_{l_{(k_i)}}).$$

where $\alpha_{(k_i)} \equiv \sum_i k_i \alpha_i$.

For each α ∈ H₁(A), there is a spectral sequence converging to SH_{*,α}(A) with E¹ page

$$E^{1}_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\left\{(k_i)\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathsf{S}} : \sum_{i} k_i w_i = -p, \atop \alpha_{(k_i)} = \alpha}\right\}} H^{n-p-q-2(\sum_i k_i(a_i+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_i)}}).$$

where $\alpha_{(k_i)} \equiv \sum_i k_i \alpha_i$.

Our original spectral sequence is the direct sum of the above ones over all α ∈ H₁(A).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

► Simple Corollary. Our spectral sequence degenerates at the E¹ page when the affine variety A is one dimensional and not equal to C.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- ► Simple Corollary. Our spectral sequence degenerates at the E¹ page when the affine variety A is one dimensional and not equal to C.
- ► Therefore if A = C {p₁, · · · , p_l} where C is a Riemann surface and p₁, · · · , p_l distinct points then

$$SH_*(A) = H^{1-*}(C) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \left(\oplus_{k\geq 1} H^{1-*-2k(a_i+1)}(S^1) \right)$$

Here a_i is the discrepancy of the divisor p_i , which isn't unique. The only constraint is $\sum_i a_i = -\chi(C)$.

- ► Simple Corollary. Our spectral sequence degenerates at the E¹ page when the affine variety A is one dimensional and not equal to C.
- ► Therefore if A = C {p₁, · · · , p_l} where C is a Riemann surface and p₁, · · · , p_l distinct points then

$$SH_*(A) = H^{1-*}(C) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \left(\oplus_{k\geq 1} H^{1-*-2k(a_i+1)}(S^1) \right)$$

Here a_i is the discrepancy of the divisor p_i , which isn't unique. The only constraint is $\sum_i a_i = -\chi(C)$.

Proof:

The spectral sequence computing $SH_{*,\alpha}(A)$ is non-zero only in one column for each $\alpha \in H_1(A)$.

Theorem

The spectral sequence degenerates at the E^1 page when A is the complement of $\ge n + 2$ generic linear hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CP}^n . I.e.

$$SH_*(A) = \bigoplus_{(k_i) \in \mathbb{N}^S} H^{n-*-2(\sum_i k_i(a_i+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_i)}}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Theorem

The spectral sequence degenerates at the E^1 page when A is the complement of $\ge n + 2$ generic linear hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CP}^n . I.e.

$$SH_*(A) = \bigoplus_{(k_i) \in \mathbb{N}^S} H^{n-*-2(\sum_i k_i(a_i+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_i)}}).$$

Proof:

We have that $H_1(A)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group generated by $(\alpha_i)_{i \in S}$ quotiented out by the relation $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i = 0$ where α_i is the H_1 -class associated to D_i . This means that for each $\alpha \in H_1(A)$, there is *at most* one representation of α of the form $\sum_{i \in I} k_i \alpha_i$ where $|I| \leq n$ and $k_i \geq 0$. Therefore the E^1 page of the spectral sequence computing

Therefore the E^1 page of the spectral sequence computing $SH_{*,\alpha}(A)$ is contained in at most one column and hence must degenerate.

Open Question: What happens when the linear hypersurfaces are not generic?

Open Question: What happens when the linear hypersurfaces are not generic?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

▶ Can we still compute *SH*_{*}(*A*) in this case?

Open Question: What happens when the linear hypersurfaces are not generic?

- ▶ Can we still compute *SH*_{*}(*A*) in this case?
- Does it detect the dual graph of these hypersurfaces?

Additional Structure

For many important varieties (e.g log Calabi-Yau varieties), the spectral sequence does not help us compute SH_{*}(A) as the differentials may not be 0. Also we wish to compute SH_{*}(A) as an algebra with the pair of pants product.

Additional Structure

- For many important varieties (e.g log Calabi-Yau varieties), the spectral sequence does not help us compute SH_{*}(A) as the differentials may not be 0. Also we wish to compute SH_{*}(A) as an algebra with the pair of pants product.
- ► A spectral sequence E^{*}_{*,*} is a spectral sequence of algebras if each page E^r_{*,*} is a differential bigraded algebra so that the product structure on E^{r+1}_{*,*} is induced by the product structure on E^r_{*,*} for each r.

Additional Structure

- For many important varieties (e.g log Calabi-Yau varieties), the spectral sequence does not help us compute SH_{*}(A) as the differentials may not be 0. Also we wish to compute SH_{*}(A) as an algebra with the pair of pants product.
- ► A spectral sequence E^{*}_{*,*} is a spectral sequence of algebras if each page E^r_{*,*} is a differential bigraded algebra so that the product structure on E^{r+1}_{*,*} is induced by the product structure on E^r_{*,*} for each r.
- Convergence is defined in the same way, except that the filtration has to respect the product structure on the algebra H_{*}.

• Assume: $\check{N}D_{I'} \subset \check{N}D_I$ for all $I \subset I'$.

- Assume: $\check{N}D_{I'} \subset \check{N}D_I$ for all $I \subset I'$.
- Let $\iota_{I',I} : \check{N}D_{I'} \to \check{N}D_I$ be the natural inclusion map.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Assume: $\check{N}D_{I'} \subset \check{N}D_I$ for all $I \subset I'$.
- Let $\iota_{I',I} : \check{N}D_{I'} \to \check{N}D_I$ be the natural inclusion map.
- For all $I, J \subset S$, define:

$$egin{array}{lll} P_{IJ}:H^*(\check{N}D_I)\otimes H^*(\check{N}D_J)\longrightarrow H^*(\check{N}D_{I\cup J})\ a\otimes b\longrightarrow \iota^*_{I\cup J,I}a\ \cup\ \iota^*_{I\cup J,J}b. \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Conjecture

The spectral sequence above is in fact a spectral sequence of algebras converging to $SH_{n+*}(A)$ with the pair of pants product. The product structure

$$E^1_{p,q}\otimes E^1_{p',q'}\longrightarrow E^1_{p+p',q+q'}$$

on the E^1 page

$$E_{p,q}^{1} = \bigoplus_{\{(k_{i})\in\mathbb{N}^{S} : \sum_{i}k_{i}w_{i}=-p\}} H^{-p-q-2(\sum_{i}k_{i}(a_{i}+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_{i})}}).$$

is induced by the maps P_{IJ} above.

Recall: α_i is the H_1 -class associated to D_i .

Theorem (assuming conjecture): Suppose that $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in S$ and suppose the union of all images of the restriction maps $P_{il} : H^*(\check{N}D_i) \longrightarrow H^*(\check{N}D_l)$ for all $i \in I$ generate $H^*(\check{N}D_l)$ as an algebra for all $I \subset S$. Then the spectral sequence above degenerates on the first page. Hence there is a filtration on $SH_{n+*}(A)$ whose associated graded algebra is:

$$\bigoplus_{k_i)\in\mathbb{N}^S} H^{-*-2(\sum_i k_i(a_i+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_i)}}),$$

graded by $\sum_i k_i$.

► Folklore Theorem(?) If a degree n or n - 1 element in the p = 0 page is killed then the affine variety A is ruled by lines C.

Related to the work of Diogo-Lisi and Ganata-Pomerleano.
► Folklore Theorem(?) If a degree n or n - 1 element in the p = 0 page is killed then the affine variety A is ruled by lines C.

Related to the work of Diogo-Lisi and Ganata-Pomerleano.

► Why?

Because one should be able to make the Hamiltonian H defining $SH_*(A)$ equal to 0 and then a limiting argument produces a family of curves isomorphic to \mathbb{C} passing through every point of a real hypersurface and hence through every point of A (since the space of such curves has even real dimension).

Other Floer Cohomology Groups

1. Floer homology $H\!F_*(\phi)$ of a symplectomorphism

 $\phi: M \longrightarrow M$. The chain complex here is generated by fixed points of ϕ and the differential counts holomorphic strips $u: \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow M$ satisfying $\phi(u(s,1)) = u(s,0)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Other Floer Cohomology Groups

- Floer homology *HF*_{*}(φ) of a symplectomorphism
 φ : *M* → *M*. The chain complex here is generated by fixed
 points of φ and the differential counts holomorphic strips
 u : ℝ × [0,1] → *M* satisfying φ(*u*(*s*,1)) = *u*(*s*,0) for all
 s ∈ ℝ.
- Full contact homology CH_{*}(C, ξ) of a 2n 1-contact manifold (C, ξ) indexed by Conley-Zehnder index +(n - 3). Chain complex is the free supercommutative algebra generated by Reeb orbits of a compatible contact form λ. The differential is:

 γ_1

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Number of holomorphic in the symplectization is the γ coefficient of $\partial(\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3)$. Floer homology of a symplectomorphism

• (M, θ) = Liouville domain and r_M is the cylindrical coordinate near ∂M .

Floer homology of a symplectomorphism

- (M, θ) = Liouville domain and r_M is the cylindrical coordinate near ∂M .
- ▶ Let $\phi : M \longrightarrow M$ be an exact symplectomorphism (i.e. $\phi^* \theta = \theta + dF_{\phi}$) so that $\phi = id$ near ∂M and $F_{\phi} = 0$ near ∂M .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Floer homology of a symplectomorphism

- (M, θ) = Liouville domain and r_M is the cylindrical coordinate near ∂M .
- ▶ Let $\phi : M \longrightarrow M$ be an exact symplectomorphism (i.e. $\phi^* \theta = \theta + dF_{\phi}$) so that $\phi = id$ near ∂M and $F_{\phi} = 0$ near ∂M .
- A positive slope perturbation of ϕ is a C^{∞} small perturbation to $\check{\phi}$ so that ϕ is the time 1 flow of the Hamiltonian δr_M near ∂M where $\delta > 0$ is small (I.e. $\check{\phi}$ is the time δ Reeb flow near ∂M).

Assume that \u03c6 is a graded symplectomorphism (enabling us to give fixed points a grading).

Assume that \u03c6 is a graded symplectomorphism (enabling us to give fixed points a grading).

• Choose a generic positive slope perturbation $\check{\phi}$ of ϕ .

- Assume that \u03c6 is a graded symplectomorphism (enabling us to give fixed points a grading).
- Choose a generic positive slope perturbation $\check{\phi}$ of ϕ .
- ► The chain complex for HF_{*}(φ, +) is the free group generated by fixed points of φ̃.

- Assume that \u03c6 is a graded symplectomorphism (enabling us to give fixed points a grading).
- Choose a generic positive slope perturbation $\check{\phi}$ of ϕ .
- ► The chain complex for HF_{*}(φ, +) is the free group generated by fixed points of φ̃.
- Fix almost complex structures (J_t)_{t∈[0,1]} which are cylindrical near ∂M. The differential counts smooth maps u : ℝ × [0, 1] → M connecting these fixed points satisfying

- Assume that \u03c6 is a graded symplectomorphism (enabling us to give fixed points a grading).
- Choose a generic positive slope perturbation $\check{\phi}$ of ϕ .
- ► The chain complex for HF_{*}(φ, +) is the free group generated by fixed points of φ̃.
- Fix almost complex structures (J_t)_{t∈[0,1]} which are cylindrical near ∂M. The differential counts smooth maps
 u : ℝ × [0,1] → M connecting these fixed points satisfying
 1. ∂_su(s, t) + J_t∂_tu(s, t) = 0.

- Assume that \u03c6 is a graded symplectomorphism (enabling us to give fixed points a grading).
- Choose a generic positive slope perturbation $\check{\phi}$ of ϕ .
- ► The chain complex for HF_{*}(φ, +) is the free group generated by fixed points of φ̃.
- Fix almost complex structures (J_t)_{t∈[0,1]} which are cylindrical near ∂M. The differential counts smooth maps u : ℝ × [0,1] → M connecting these fixed points satisfying

1.
$$\partial_s u(s,t) + J_t \partial_t u(s,t) = 0.$$

2. $\phi(u(s,1)) = u(s,0)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let f : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C be a polynomial with at most one isolated singularity at 0 and no other singularities.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

- Let f : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C be a polynomial with at most one isolated singularity at 0 and no other singularities.
- Choose 0 < δ ≪ ε ≪ 1 and let B(ε) ⊂ Cⁿ⁺¹ be the closed ball of radius ε. Then
 (M_z, θ_z) ≡ (f⁻¹(z) ∩ B(ε), ½∑_i x_idy_i − y_idx_i) is a Liouville domain for all |z| < δ called the Milnor fiber of f.</p>



- Let f : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C be a polynomial with at most one isolated singularity at 0 and no other singularities.
- Choose 0 < δ ≪ ε ≪ 1 and let B(ε) ⊂ Cⁿ⁺¹ be the closed ball of radius ε. Then
 (M_z, θ_z) ≡ (f⁻¹(z) ∩ B(ε), ½∑_i x_idy_i − y_idx_i) is a Liouville domain for all |z| < δ called the Milnor fiber of f.</p>



• The monodromy map $\phi_f : M_{\delta} \longrightarrow M_{\delta}$ around the loop $\epsilon e^{it}, t \in [0, 2\pi]$ can be deformed to an exact symplectomorphism as above. It has a grading induced from \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

• **Definition:** A log resolution of $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ is a proper map $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

• **Definition:** A *log resolution* of $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ is a proper map $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

1. $\pi|_{Y-\pi^{-1}(f^{-1}(0))}$ is a biholomorphism onto its image.

- **Definition:** A *log resolution* of $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ is a proper map $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that
 - 1. $\pi|_{Y-\pi^{-1}(f^{-1}(0))}$ is a biholomorphism onto its image.
 - 2. $\pi^{-1}(f^{-1}(0))$ is a smooth normal crossing divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- **Definition:** A log resolution of $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ is a proper map $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

 - π|_{Y-π⁻¹(f⁻¹(0))} is a biholomorphism onto its image.
 π⁻¹(f⁻¹(0)) is a smooth normal crossing divisor (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- ▶ The hypersurfaces $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ are called *resolution divisors* and the hypersurfaces $(D_i)_{i \in \widehat{S}}$, $\widehat{S} \subset S$ satisfying $\pi(D_i) = \{0\}$ are called exceptional divisors.



- **Definition:** A log resolution of $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ is a proper map $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

 - π|_{Y-π⁻¹(f⁻¹(0))} is a biholomorphism onto its image.
 π⁻¹(f⁻¹(0)) is a smooth normal crossing divisor (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- The hypersurfaces $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ are called *resolution divisors* and the hypersurfaces $(D_i)_{i \in \widehat{S}}$, $\widehat{S} \subset S$ satisfying $\pi(D_i) = \{0\}$ are called exceptional divisors.



• Goal: compute (parts of) $HF_*(\phi_f, +)$ from a log resolution.

$$\blacktriangleright f(z_0,\cdots,z_n)=z_0.$$

•
$$f(z_0,\cdots,z_n)=z_0.$$

• The Milnor fiber is the ball of radius ϵ in \mathbb{C}^n .

•
$$f(z_0,\cdots,z_n)=z_0.$$

- The Milnor fiber is the ball of radius ϵ in \mathbb{C}^n .
- The monodromy map \u03c6_f is the identity map, but the grading is non-trivial.

•
$$f(z_0,\cdots,z_n)=z_0.$$

- The Milnor fiber is the ball of radius ϵ in \mathbb{C}^n .
- The monodromy map \u03c6_f is the identity map, but the grading is non-trivial.

$$\blacktriangleright HF_*(\phi_f^m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = n + 2m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

► The multiplicity m_i of f along D_i is the order of $(f \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$ along D_i .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

► The multiplicity m_i of f along D_i is the order of $(f \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$ along D_i .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Define $D_i^o \equiv D_i - \bigcup_{j \in S-i} D_j$ for all $i \in S$.

- ► The multiplicity m_i of f along D_i is the order of $(f \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$ along D_i .
- Define $D_i^o \equiv D_i \bigcup_{j \in S-i} D_j$ for all $i \in S$.
- Theorem (A'Campo)

$$\Lambda(\phi_f^m) = \sum_{\{i \in \widehat{S} : |m_i| |m\}} m_i \chi(D_i^o), \quad \forall m > 0.$$

▶ Definition Let s_Y be a meromorphic section of an ample line bundle on Y with a pole of order w_i along D_i for all i ∈ Ŝ and which is non-zero and holomorphic away from π⁻¹(0). The wrapping number of D_i is defined to be w_i.

- ▶ Definition Let s_Y be a meromorphic section of an ample line bundle on Y with a pole of order w_i along D_i for all i ∈ Ŝ and which is non-zero and holomorphic away from π⁻¹(0). The wrapping number of D_i is defined to be w_i.
- ▶ Definition Choose a holomorphic coordinate chart x₁, ..., x_{n+1} centered at some point of D_i. The discrepancy a_i of D_i is the order of the Jacobian of π(x₁, ..., x_n) along D_i.

Definition A multiplicity *m* separating resolution π : Y → Cⁿ⁺¹ is a log resolution as above so that m_i + m_j > m for all i, j ∈ S satisfying D_{ij} ≠ 0. I.e. the sum of the multiplicities of adjacent resolution divisors is greater than m.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition For each i ∈ S let ND_i be a small tubular neighborhood of D_i with boundary transverse to all of the strata of ∪_iD_i. Define D̃_i ≡ f⁻¹(δ) ∩ ND_i for δ > 0 sufficiently small.

This is homotopic to an m_i -fold cover of D_i^o .



Theorem (M - 98% done): Fix m > 0, and let π : Y → Cⁿ⁺¹ be a multiplicity m separating resolution. Then there is a spectral sequence converging to HF_{*}(φ^m_f) with E¹ page

$$E_{p,q}^{1} = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \widehat{S} : \frac{m_{i}|m}{m_{i}}w_{i}=p}} H_{n+p+q-2m\left(\frac{a_{i}+1}{m_{i}}\right)}(\widetilde{D}_{i})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (M - 98% done): Fix m > 0, and let π : Y → Cⁿ⁺¹ be a multiplicity m separating resolution. Then there is a spectral sequence converging to HF_{*}(φ^m_f) with E¹ page

$$E_{p,q}^{1} = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \widehat{S} : \frac{m_{i}|m}{m_{i}}w_{i} = p}} H_{n+p+q-2m\left(\frac{a_{i}+1}{m_{i}}\right)}(\widetilde{D}_{i})$$

► The Euler characteristic of the right hand side is naturally equal to (-1)ⁿ times the right hand side of A'Campo's formula above. Similarly the left hand side of A'Campo's formula is (-1)ⁿ χ(HF_{*}(φ^m_f)).

Simplest Example, m=1.

• Suppose $f(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = z_1$ and m = 1.



Simplest Example, m=1.

- Suppose $f(z_1, \cdots, z_{n+1}) = z_1$ and m = 1.
- Multiplicity 1 separating resolution is 1-point blowup at 0.

$$D_1 = f^{-1}(0) \left| \underbrace{Bl_0}_{D_1} \right|$$
Simplest Example, m=1.

- Suppose $f(z_1, \cdots, z_{n+1}) = z_1$ and m = 1.
- Multiplicity 1 separating resolution is 1-point blowup at 0.

$$D_1 = f^{-1}(0) \left| \underbrace{Bl_0}_{D_1} \right|$$

• $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 1, w_2 = 1$ and $a_2 = n - 1$.

Simplest Example, m=1.

- Suppose $f(z_1, \cdots, z_{n+1}) = z_1$ and m = 1.
- Multiplicity 1 separating resolution is 1-point blowup at 0.

$$D_1 = f^{-1}(0) \left| \underbrace{Bl_0}_{D_1} \right|$$

- $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 1, w_2 = 1$ and $a_2 = n 1$.
- Our spectral sequence degenerates and we get:

$$HF_*(\phi_f) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Simplest Example, m=2, n=2.

• Suppose
$$n = 2$$
, $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1$ and $m = 2$.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Simplest Example, m=2, n=2.

• Suppose
$$n = 2$$
, $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1$ and $m = 2$.

Multiplicity 1 separating resolution is 1-point blowup at 0 followed by an additional blowup along the intersection of the exceptional divisor with the proper transform.

$$D_1 = f^{-1}(0) \left| \underbrace{Bl_0}_{D_1} \right| \begin{array}{c} D_2 \\ Bl_{D_1 \cap D_2} \\ D_1 \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} D_2 \\ D_3 \end{array}$$

Simplest Example, m=2, n=2.

• Suppose
$$n = 2$$
, $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1$ and $m = 2$.

Multiplicity 1 separating resolution is 1-point blowup at 0 followed by an additional blowup along the intersection of the exceptional divisor with the proper transform.

$$D_1 = f^{-1}(0) \left| \underbrace{Bl_0}_{D_1} \right| \begin{array}{c} D_2 \\ Bl_{D_1 \cap D_2} \\ D_1 \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} D_2 \\ D_3 \end{array}$$

▶ $w_2 = 2, w_3 = 3, m_2 = 1, m_3 = 2, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2$ and $H_*(\widetilde{D}_2^o) = H_*(\text{pt})$ and $H_*(\widetilde{D}_3^o) = H_*(S^1)$.



Case:
$$n = 2$$

 $HF_*(\phi_f^2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● のへで

Example 2, $x^2 + y^3$, m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

$$H_{*}(\widetilde{D}_{3}^{o}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} H_{*}(S^{1}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} m_{4} = 6 & a_{4} = 4 \\ D_{4} & w_{3} = 14 \end{array}$$

$$x^{2} + y^{3} = 0 \qquad \qquad D_{1} \qquad D_{2} \qquad \qquad D_{3} \qquad \qquad \\ m_{1} = 1 \qquad D_{2} = 2 \\ a_{2} = 1 \qquad \qquad D_{3} = 3 \\ a_{3} = 2 \\ w_{3} = 8 \qquad \qquad \\ H_{*}(\widetilde{D}_{2}^{o}) = H_{*}(S^{1}) \quad H_{*}(\widetilde{D}_{3}^{o}) = H_{*}(S^{1})$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

1.
$$HF_*(\phi_f^m) = 0$$
 if $m = 1, 5$,
2. $HF_*(\phi_f^2) = H_{*-2}(S^1)$,
3. $HF_*(\phi_f^3) = H_{*-4}(S^1)$ and
4. $HF_*(\phi_f^4) = H_{*-6}(S^1)$.

 $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^3$

Having said that, we cannot use the spectral sequence to compute $HF_*(\phi_f^6)$ since our E^1 page is:





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

æ

•
$$HF_*(\phi_f^7) = H^{*-11}(S^1).$$

Theorem 2 Fix m > 0. Let π : Y → Cⁿ⁺¹ be a multiplicity m separating resolution with exceptional divisors (D_i)_{i∈S} of multiplicity (m_i)_{i∈S} and discrepancy (a_i)_{i∈S}. Define S_m ≡ {i ∈ Ŝ : m_i|m}. Then

$$\inf\{\alpha: HF_{\alpha}(\phi_{f}^{m}, +) \neq 0\} = \inf\left\{2m\left(\frac{a_{i}+1}{m_{i}}\right) - n : i \in S_{m}\right\}.$$

In particular, $HF_*(\phi_f^m, +)$ vanishes if and only if m_i does not divide m for each $i \in \widehat{S}$.

Proof



This is the lowest non-zero E^1 term on the lowest diagonal.

This term exists since $\dim(\bigoplus_{p,q} E_{p,q}^1) < \infty$ and it survives to the E^{∞} page since all differentials connecting this term have source or target 0.

Multiplicity

Definition The multiplicity mult₀f of f at 0 is defined to be the degree of the lowest homogeneous term of f.

Multiplicity

Definition The multiplicity mult₀f of f at 0 is defined to be the degree of the lowest homogeneous term of f.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• E.g.
$$mult_0(x^2 + y^3) = 2$$
.

Multiplicity

Definition The multiplicity mult₀f of f at 0 is defined to be the degree of the lowest homogeneous term of f.

• E.g.
$$mult_0(x^2 + y^3) = 2$$
.

• Lemma:
$$\operatorname{mult}_0 f = \min_{i \in \widehat{S}} m_i$$
.
E.g.

$$m_4 = 6$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x^{2} + y^{3} = 0 \\
 & \swarrow \\
 m_{1} = 1
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
 D_{1} \\
 m_{2} = 2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
 D_{3} \\
 m_{3} = 3
\end{array}$$
Here mult₀(x² + y³) = min(m₂, m₃, m₄) = 2.

Corollary of Theorem 2: $\operatorname{mult}_0(f) = \inf_m HF_*(\phi_f^m, +) \neq 0$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

This proves a conjecture by Seidel.

E.g.
If
$$f = x^2 + y^3$$
 then $HF_*(\phi_f, +) = 0$ but
 $HF_*(\phi_f^2, +) = H_{*-2}(S^1) \neq 0.$

The log canonical threshold of f at 0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) \equiv \sup\left\{s > 0 \ : \ \frac{1}{|f|^{2s}} \text{ is locally integrable near } 0
ight\}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The log canonical threshold of f at 0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) \equiv \sup\left\{s > 0 \ : \ \frac{1}{|f|^{2s}} \text{ is locally integrable near } 0
ight\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Introduced by work of Atiyah.

The log canonical threshold of f at 0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) \equiv \sup\left\{s > 0 \ : \ \frac{1}{|f|^{2s}} \text{ is locally integrable near } 0
ight\}.$$

- Introduced by work of Atiyah.
- If f ∈ Z[x₀, · · · , x_n] then lct₀(f) is related to the rate of growth of the number of solutions of f in Z/p^mZ as m→∞ (Igusa).

The log canonical threshold of f at 0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) \equiv \sup\left\{s > 0 \ : \ \frac{1}{|f|^{2s}} \text{ is locally integrable near } 0
ight\}$$

- Introduced by work of Atiyah.
- If f ∈ Z[x₀, · · · , x_n] then lct₀(f) is related to the rate of growth of the number of solutions of f in Z/p^mZ as m→∞ (Igusa).
- ► A version of this invariant can be used as a criterion for the existence of Kähler Einstein metrics (Tian).

The log canonical threshold of f at 0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) \equiv \sup\left\{s > 0 \ : \ \frac{1}{|f|^{2s}} \text{ is locally integrable near } 0
ight\}$$

- Introduced by work of Atiyah.
- If f ∈ Z[x₀, · · · , x_n] then lct₀(f) is related to the rate of growth of the number of solutions of f in Z/p^mZ as m→∞ (Igusa).
- A version of this invariant can be used as a criterion for the existence of Kähler Einstein metrics (Tian).
- Used for proving vanishing theorems in algebraic geometry (helpful in birational geometry).

The log canonical threshold of f at 0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) \equiv \sup\left\{s > 0 \ : \ \frac{1}{|f|^{2s}} \text{ is locally integrable near } 0\right\}$$

- Introduced by work of Atiyah.
- If f ∈ Z[x₀, · · · , x_n] then lct₀(f) is related to the rate of growth of the number of solutions of f in Z/p^mZ as m→∞ (Igusa).
- A version of this invariant can be used as a criterion for the existence of Kähler Einstein metrics (Tian).
- Used for proving vanishing theorems in algebraic geometry (helpful in birational geometry).
- A version of lct has been used to prove certain Fano manifolds are non-rational (Corti, de Fernex, Ein, Mustata).

Lemma: Let π : Y → Cⁿ⁺¹ be a log resolution for (Cⁿ⁺¹, f⁻¹(0)) with exceptional divisors (D_i)_{i∈S} of multiplicity (m_i)_{i∈S} and discrepancy (a_i)_{i∈S}. Then

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) = \min\left\{ eta \ : \ eta = rac{a_i+1}{m_i} ext{ for some } i ext{ or } eta = 1
ight\}.$$

▶ Lemma: Let $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a log resolution for $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ with exceptional divisors $(D_i)_{i\in\widehat{S}}$ of multiplicity $(m_i)_{i\in\widehat{S}}$ and discrepancy $(a_i)_{i\in\widehat{S}}$. Then

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) = \min\left\{\beta : \beta = \frac{a_i + 1}{m_i} \text{ for some } i \text{ or } \beta = 1\right\}.$$

proof idea: 1/|f|^{2s} is integrable near 0 iff its pullback to Y is integrable near π⁻¹(0) with respect to the pullback measure. Use a change of variables formula.

▶ Lemma: Let $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a log resolution for $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f^{-1}(0))$ with exceptional divisors $(D_i)_{i\in\widehat{S}}$ of multiplicity $(m_i)_{i\in\widehat{S}}$ and discrepancy $(a_i)_{i\in\widehat{S}}$. Then

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) = \min\left\{\beta : \beta = \frac{a_i + 1}{m_i} \text{ for some } i \text{ or } \beta = 1\right\}.$$

▶ proof idea: ¹/_{|f|^{2s}} is integrable near 0 iff its pullback to Y is integrable near π⁻¹(0) with respect to the pullback measure. Use a change of variables formula.

▶ **Corollary**: lct₀(*f*) is a rational number.

E.g.



▲□▶ ▲冊▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ - ヨ - の々で

Aside: Counting Solutions Mod p^m

Suppose f ∈ Z[z₀, · · · , z_n] and let N_k be the number of solutions of f = 0 mod p^k (p is a fixed prime).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Aside: Counting Solutions Mod p^m

- Suppose f ∈ Z[z₀, · · · , z_n] and let N_k be the number of solutions of f = 0 mod p^k (p is a fixed prime).
- ► Theorem (Igusa). Z_p(f) := ∑_{k∈ℕ} p^{-kn}N_kz^k is a meromorphic function whose nearest pole is at -lct₀(f). (Proof uses p-adic integration).

Aside: Counting Solutions Mod p^m

- Suppose f ∈ Z[z₀, · · · , z_n] and let N_k be the number of solutions of f = 0 mod p^k (p is a fixed prime).
- ► Theorem (Igusa). Z_p(f) := ∑_{k∈ℕ} p^{-kn}N_kz^k is a meromorphic function whose nearest pole is at -lct₀(f). (Proof uses p-adic integration).
- ► This means that we know the radius of convergence of Z_p(f) which can be used to estimate the growth of N_k.

► E.g. (ratio test):

$$\lim \frac{p^{-(k+1)n} N_{k+1}}{p^{-kn} N_k} |z| = \lim \frac{N_{k+1}}{p^n N_k} < 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad |z| < \mathsf{lct}_0(f).$$

► E.g. (ratio test):

$$\lim \frac{p^{-(k+1)n} N_{k+1}}{p^{-kn} N_k} |z| = \lim \frac{N_{k+1}}{p^n N_k} < 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad |z| < \mathsf{lct}_0(f).$$

• Hence $\exists C_1, C_2$ such that

$$C_1\left(rac{p^n}{\mathsf{lct}_0(f)}
ight)^k < N_k < C_2\left(rac{p^n}{\mathsf{lct}_0(f)}
ight)^k \quad orall k.$$

Reminder: Theorem 2 gives us the formula:

$$\inf\{\alpha: HF_{\alpha}(\phi_{f}^{m}, +) \neq 0\} = \inf\left\{2m\left(\frac{a_{i}+1}{m_{i}}\right) - n : i \in S_{m}\right\}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Hence:

Reminder: Theorem 2 gives us the formula:

$$\inf\{lpha: \mathit{HF}_lpha(\phi_f^m,+)
eq 0\} = \inf\left\{2m\left(rac{a_i+1}{m_i}
ight) - n \; : \; i\in \mathcal{S}_m
ight\}.$$

Hence:

Corollary of Theorem 2:

$$\operatorname{lct}_0(f) = \inf \left\{ \frac{lpha + n}{2m} : HF_{lpha}(\phi_f^m) \neq 0 \text{ or } \frac{lpha + n}{2m} = 1 \right\}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

▶ Lemma (Varchenko) For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $L_f \equiv f^{-1}(0) \cap S(\epsilon)$ is a contact submanifold of the ϵ -sphere $S(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

- ▶ Lemma (Varchenko) For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $L_f \equiv f^{-1}(0) \cap S(\epsilon)$ is a contact submanifold of the ϵ -sphere $S(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.
- Definition: The embedded link of f at zero is the contact submanifold L_f ⊂ S(ε).

- ▶ Lemma (Varchenko) For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $L_f \equiv f^{-1}(0) \cap S(\epsilon)$ is a contact submanifold of the ϵ -sphere $S(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.
- Definition: The embedded link of f at zero is the contact submanifold L_f ⊂ S(ε).
- *f*₁ and *f*₂ have contactomorphic embedded links if there is a coorientation preserving contactomorphism *S*(*ϵ*) → *S*(*ϵ*) sending *L*_{f1} to *L*_{f2}.

- ▶ Lemma (Varchenko) For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $L_f \equiv f^{-1}(0) \cap S(\epsilon)$ is a contact submanifold of the ϵ -sphere $S(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.
- Definition: The embedded link of f at zero is the contact submanifold L_f ⊂ S(ε).
- ▶ f_1 and f_2 have **contactomorphic embedded links** if there is a coorientation preserving contactomorphism $S(\epsilon) \longrightarrow S(\epsilon)$ sending L_{f_1} to L_{f_2} .
- ► Theorem If f₁ and f₂ have contactomorphic embedded links then HF_{*}(φ^m_{f1}) = HF_{*}(φ^m_{f2}), ∀ m > 0.
► Zariski Conjecture: Let f₁, f₂ : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C have isolated singularities at 0 and suppose that there is a diffeomorphism S(ε) → S(ε) sending L_{f1} to L_{f2} then is the multiplicity of f₁ equal to the multiplicity of f₂?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Zariski Conjecture: Let f₁, f₂ : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C have isolated singularities at 0 and suppose that there is a diffeomorphism S(ε) → S(ε) sending L_{f1} to L_{f2} then is the multiplicity of f₁ equal to the multiplicity of f₂?
- Question: What about log canonical Threshold? (See N. Budur 2012).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Zariski Conjecture: Let f₁, f₂ : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C have isolated singularities at 0 and suppose that there is a diffeomorphism S(ε) → S(ε) sending L_{f1} to L_{f2} then is the multiplicity of f₁ equal to the multiplicity of f₂?
- Question: What about log canonical Threshold? (See N. Budur 2012).
- ► Corollary: Suppose f₁ and f₂ have contactomorphic embedded links, then they have the same multiplicity and log canonical threshold at 0.

- ► Zariski Conjecture: Let f₁, f₂ : Cⁿ⁺¹ → C have isolated singularities at 0 and suppose that there is a diffeomorphism S(ε) → S(ε) sending L_{f1} to L_{f2} then is the multiplicity of f₁ equal to the multiplicity of f₂?
- Question: What about log canonical Threshold? (See N. Budur 2012).
- ► Corollary: Suppose f₁ and f₂ have contactomorphic embedded links, then they have the same multiplicity and log canonical threshold at 0.
- ▶ For instance, if $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ then the number N_k^1 , N_k^2 of solutions of $f_1 = 0$ and $f_2 = 0 \mod p^k$ respectively satisfy

$$C_1 N_k^1 < N_k^2 < C_2 N_k^2$$

for some constants C_1, C_2 .

Full Contact Homology

Full contact homology $CH_*(C,\xi)$ of a 2n-1-contact manifold (C,ξ) indexed by Conley-Zehnder index +(n-3). Chain complex is the free supercommutative algebra generated by Reeb orbits of a compatible contact form λ . The differential is:



▶ An **isolated singularity** $A \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is the germ at 0 of an affine variety $A \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1 = \cdots = f_l = 0\}$ with an isolated singularity at 0, or is smooth at 0 (I.e. the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}\right)_{i,j}$ has constant rank on $U - \{0\}$ where U is a neighborhood of 0).

- ▶ An **isolated singularity** $A \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is the germ at 0 of an affine variety $A \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1 = \cdots = f_l = 0\}$ with an isolated singularity at 0, or is smooth at 0 (I.e. the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}\right)_{i,j}$ has constant rank on $U \{0\}$ where U is a neighborhood of 0).
- ▶ Lemma (Varchenko): $L_A \equiv A \cap S(\epsilon)$ is a contact manifold with contact structure $\xi_A \equiv TL_A \cap J_0 TL_A$ where $J_0 : T \mathbb{C}^N \longrightarrow T \mathbb{C}^N$ is the standard complex structure.

- ▶ An **isolated singularity** $A \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is the germ at 0 of an affine variety $A \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1 = \cdots = f_l = 0\}$ with an isolated singularity at 0, or is smooth at 0 (I.e. the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}\right)_{i,j}$ has constant rank on $U \{0\}$ where U is a neighborhood of 0).
- ▶ Lemma (Varchenko): $L_A \equiv A \cap S(\epsilon)$ is a contact manifold with contact structure $\xi_A \equiv TL_A \cap J_0 TL_A$ where $J_0 : T \mathbb{C}^N \longrightarrow T \mathbb{C}^N$ is the standard complex structure.

• We call (L_A, ξ_A) the **link** of A at 0.

- A resolution of A at 0 is a proper morphism $\pi: Y \longrightarrow A$ so that
 - 1. Y is smooth.
 - 2. $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U-\{0\})}$ is a biholomorphism onto its image for some small neighborhood U of 0,
 - 3. $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth normal crossing divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.

The divisors $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ are called **exceptional divisors**.



• An isolated singularity A is numerically Gorenstein if $c_1(L_A, \xi_A) = 0$. It is numerically Q-Gorenstein if $c_1(L_A, \xi_A)$ is torsion.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

- An isolated singularity A is **numerically Gorenstein** if $c_1(L_A, \xi_A) = 0$. It is **numerically** Q-Gorenstein if $c_1(L_A, \xi_A)$ is torsion.
- The discrepancy a_i of an exceptional divisor D_i of a numerically Q-Gorenstein singularity is defined as follows: Let A_ϵ ≡ π⁻¹(A ∩ B(ϵ)) where B(ϵ) is the closed ϵ ball. Then ∂A_ϵ = L_A. Also one can show that c₁(A_ϵ; Q) ∈ H²(A_ϵ; Q) lifts to a unique class in H²(A_ϵ, L_A; Q). The Lefschetz dual of this class is a unique sum Σ_i a_i[D_i] ∈ H_{n-2}(A_ϵ; Q). We define the discrepancy of D_i to be a_i.

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ



Let π : Y → A be a resolution. Suppose that we have an ample line bundle and a meromorphic section which is non-zero away from π⁻¹(0) and has a non-trivial pole of order w_i along D_i for each i ∈ S. Then the wrapping number w_i of D_i is the order of this pole along D_i.

▶ Let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I whose boundary is transverse to the strata of $\cup_i D_i$ and so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $ND_{I \cup I'}$ for all $I, I' \subset S$. Define $\check{N}D_I \equiv ND_I - \cup_{i \in S-I} D_i$.

▶ Let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I whose boundary is transverse to the strata of $\cup_i D_i$ and so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $ND_{I\cup I'}$ for all $I, I' \subset S$. Define $\mathring{N}D_I \equiv ND_I - \cup_{i \in S-I}D_i$.

▶ This is a fiber bundle over $D_I - \bigcup_{i \in S-I} D_i$ with fiber $(\mathbb{D} - 0)^{|I|}$.

- ▶ Let ND_I be a small tubular neighborhood of D_I whose boundary is transverse to the strata of $\cup_i D_i$ and so that $ND_I \cap D_{I'}$ is a tubular neighborhood of $ND_{I\cup I'}$ for all $I, I' \subset S$. Define $\check{N}D_I \equiv ND_I - \cup_{i \in S-I}D_i$.
- ▶ This is a fiber bundle over $D_I \bigcup_{i \in S-I} D_i$ with fiber $(\mathbb{D} 0)^{|I|}$.
- Hence for each tuple (b_i)_{i∈I} of integers, there is a U(1) action on ŇD_I preserving the fibers so that β ∈ U(1) sends a point (x_i)_{i∈I} ∈ (D − 0)^{|I|} to (β^{b_i}x_i)_{i∈I}. Let ND_I^{/(b_i)} be the corresponding quotient.

Conjecture.

Let $\pi: Y \longrightarrow A$ be a resolution of an isolated numerically \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein singularity A with exceptional divisors $(D_i)_{i \in S}$. Define

$$A_{p,q} \equiv \bigoplus_{\{(k_i)\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}}:\ \sum_i k_i w_i = p\}} H_{p+q-2\sum_i k_i a_i}(\overline{N}D_{I_{(k_i)}}^{/(k_i)};\mathbb{Q})$$

where $I_{(k_i)} \equiv \{i \in S : k_i \neq 0\}.$

Then there is a spectral sequence converging to $CH_*(L_A, \xi_A)$ with E^1 page equal to the free supercommutative algebra generated by the bigraded vector space $A_{*,*}$. I.e.

$$E^1_{*,*} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{Sym}^n_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_{*,*}).$$

▶ Definition: A is a log canonical singularity if a_i ≥ −1 for all i ∈ S.

- ▶ Definition: A is a log canonical singularity if a_i ≥ −1 for all i ∈ S.
- ▶ The **minimal discrepancy** $md_0(A)$ of log canonical singularity is min_ia_i . This measures how 'singular' A is at 0 (the higher the number, the less singular). We define $md_0(A) \equiv -\infty$ if A is not log canonical.

- ▶ Definition: A is a log canonical singularity if a_i ≥ −1 for all i ∈ S.
- ▶ The **minimal discrepancy** $md_0(A)$ of log canonical singularity is min_ia_i . This measures how 'singular' A is at 0 (the higher the number, the less singular). We define $md_0(A) \equiv -\infty$ if A is not log canonical.
- Shokurov Conjecture A is smooth at 0 if $md_0(A)$ is n-1.

- ▶ Definition: A is a log canonical singularity if a_i ≥ −1 for all i ∈ S.
- The minimal discrepancy md₀(A) of log canonical singularity is min_ia_i. This measures how 'singular' A is at 0 (the higher the number, the less singular). We define md₀(A) ≡ -∞ if A is not log canonical.
- Shokurov Conjecture A is smooth at 0 if $md_0(A)$ is n-1.
- ▶ Work of de Fernex and Yu-Chao proves this conjecture when the tangent cone of A at 0 has a reduced component.

► Theorem (assuming spectral sequence conjecture.) If A is log canonical and numerically Q-Gorenstein then the smallest degree for which CH_{*}(L_A, ξ_A)/Q⟨id⟩ is non-zero is 2md₀(A). Here Q⟨id⟩ is the subvector space spanned by the identity element.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Theorem (assuming spectral sequence conjecture.) If A is log canonical and numerically Q-Gorenstein then the smallest degree for which CH_{*}(L_A, ξ_A)/Q⟨id⟩ is non-zero is 2md₀(A). Here Q⟨id⟩ is the subvector space spanned by the identity element.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Find the largest p satisfying $E_{p,q}^1 \neq 0$ where $q = 2 \text{md}_0(A) p$. This cannot kill or be killed by any differential $d_{p,q}^r$, r > 0.

► Question: Can CH_{*}(L_A, ξ_A) detect whether A is log canonical or not? (I.e. whether md₀(A) = -∞ or not)?

- ► Question: Can CH_{*}(L_A, ξ_A) detect whether A is log canonical or not? (I.e. whether md₀(A) = -∞ or not)?
- This would reprove the theorem (M 2014) that (L_A, ξ_A) detects smoothness of A at 0 assuming Shokurov's conjecture.

Construction of the Spectral Sequence.

All of the above spectral sequences are Morse-Bott spectral sequences. What are they?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Construction of the Spectral Sequence.

- All of the above spectral sequences are Morse-Bott spectral sequences. What are they?
- Let (C, α) be a manifold with contact form. A Morse-Bott submanifold is a submanifold of C consisting of periodic Reeb orbits of α which is non-degenerate in the normal direction (I.e. the 1-eigenspace of the linearized return map is tangent to our submanifold).

Construction of the Spectral Sequence.

- All of the above spectral sequences are Morse-Bott spectral sequences. What are they?
- Let (C, α) be a manifold with contact form. A Morse-Bott submanifold is a submanifold of C consisting of periodic Reeb orbits of α which is non-degenerate in the normal direction (I.e. the 1-eigenspace of the linearized return map is tangent to our submanifold).
- ► We say that (C, α) is Morse-Bott if every Reeb orbit sits inside a Morse-Bott submanifold.

Now suppose that we have a Liouville domain (M, θ). Recall that the chain complex for SH_{*}(M, θ) consists of critical points of some Morse function in the interior of M plus two copies of each Reeb orbit after perturbing the Liouville form generically so that the contact form is non-degenerate.

- Now suppose that we have a Liouville domain (M, θ). Recall that the chain complex for SH_{*}(M, θ) consists of critical points of some Morse function in the interior of M plus two copies of each Reeb orbit *after* perturbing the Liouville form generically so that the contact form is non-degenerate.
- This chain complex has a natural increasing filtration given by the length of these Reeb orbits and where the critical points are at the bottom of this filtration. We will call this the action filtration.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Let (\widehat{M}, θ) be the completion of (M, θ) .

- Let (\widehat{M}, θ) be the completion of (M, θ) .
- A Hamiltonian H on \widehat{M} is **admissible** if it is equal to λr_M near infinity where r_M is the cylindrical coordinate.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Let (\hat{M}, θ) be the completion of (M, θ) .
- A Hamiltonian H on \widehat{M} is **admissible** if it is equal to λr_M near infinity where r_M is the cylindrical coordinate.
- Define CF^{≤b}_{*}(H) to be the Hamiltonian Floer chain complex consisting of 1-periodic orbits of H of action ≤ b (I.e - ∫_{S1} γ^{*}θ - ∫_{S1} H(γ(t))dt < b).</p>

- Let (\widehat{M}, θ) be the completion of (M, θ) .
- A Hamiltonian H on \widehat{M} is **admissible** if it is equal to λr_M near infinity where r_M is the cylindrical coordinate.
- Define CF^{≤b}_{*}(H) to be the Hamiltonian Floer chain complex consisting of 1-periodic orbits of H of action ≤ b (I.e - ∫_{S1} γ^{*}θ − ∫_{S1} H(γ(t))dt < b).</p>
- Define CF^[a,b]_{*}(H) := CF^{≤b}_{*}(H)/CF^{≤a}_{*}(H) and let HF^[a,b]_{*}(H) be the homology of this chain complex.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Lemma: Let a_i ∈ ℝ, i ∈ ℕ be an increasing sequence tending to infinity where a_i is not the length or a Reeb orbit or 0. There is a spectral sequence converging to SH_{*}(M, θ) with E¹ page

$$E^1_{p,q}=SH^{[a_p,a_{p+1}]}_{p+q}(M, heta).$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Lemma: Let a_i ∈ ℝ, i ∈ ℕ be an increasing sequence tending to infinity where a_i is not the length or a Reeb orbit or 0. There is a spectral sequence converging to SH_{*}(M, θ) with E¹ page

$$E_{p,q}^1 = SH_{p+q}^{[a_p,a_{p+1}]}(M,\theta).$$

▶ Lemma: Suppose that the set of Reeb orbits of length in $[a_p, a_{p+1}]$ is a finite union of connected Morse-Bott families $(B_p^j)_{j \in I_p}$ of Reeb orbits all of the same length. Then $SH_{p+q}^{[a_p,a_{p+1}]}(M,\theta) = \bigoplus_{j \in I_p} H^{p+q-CZ(B_p)}(B_p, \mathcal{L}_{B_p^j})$ where $\mathcal{L}_{B_p^j}$ is a certain local coefficient system.
By the two lemmas above:

Proposition: Suppose that (M, θ) has a Morse-Bott boundary and let $(B_k^j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}, j \in I_k}$ be the set of all of the Morse-Bott submanifolds so that

- 1. they are connected,
- 2. I_k is a finite set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- 3. the length of B_k^j is the length of $B_k^{j'}$ for all $j, j' \in I_k$ and these lengths tend to infinity as $k \to \infty$ and
- 4. the length of B_k^j is less than the length of $B_{k+1}^{j'}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in I_k$ and $j' \in I_{k+1}$.

Then there is spectral sequence converging to $SH_{p+q}(M)$ with E^1 page

$$E^{1}_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{j \in I_{p}} H^{p+q-CZ(B_{p})}(B^{j}_{p}, \mathcal{L}_{B^{j}_{p}})$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{B_{p}^{j}}$ is a certain local coefficient system.

Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length I is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length I so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [I − ε, I + ε] for some small ε > 0.

- Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length l is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length l so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [l − ε, l + ε] for some small ε > 0.
- Definition (c.f. Kirwan 1985): A minimally degenerate subset of length *I* is a closed subset *B* ⊂ *C* so that there is a function *f* : *C* → (0,∞) and a submanifold *N* ⊂ *C* (possibly with boundary) satisfying

- Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length l is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length l so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [l − ε, l + ε] for some small ε > 0.
- Definition (c.f. Kirwan 1985): A minimally degenerate subset of length *I* is a closed subset *B* ⊂ *C* so that there is a function *f* : *C* → (0,∞) and a submanifold *N* ⊂ *C* (possibly with boundary) satisfying

1. $B \subset N$,

- Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length l is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length l so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [l − ε, l + ε] for some small ε > 0.
- Definition (c.f. Kirwan 1985): A minimally degenerate subset of length *I* is a closed subset *B* ⊂ *C* so that there is a function *f* : *C* → (0,∞) and a submanifold *N* ⊂ *C* (possibly with boundary) satisfying

- 1. $B \subset N$,
- 2. B is an isolated family of Reeb orbits of length I,

- Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length l is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length l so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [l − ε, l + ε] for some small ε > 0.
- Definition (c.f. Kirwan 1985): A minimally degenerate subset of length *I* is a closed subset *B* ⊂ *C* so that there is a function *f* : *C* → (0,∞) and a submanifold *N* ⊂ *C* (possibly with boundary) satisfying

- 1. $B \subset N$,
- 2. B is an isolated family of Reeb orbits of length I,
- 3. *N* is a Morse-Bott submanifold of $(C, f\alpha)$,

- Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length l is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length l so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [l − ε, l + ε] for some small ε > 0.
- Definition (c.f. Kirwan 1985): A minimally degenerate subset of length *I* is a closed subset *B* ⊂ *C* so that there is a function *f* : *C* → (0,∞) and a submanifold *N* ⊂ *C* (possibly with boundary) satisfying

- 1. $B \subset N$,
- 2. B is an isolated family of Reeb orbits of length I,
- 3. *N* is a Morse-Bott submanifold of $(C, f\alpha)$,
- 4. $f^{-1}(1) = B$ and 1 is the maximum of f.

- Definition. An isolated family of Reeb orbits B of (C, α) of length l is a subset B ⊂ C consisting of Reeb orbits of length l so that there is a neighborhood N of B so that there are no Reeb orbits in N of length in [l − ε, l + ε] for some small ε > 0.
- Definition (c.f. Kirwan 1985): A minimally degenerate subset of length *I* is a closed subset *B* ⊂ *C* so that there is a function *f* : *C* → (0,∞) and a submanifold *N* ⊂ *C* (possibly with boundary) satisfying
 - 1. $B \subset N$,
 - 2. B is an isolated family of Reeb orbits of length I,
 - 3. *N* is a Morse-Bott submanifold of $(C, f\alpha)$,
 - 4. $f^{-1}(1) = B$ and 1 is the maximum of f.
- Definition : A minimally degenerate contact pair a contact pair (C, α) so that every periodic orbit is contained inside a minimally degenerate subset.

We have a similar spectral sequence in this case (this isn't proven yet, really).

Proposition: Suppose that the boundary of (M, θ) is a minimally degenerate contact pair and let $(B_k^j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}, j \in I_k}$ be the set of all of the minimally degenerate subsets so that

- 1. they are connected,
- 2. I_k is a finite set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- 3. the length of B_k^j is the length of $B_k^{j'}$ for all $j, j' \in I_k$ and these lengths tend to infinity as $k \to \infty$ and
- 4. the length of B_k^j is less than the length of $B_{k+1}^{j'}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in I_k$ and $j' \in I_{k+1}$.

Then there is spectral sequence converging to $SH_{p+q}(M)$ with E^1 page

$$E^{1}_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{j \in I_{p}} H^{p+q-CZ(B_{p})}(B^{j}_{p}, \mathcal{L}_{B^{j}_{p}})$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{B_{p}^{j}}$ is a certain local coefficient system.

 Our spectral sequence will be obtained by constructing an appropriate minimally degenerate contact form on the boundary of our Liouville domain.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Our spectral sequence will be obtained by constructing an appropriate minimally degenerate contact form on the boundary of our Liouville domain.
- In order to find a such a boundary, we need to construct a symplectically nice neighborhood of the divisor in question (resolution divisor or compactifying divisor).

- Our spectral sequence will be obtained by constructing an appropriate minimally degenerate contact form on the boundary of our Liouville domain.
- In order to find a such a boundary, we need to construct a symplectically nice neighborhood of the divisor in question (resolution divisor or compactifying divisor).
- ► We need a purely symplectic notion of divisor. See 1011.2542 and work of M-Tehrani-Zinger.

- Let (X, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Let (D_i)_{i∈S} be transversally intersecting codimension 2 symplectic submanifolds so that D_I ≡ ∩_{i∈I}D_i is symplectic form all I ⊂ S.
- Definition: The symplectic orientation of D₁ is the orientation on D₁ induced by the symplectic structure.

Since (X, ω) is oriented by ωⁿ, there is a natural 1-1 correspondence between orientations on the normal bundle N_XD_I = ⊕_{i∈I}ND_i|_{D_I} and orientations on D_I.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Since (X, ω) is oriented by ωⁿ, there is a natural 1-1 correspondence between orientations on the normal bundle N_XD_I = ⊕_{i∈I}ND_i|_{D_I} and orientations on D_I.
- Definition: Since D_i has a natural orientation, we get that N_XD_i has an induced orientation for all i ∈ I and hence D_I has an induced orientation called the intersection orientation of D_I for all I ⊂ S.

- Since (X, ω) is oriented by ωⁿ, there is a natural 1-1 correspondence between orientations on the normal bundle N_XD_I = ⊕_{i∈I}ND_i|_{D_I} and orientations on D_I.
- Definition: Since D_i has a natural orientation, we get that N_XD_i has an induced orientation for all i ∈ I and hence D_I has an induced orientation called the intersection orientation of D_I for all I ⊂ S.
- We say that (D_i)_{i∈S} is a symplectic SNC divisor if the symplectic orientation of D_I is equal to the intersection orientation of D_I for all I ⊂ S.

Example:

Let M be a Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω . Let $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ be smooth transversally intersecting complex hypersurfaces. Then $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ is a symplectic SNC divisor.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Example:

Let *M* be a Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω . Let $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ be smooth transversally intersecting complex hypersurfaces. Then $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ is a symplectic SNC divisor.

► Non-example Let M = T*ℝ² with the standard symplectic form. Let D₁ be the graph of the 1-form xdy and let D₂ be the graph of ydx. Then D₁, D₂ are transversely intersecting but they intersect negatively and hence cannot be a symplectic SNC divisor.

Example:

Let M be a Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω . Let $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ be smooth transversally intersecting complex hypersurfaces. Then $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ is a symplectic SNC divisor.

- ► Non-example Let M = T*ℝ² with the standard symplectic form. Let D₁ be the graph of the 1-form xdy and let D₂ be the graph of ydx. Then D₁, D₂ are transversely intersecting but they intersect negatively and hence cannot be a symplectic SNC divisor.
- ▶ Non-example 2. There is a 3-dimensional example of three codimension two linear hypersurfaces D₁, D₂, D₃ in ℝ⁶ in which the intersection orientation is equal to the symplectic orientation for *I* = {1,2}, {2,3}, {1,2,3} but not for *I* = {1,3}.

We wish to deform any symplectic SNC divisor so that it looks nice. What does nice mean?

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

- We wish to deform any symplectic SNC divisor so that it looks nice. What does nice mean?
- ▶ Definition: Let π : E → B be a fiber bundle and let ω_E be a symplectic form on E making the fibers symplectic. Then the associated symplectic connection is the Ehresmann connection induced by vectors symplectically orthogonal to the fibers.

- We wish to deform any symplectic SNC divisor so that it looks nice. What does nice mean?
- ▶ Definition: Let π : E → B be a fiber bundle and let ω_E be a symplectic form on E making the fibers symplectic. Then the associated symplectic connection is the Ehresmann connection induced by vectors symplectically orthogonal to the fibers.
- ▶ Definition: Let S ⊂ W be a submanifold of a manifold W. A tubular fibration is a smooth fibration P : U_S → S where U_S ⊂ W is a neighborhood of S in W so that the differential of P along S is the identity map.

- A regularization of a symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S} inside (X, ω) consists of tubular fibrations (π_I)_{I⊂S} of (D_I)_{I⊂S} with symplectic fibers so that
 - 1. $\pi_{l_1\cup l_2}=\pi_{l_1}\circ\pi_{l_2}$ on their common domain of definition for all $l_1,l_2\subset S$ and
 - 2. the fibers of π_I are symplectomorphic to a product $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{D}(\epsilon)$ of ϵ disks and the associated symplectic connection has parallel transport maps rotating these disks giving us a $U(1)^{|I|}$ structure group.
 - 3. There should also be a particular almost complex structure but we won't need this.



Theorem M (2011), M-Tehrani-Zinger (2014): Every symplectic SNC divisor is isotopic through symplectic SNC divisors to one which admits a regularization.

- Theorem M (2011), M-Tehrani-Zinger (2014): Every symplectic SNC divisor is isotopic through symplectic SNC divisors to one which admits a regularization.
- The proof first involves proving the Theorem in the linear case first and then using a Moser argument to extend this linear argument to the general non-linear case.

Let D_i ⊂ Cⁿ be equal to Cⁱ⁻¹ × 0 × Cⁿ⁻ⁱ and let ω be a linear symplectic form on Cⁿ so that (D_i)ⁿ_{i=1} is a symplectic SNC divisor. Let Ď_i ⊂ Cⁿ be the complementary subspace 0 × C × 0 where C is the *i*th C factor.

- Let D_i ⊂ Cⁿ be equal to Cⁱ⁻¹ × 0 × Cⁿ⁻ⁱ and let ω be a linear symplectic form on Cⁿ so that (D_i)ⁿ_{i=1} is a symplectic SNC divisor. Let Ď_i ⊂ Cⁿ be the complementary subspace 0 × C × 0 where C is the *i*th C factor.
- ▶ Let $p_i : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow D_i$, $\check{p}_i : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \check{D}_i$ be the natural projection maps. Let $\rho : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be equal to:



- Let D_i ⊂ Cⁿ be equal to Cⁱ⁻¹ × 0 × Cⁿ⁻ⁱ and let ω be a linear symplectic form on Cⁿ so that (D_i)ⁿ_{i=1} is a symplectic SNC divisor. Let Ď_i ⊂ Cⁿ be the complementary subspace 0 × C × 0 where C is the *i*th C factor.
- Let p_i : Cⁿ → D_i, p_i : Cⁿ → Ď_i be the natural projection maps. Let ρ : [0, 1] → ℝ be equal to:



Then ω_t := (1 − ρ(t))ω + Cρ(t)p_i^{*}(ω|_{D_i}) + ρ(t)p_i^{*}(ω|_{D_i}) is a smooth family of symplectic forms making (D_i)ⁿ_{i=1} into a symplectic SNC divisor for C ≫ 0.

- Let D_i ⊂ Cⁿ be equal to Cⁱ⁻¹ × 0 × Cⁿ⁻ⁱ and let ω be a linear symplectic form on Cⁿ so that (D_i)ⁿ_{i=1} is a symplectic SNC divisor. Let Ď_i ⊂ Cⁿ be the complementary subspace 0 × C × 0 where C is the *i*th C factor.
- Let p_i : Cⁿ → D_i, p_i : Cⁿ → Ď_i be the natural projection maps. Let ρ : [0, 1] → ℝ be equal to:



- Then ω_t := (1 − ρ(t))ω + Cρ(t)p_i^{*}(ω|_{D_i}) + ρ(t)p_i^{*}(ω|_{D_i}) is a smooth family of symplectic forms making (D_i)_{i=1}ⁿ into a symplectic SNC divisor for C ≫ 0.
- ► Repeat this process for all *i* until ω = ∑_i C_ip_i^{*}(ω|_{Ď_i}) for large C_i > 0 (this has a regularization).

Lemma: If two symplectic SNC divisors are isotopic to each other through symplectic SNC divisors then their complements are naturally symplectomorphic (note that there may not be a symplectomorphism sending one divisor to the other though).

We now need to know which divisors have a natural (concave or convex) contact neighborhood.

- We now need to know which divisors have a natural (concave or convex) contact neighborhood.
- In algebraic geometry, if we have an effective ample divisor representing a Kähler form then it has a natural concave contact neighborhood. Conversely if we have an anti-effective ample divisor then it has a convex contact neighborhood.

 Let L be an ample line bundle on some smooth quasi-projective variety Y. Choose a Hermitian metric | · | on L so that -i times its curvature form is a Kähler form ω.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

 Let L be an ample line bundle on some smooth quasi-projective variety Y. Choose a Hermitian metric | · | on L so that -i times its curvature form is a Kähler form ω.

Suppose that *L* admits a holomorphic section *s* so that $s^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_i D_i$ is an SNC divisor.

- Let L be an ample line bundle on some smooth quasi-projective variety Y. Choose a Hermitian metric | · | on L so that -i times its curvature form is a Kähler form ω.
- Suppose that *L* admits a holomorphic section *s* so that $s^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_i D_i$ is an SNC divisor.
- Then the set

$$(M, \theta) := (\{x \in Y : \ln(|s(x)|) \ge C\}, -d^c \ln(|s(x)|)$$

is a Liouville submanifold for all large enough C.

- Let L be an ample line bundle on some smooth quasi-projective variety Y. Choose a Hermitian metric | · | on L so that -i times its curvature form is a Kähler form ω.
- Suppose that *L* admits a holomorphic section *s* so that $s^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_i D_i$ is an SNC divisor.
- Then the set

$$(M, \theta) := (\{x \in Y : \ln(|s(x)|) \ge C\}, -d^c \ln(|s(x)|)$$

is a Liouville submanifold for all large enough C.

• Y - M is a neighborhood of $\cup_i D_i$ with concave boundary.
► There is a similar construction when s has poles along D_i and no zeros, and then we get a convex neighborhood of ∪_iD_i.

- ► There is a similar construction when s has poles along D_i and no zeros, and then we get a convex neighborhood of ∪_iD_i.
- We need a symplectic version of this (anti-)ampleness condition so that we can mimic the above construction of a neighborhood with concave (or convex) boundary. We will do this by defining a purely symplectic notion of *wrapping number*.

▶ **Definition**: An exact symplectic SNC divisor $((D_i)_{i \in S}, \theta)$ in (X, ω) is a symplectic SNC divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ and a 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(X - \bigcup_i D_i)$ satisfying $d\theta = \omega$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ▶ **Definition**: An exact symplectic SNC divisor $((D_i)_{i \in S}, \theta)$ in (X, ω) is a symplectic SNC divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$ and a 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(X - \cup_i D_i)$ satisfying $d\theta = \omega$.
- ▶ **Definition**: Let $((D_i)_{i \in S}, \theta)$ be an exact symplectic SNC divisor. Let $\mathbb{D}_i \subset X$ be a small symplectic disk intersecting D_i once at 0 positively and not intersecting D_j for all $j \neq i$ with polar coordinates (r, ϑ) . The **wrapping number of** D_i is the unique $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\frac{w_i}{2\pi} d\vartheta \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{D}_i 0)$ is cohomologous to $(\theta \frac{1}{2}r^2)|_{\mathbb{D}_i 0}$.



Alternative Definition of Wrapping Number

Let U be a neighborhood of ∪_iD_i which deformation retracts on to ∪_iD_i. Then H_{2n-2}(U) is freely generated by [D_i].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Alternative Definition of Wrapping Number

- Let U be a neighborhood of ∪_iD_i which deformation retracts on to ∪_iD_i. Then H_{2n-2}(U) is freely generated by [D_i].
- Let $\rho: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be equal to 1 near $\cup_i D_i$ and have compact support.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Alternative Definition of Wrapping Number

- Let U be a neighborhood of ∪_iD_i which deformation retracts on to ∪_iD_i. Then H_{2n-2}(U) is freely generated by [D_i].
- Let $\rho: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be equal to 1 near $\cup_i D_i$ and have compact support.
- ► Then w_i are the unique numbers so that $-\sum_i w_i[D_i] \in H_{2n-2}(U)$ is the Lefschetz dual of

$$\Omega \in \Omega^2_c(U), \quad \Omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} d(\rho\theta) & \text{outside } \cup_i D_i \\ \omega & \text{near } \cup_i D_i \end{array} \right.$$

Let r_i : X → ℝ be the distance from D_i with respect to some metric. A function f : X − ∪_iD_i → ℝ is compatible with (D_i)_{i∈S} if

$$f = \sigma + \sum_i c_i \log(r_i^2)$$

for some constants $(c_i)_{i \in S}$ and a smooth function $\sigma: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Let r_i : X → ℝ be the distance from D_i with respect to some metric. A function f : X − ∪_iD_i → ℝ is compatible with (D_i)_{i∈S} if

$$f = \sigma + \sum_{i} c_i \log(r_i^2)$$

for some constants $(c_i)_{i \in S}$ and a smooth function $\sigma : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

This is our 'symplectic version' of ln(|s|) mentioned earlier (s was our holomorphic section and | · | our Hermitian metric.).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

▶ **Proposition M**. Let $(D_i)_{i \in S}$, w_i , θ be as above. Suppose that all of the wrapping numbers w_i are negative. Then there is a smooth function $g : X - \cup_i D_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that $df(X_{\theta+dg}) > 0$ near $\cup_i D_i$. In particular $(f^{-1}(-C), \theta + dg)$ is a concave contact boundary of a small neighborhood of $\cup_i D_i$ for $C \gg 1$.

Also $(f^{-1}(-C,\infty),\theta)$ is a Liouville submanifold for all $C \gg 1$.

Proposition The contactomorphism type of (f⁻¹(-C), θ + dg) does not depend on the choice of f or g although it does depend on the choice of 1-form θ.

Proposition The contactomorphism type of
 (f⁻¹(-C), θ + dg) does not depend on the choice of f or g although it does depend on the choice of 1-form θ.

▶ Definition: We will call this contact manifold the contact boundary of ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ).

- Proposition The contactomorphism type of (f⁻¹(-C), θ + dg) does not depend on the choice of f or g although it does depend on the choice of 1-form θ.
- ▶ Definition: We will call this contact manifold the contact boundary of ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ).
- Similarly if all the wrapping numbers are positive we can choose g so that df(X_{θ+dg}) < 0 near D_i. Hence f⁻¹(C) is convex contact boundary of a neighborhood of ∪_iD_i also called the **contact boundary of** ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ).

▶ **Proposition:** If there is a smooth family of exact symplectic SNC divisors, $((D_i^t)_{i \in S}, \theta_t)$ $t \in [0, 1]$ so that the wrapping numbers of D_i^t are all positive or all negative, then the contact boundaries of $(D_i^t)_{i \in S}$ are all naturally contactomorphic.

► Hence the contact boundary of ∪_iD_i is an invariant up to isotopy.

Now suppose that our symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S} admits a regularization (π_I)_{I⊂S} (recall, these are tubular fibrations of D_I) and that the wrapping numbers w_i of ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ) are negative.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Now suppose that our symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S} admits a regularization (π_I)_{I⊂S} (recall, these are tubular fibrations of D_I) and that the wrapping numbers w_i of ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ) are negative.
- Since the tubular fibrations π_{i} have a natural U(1) structure group, we have radial coordinates r_i : Dom(π_{{i}}) → ℝ.

- Now suppose that our symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S} admits a regularization (π_I)_{I⊂S} (recall, these are tubular fibrations of D_I) and that the wrapping numbers w_i of ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ) are negative.
- Since the tubular fibrations π_{i} have a natural U(1) structure group, we have radial coordinates r_i : Dom(π_{{i}}) → ℝ.

• Let $f = \sum_{i} \ln(\rho(r_i))$ where ρ is:



Then f is compatible with $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.

- Now suppose that our symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S} admits a regularization (π_I)_{I⊂S} (recall, these are tubular fibrations of D_I) and that the wrapping numbers w_i of ((D_i)_{i∈S}, θ) are negative.
- Since the tubular fibrations π_{i} have a natural U(1) structure group, we have radial coordinates r_i : Dom(π_{{i}}) → ℝ.
- Let $f = \sum_{i} \ln(\rho(r_i))$ where ρ is:



Then f is compatible with $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.

▶ We can choose g so that $\theta + dg$ restricted to each fiber $\prod_{i \in I} (\mathbb{D} - 0) \text{ of } D_I \text{ is } \sum_i (r_i^2 + \frac{w_i}{2\pi}) d\vartheta_i \text{ where } (r_i, \vartheta_i) \text{ are polar coordinates on the } ith <math>\mathbb{D}$ factor. ▶ We have $df(X_{\theta+dg}) > 0$ near $\cup_i D_i$ and so $(f^{-1}(-C), \alpha_C := \theta + dg|_{f^{-1}(C)})$ is a contact boundary of $\cup_i D_i$ called a **regular contact boundary of** $\cup_i D_i$.

▶ We have $df(X_{\theta+dg}) > 0$ near $\cup_i D_i$ and so $(f^{-1}(-C), \alpha_C := \theta + dg|_{f^{-1}(C)})$ is a contact boundary of $\cup_i D_i$ called a **regular contact boundary of** $\cup_i D_i$.

• The contact form $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$ is minimally degenerate.

- ▶ We have $df(X_{\theta+dg}) > 0$ near $\cup_i D_i$ and so $(f^{-1}(-C), \alpha_C := \theta + dg|_{f^{-1}(C)})$ is a contact boundary of $\cup_i D_i$ called a **regular contact boundary of** $\cup_i D_i$.
- The contact form $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$ is minimally degenerate.
- For each *I* ⊂ *S* and each (*k_i*)_{*i*∈*I*} ∈ N^{*I*}_{>0} there is a minimally degenerate subset *B*_{(*k_i*)_{*i*∈*I*} of length − ∑_{*i*} *l_i*(2π*w_i* + *ϵ*) and all Reeb orbits are contained in one such subset.}

- ▶ We have $df(X_{\theta+dg}) > 0$ near $\cup_i D_i$ and so $(f^{-1}(-C), \alpha_C := \theta + dg|_{f^{-1}(C)})$ is a contact boundary of $\cup_i D_i$ called a **regular contact boundary of** $\cup_i D_i$.
- The contact form $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$ is minimally degenerate.
- For each *I* ⊂ *S* and each (*k_i*)_{*i*∈*I*} ∈ N^{*I*}_{>0} there is a minimally degenerate subset *B*_{(*k_i*)_{*i*∈*I*} of length − ∑_{*i*} *l_i*(2π*w_i* + ϵ) and all Reeb orbits are contained in one such subset.}

 B_{(k_i)i∈I} is diffeomorphic to a U(1)^{|I|} fibration over D_I − ∪_{i∈S−i}Dom(π_i) and is homotopic to ŇD_I.

Now suppose that c₁(X − ∪_iD_i) = 0. Then we can choose a (not necessarily unique) representative ∑_i a_i[D_i] ∈ H_{2n-2}(X) Poincaré dual to c₁(X).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Now suppose that c₁(X − ∪_iD_i) = 0. Then we can choose a (not necessarily unique) representative ∑_i a_i[D_i] ∈ H_{2n-2}(X) Poincaré dual to c₁(X).

• a_i is called the **discrepancy of** D_i .

- Now suppose that c₁(X − ∪_iD_i) = 0. Then we can choose a (not necessarily unique) representative ∑_i a_i[D_i] ∈ H_{2n-2}(X) Poincaré dual to c₁(X).
- a_i is called the **discrepancy of** D_i .
- It coincides with the definition of discrepancy earlier when X was projective.

- Now suppose that c₁(X − ∪_iD_i) = 0. Then we can choose a (not necessarily unique) representative ∑_i a_i[D_i] ∈ H_{2n-2}(X) Poincaré dual to c₁(X).
- a_i is called the **discrepancy of** D_i .
- It coincides with the definition of discrepancy earlier when X was projective.

► The Conley-Zehnder index of $B_{(k_i)_{i \in S}}$ is -2 $\sum_i k_i (a_i + 1) - n - \frac{|I|}{2}$.

Main idea:

- 1. Deform the symplectic SNC divisor so that it has a regularization.
- 2. This does not change the contact boundary of such a divisor up to contactomorphism.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 3. Then construct the regular contact boundary using this regularization as above.
- We will now use this technique for affine varieties.

Recall that we wish to prove the following:

There is a spectral sequence converging to $SH_*(A)$ with E^1 page

$$E^{1}_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\left\{(k_{i})\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}} : \sum_{i}k_{i}w_{i}=-p\right\}} H^{n-p-q-2(\sum_{i}k_{i}(a_{i}+1))}(\check{N}D_{I_{(k_{i})}})$$

where \mathbb{N}^{S} is the set of tuples of non-negative integers indexed by S and $I_{(k_i)} = \{i \in S : k_i \neq 0\}.$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Proof Sketch:

1. First of all we compactify our affine variety A to a smooth projective variety X so that X - A is an SNC divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.

Proof Sketch:

- 1. First of all we compactify our affine variety A to a smooth projective variety X so that X A is an SNC divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.
- 2. Since $SH_*(A)$ is a biholomorphic invariant we can compute it with respect to any Stein structure. We choose the Stein structure with plurisubharmonic function $\phi \equiv -d^c \log(||s||)$ where s is a section of an ample line bundle on X so that $s^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_i D_i$ and $|| \cdot ||$ is a positive metric. The critical set of ϕ is compact.

Proof Sketch:

- 1. First of all we compactify our affine variety A to a smooth projective variety X so that X A is an SNC divisor $(D_i)_{i \in S}$.
- 2. Since $SH_*(A)$ is a biholomorphic invariant we can compute it with respect to any Stein structure. We choose the Stein structure with plurisubharmonic function $\phi \equiv -d^c \log(||s||)$ where s is a section of an ample line bundle on X so that $s^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_i D_i$ and $|| \cdot ||$ is a positive metric. The critical set of ϕ is compact.
- 3. Therefore in order to compute $SH_*(A)$ we need to compute $SH_*(M,\theta)$ where $(M,\theta) \equiv (\phi^{-1}(-\infty,C],-d^c\phi)$ for some $C \gg 1$.

Lemma: The wrapping numbers of the exact symplectic SNC divisor ((D_i)_{i∈S}, -d^cφ) are equal to the wrapping numbers defined in the first lecture using s (I.e. minus the order of s⁻¹(0) along D_i). Also (∂M, θ) is contactomorphic to the contact boundary of the symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S}.

- Lemma: The wrapping numbers of the exact symplectic SNC divisor ((D_i)_{i∈S}, -d^cφ) are equal to the wrapping numbers defined in the first lecture using s (I.e. minus the order of s⁻¹(0) along D_i). Also (∂M, θ) is contactomorphic to the contact boundary of the symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- 5. Now we isotope $((D_i)_{i \in S}, -d^c \phi)$ through exact symplectic SNC divisors so that it admits a regularization and hence has a regular contact boundary.

- Lemma: The wrapping numbers of the exact symplectic SNC divisor ((D_i)_{i∈S}, -d^cφ) are equal to the wrapping numbers defined in the first lecture using s (I.e. minus the order of s⁻¹(0) along D_i). Also (∂M, θ) is contactomorphic to the contact boundary of the symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- 5. Now we isotope $((D_i)_{i \in S}, -d^c \phi)$ through exact symplectic SNC divisors so that it admits a regularization and hence has a regular contact boundary.
- 6. Since this regular contact boundary is contactomorphic to $\phi^{-1}(C)$ we can deform our Liouville domain (M, θ) so that it is minimally degenerate as described earlier.

- Lemma: The wrapping numbers of the exact symplectic SNC divisor ((D_i)_{i∈S}, -d^cφ) are equal to the wrapping numbers defined in the first lecture using s (I.e. minus the order of s⁻¹(0) along D_i). Also (∂M, θ) is contactomorphic to the contact boundary of the symplectic SNC divisor (D_i)_{i∈S}.
- 5. Now we isotope $((D_i)_{i \in S}, -d^c \phi)$ through exact symplectic SNC divisors so that it admits a regularization and hence has a regular contact boundary.
- 6. Since this regular contact boundary is contactomorphic to $\phi^{-1}(C)$ we can deform our Liouville domain (M, θ) so that it is minimally degenerate as described earlier.

7. The spectral sequence is then the associated Morse-Bott spectral sequence.