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#### Abstract

We derive a local index theorem in Quillen's form for families of Cauchy-Riemann operators on orbifold Riemann surfaces (or Riemann orbisurfaces) that are quotients of the hyperbolic plane by the action of cofinite finitely generated Fuchsian groups. Each conical point (or a conjugacy class of primitive elliptic elements in the Fuchsian group) gives rise to an extra term in the local index theorem that is proportional to the symplectic form of a new Kähler metric on the moduli space of Riemann orbisurfaces. We find a simple formula for a local Kähler potential of the elliptic metric and show that when the order of elliptic element becomes large, the elliptic metric converges to the cuspidal one corresponding to a puncture on the orbisurface (or a conjugacy class of primitive parabolic elements). We also give a simple example of a relation between the elliptic metric and special values of Selberg's zeta function.
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## 1 Introduction

Quillen's local index theorem for families of Cauchy-Riemann operators [11] explicitly computes the first Chern form of the corresponding determinant line bundles

[^0]equipped with Quillen's metric. The advantage of local formulas becomes apparent when the families parameter spaces are non-compact. In the language of algebraic geometry, Quillen's local index theorem is a manifestation of the "strong" Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem that claims an isomorphism between metrized holomorphic line bundles.

The literature on Quillen's local index theorem is abundant, but mostly deals with families of smooth compact varieties (see, e.g., $[2,4,5,18]$ and many others). In this paper, we derive a general local index theorem for families of Cauchy-Riemann operators on Riemann orbisurfaces, both compact and with punctures, that appear as quotients $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$ by the action of finitely generated cofinite Fuchsian groups $\Gamma$. The main result (cf. Theorem 2) is the following formula on the moduli space associated with the group $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)=\frac{6 k^{2}-6 k+1}{12 \pi^{2}} \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}-\frac{1}{9} \omega_{\text {cusp }} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{j=1}^{l} m_{j}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k-1}{m_{j}}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m_{j}^{2}}\right) \omega_{j}^{\mathrm{ell}}, \quad k \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)$ is the first Chern form of the determinant line bundle $\lambda_{k}$ of the vector bundle of square integrable meromorphic $k$-differentials on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ equipped with Quillen's metric, $\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ is a symplectic form of the Weil-Petersson metric on the moduli space, $\omega_{\text {cusp }}$ is a symplectic form of the cuspidal metric (also known as Takhtajan-Zograf metric), $\omega_{j}^{\text {ell }}$ is the symplectic form of a Kähler metric associated with elliptic fixpoints, $B_{2}(x)=x^{2}-x+\frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial, and $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. We refer the reader to Sects. 2.1-2.3 and 3.2 for the definitions and precise statements. Note that the above formula is equivalent to formula (3.13) for $k \leq 0$ because the Hermitian line bundles $\lambda_{k}$ and $\lambda_{1-k}$ on the moduli space are isometrically isomorphic (see Remark 3).

Note that the case of smooth punctured Riemann surfaces was treated by us much earlier in [14], and now we add conical points into consideration. The motivation to study families of Riemann orbisurfaces comes from various areas of mathematics and theoretical physics-from Arakelov geometry [9] to the theory of quantum Hall effect [6]. In particular, the paper [9] that establishes the Riemann-Roch-type isometry for non-compact orbisurfaces as Deligne isomorphism of metrized $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundles stimulated us to extend the results of [14] to the orbisurface setting.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the necessary background material. In Sect. 3, we prove the local index theorem for families of $\bar{\partial}$-operators on Riemann orbisurfaces that are factors of the hyperbolic plane by the action of finitely generated cofinite Fuchsian groups. Specifically, we show that the contribution to the local index formula from elliptic elements of Fuchsian groups is given by the symplectic form of a Kähler metric on the moduli space of orbisurfaces. Since the cases of smooth (both compact and punctured) Riemann surfaces have been well understood by us quite a while ago $[14,18]$, in Sect. 3.2 we mainly emphasize the computation of the contribution from conical points corresponding to elliptic elements. In Sect. 4.1,
we find a simple formula for a local Kähler potential of the elliptic metric, and in Sect. 4.2, we show that in the limit when the order of the elliptic element tends to $\infty$ the elliptic metric converges to the corresponding cusp metric. Finally, in Sect. 4.3 we give a simple example of a relation between the elliptic metric and special values of Selberg zeta function for Fuchsian groups of signature ( $0 ; 1 ; 2,2,2$ ).

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Hyperbolic plane and Fuchsian groups

We will use two models of the Lobachevsky (hyperbolic) plane: the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ with the metric $\frac{|\mathrm{d} z|^{2}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{2}}$, and the Poincaré unit disk $\mathbb{D}=$ $\left\{u \in \mathbb{C}||u|<1\}\right.$ with the metric $\frac{4|\mathrm{~d} u|^{2}}{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}$. The biholomorphic isometry between the two models is given by the linear fractional transformation $u=\frac{z-z_{0}}{z-\bar{z}_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in \mathbb{H}$.

A Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ of the first kind is a finitely generated cofinite discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$ (it can also be considered as a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSU}(1,1)$ acting on $\mathbb{D})$. Such $\Gamma$ has a standard presentation with $2 g$ hyperbolic generators $A_{1}, B_{1}, \ldots, A_{g}, B_{g}, n$ parabolic generators $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ and $l$ elliptic generators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}$ of orders $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}$ satisfying the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} B_{1} A_{1}^{-1} B_{1}^{-1} \ldots A_{g} B_{g} A_{g}^{-1} B_{g}^{-1} S_{1} \ldots S_{n} T_{1} \ldots T_{l}=I \\
& T_{i}^{m_{i}}=I, \quad i=1, \ldots, l
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I$ is the identity element. The set $\left(g ; n ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$, where $2 \leq m_{1} \leq \cdots \leq m_{l}$, is called the signature of $\Gamma$, and we will always assume that

$$
2 g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)>0
$$

We will be interested in orbifolds $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ (or $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{D}$, if we treat $\Gamma$ as acting on $\mathbb{D}$ ) for Fuchsian groups $\Gamma$ of the first kind. Such an orbifold is a Riemann surface of genus $g$ with $n$ punctures and $l$ conical points of angles $\frac{2 \pi}{m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{2 \pi}{m_{l}}$. By a ( $p, q$ )-differential on the orbifold Riemann surface $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, we understand a smooth function $\phi$ on $\mathbb{H}$ that transforms according to the rule $\phi(\gamma z) \gamma^{\prime}(z)^{p}{\overline{\gamma^{\prime}}(z)}^{q}=\phi(z)$. The space of harmonic $(p, q)$-differentials, square integrable with respect to the hyperbolic metric on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, is denoted by $\Omega^{p, q}(X)$. The dimension of the space of square integrable meromorphic (with poles at punctures and conical points) $k$-differentials on $X$, or cusp forms of weight $2 k$ for $\Gamma$, is given by the Riemann-Roch formula for orbifolds:

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Omega^{k, 0}(X)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(2 k-1)(g-1)+(k-1) n+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left[k\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)\right], \quad k>1 \\
g, \quad k=1 \\
1, \quad k=0 \\
0, \quad k<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $[r]$ denotes the integer part of $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ (see [13, Theorem 2.24]). In particular,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Omega^{2,0}(X)=3 g-3+n+l .
$$

The elements of the space $\Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ are called harmonic Beltrami differentials and play an important role in the deformation theory of Fuchsian groups (see Sect. 2.3). To study the behavior of harmonic Beltrami differentials at the elliptic fixpoints, we use the unit disk model. Take $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ and let $T \in \Gamma$ be an elliptic element of order $m$ with fixpoint $z_{0} \in \mathbb{H}$. The pushforward of $T$ to $\mathbb{D}$ by means of the map $u=\frac{z-z_{0}}{z-\bar{z}_{0}}$ is just the multiplication by $\omega=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / m}$, the $m$ th primitive root of unity. The pushforward of $\mu$ to $\mathbb{D}$ (that, slightly abusing notation, we will denote by the same symbol) develops into a power series of the form

$$
\mu(u)=\frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}{4} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{n} \bar{u}^{n-2} .
$$

Moreover, since $\mu(\omega u)=\mu(u) \omega^{-2}$, we have $a_{n}=0$ unless $n \equiv 0 \bmod m$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(u)=\frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} \bar{u}^{j m-2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mu(0)=0$ for $m>2$ and $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}(0)=0$ for $m=2$.
As in [14], for $\mu, \nu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ we put $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}(\mu \bar{\nu})$, where

$$
\Delta_{0}=-y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}, \quad y=\operatorname{Im} z
$$

is the Laplace operator (or rather $1 / 4$ of the Laplacian) in the hyperbolic metric acting on $\Omega^{0,0}(X)$. The function $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(u)$ is regular on $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfies

$$
f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(\omega u)=f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(u) .
$$

The following result is analogous to Lemma 2 in [14] and describes the behavior of $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(u)$ at $u=0$. We will use polar coordinates on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $u=r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$.

## Lemma 1 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mu \bar{v}}(u)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{j m}(r) e^{\sqrt{-1} j m \theta} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Fourier series of the function $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(u)$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Then,
(i) $f_{0}(r)=c_{0}+c_{2} r^{2}+O\left(r^{4}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, where

$$
c_{2}= \begin{cases}2 c_{0}, & m>2  \tag{2.3}\\ 2 c_{0}-4 \mu(0) \bar{v}(0), & m=2\end{cases}
$$

(ii) $f_{n}(r)=O\left(r^{|n|}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$;
(iii) For the constant term $c_{0}=f_{0}(0)$, we have

$$
c_{0}=\int_{X} G(0, u) \mu(u) \overline{\nu(u)} \mathrm{d} \rho(u)
$$

where $G(u, v)$ is the integral kernel of $\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}$ on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{D}$, and $\mathrm{d} \rho(u)=$ $\frac{2 \sqrt{-1}}{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{u}$.

Proof Since $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(u)$ is a regular solution of the equation $\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right) f=\mu \bar{\nu}$ at $u=0$, we have in polar coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}{16}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)(r, \theta)+\frac{1}{2} f(r, \theta)= \\
& =\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{4}}{16} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{i m} b_{j m} r^{(i+j) m-4} e^{\sqrt{-1}(j-i) m \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (2.1) for $\mu(u)$ and the analogous expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(u)=\frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{j m} \bar{u}^{j m-2} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $v(u)$. Then, for the term $f_{0}(r)$ of the Fourier series (2.2), we have the differential equation

$$
-\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}{16}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} f_{0}(r)}{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} f_{0}(r)}{\mathrm{d} r}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f_{0}(r)=\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{4}}{16} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} b_{j m} r^{2 j m-4}
$$

From here, we get that $f_{0}(r)=c_{0}+c_{2} r^{2}+O\left(r^{4}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, where

$$
c_{2}= \begin{cases}2 c_{0}, & m>2 \\ 2 c_{0}-4 \mu(0) \bar{v}(0), & m=2\end{cases}
$$

For the coefficients $f_{n}(r)$ with $n \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}{16}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} f_{n}(r)}{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} f_{n}(r)}{\mathrm{d} r}-\frac{n^{2} f_{n}(r)}{r^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f_{n}(r)= \\
& =\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{4}}{16} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} b_{j m+n} r^{2 j m+n-4},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $f_{n}(r)=O\left(r^{|n|}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. This proves parts (i) and (ii) of the lemma, from where it follows that $c_{0}=f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(0)$. To prove part (iii), it is sufficient to observe that

$$
f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(0)=\int_{X} G(0, u) \mu(u) \overline{\nu(u)} \mathrm{d} \rho(u) .
$$

### 2.2 Laplacians on Riemann orbisurfaces

Let us now switch to the properties of the Laplace operators on the hyperbolic orbifold $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, where $\Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group of the first kind. Here we give only a brief sketch, and the details can be found in [14,18]. Denote by $\mathcal{H}^{p, q}$ the Hilbert space of $(p, q)$-differentials on $X$, and let $\bar{\partial}_{k}: \mathcal{H}^{k, 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k, 1}$ be the Cauchy-Riemann operator acting on ( $k, 0$ )-differentials (in terms of the coordinate $z$ on $\mathbb{H}$, we have $\bar{\partial}_{k}=\partial / \partial \bar{z}$ ). Denote by $\bar{\partial}_{k}^{*}: \mathcal{H}^{k, 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k, 0}$ the formal adjoint to $\bar{\partial}_{k}$ and define the Laplace operator acting on $(k, 0)$-differentials on $X$ by the formula $\Delta_{k}=\bar{\partial}_{k}^{*} \bar{\partial}_{k}$.

We denote by $Q_{k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)$ the integral kernel of $\left(\Delta_{k}+\frac{(s-1)(s-2 k)}{4} I\right)^{-1}$ on the entire upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ (where $I$ is the identity operator in the Hilbert space of $k$ differentials on $\mathbb{H})$. The kernel $Q_{k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)$ is smooth for $z \neq z^{\prime}$ and has an important property that $Q_{k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)=Q_{k}\left(\sigma z, \sigma z^{\prime} ; s\right) \sigma^{\prime}(z)^{k} \overline{\sigma^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{k}}$ for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. For $k \geq 0$ and $s=1$, we have the explicit formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} y^{-2 k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\prime}} Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{z^{\prime}-\bar{z}^{\prime}}{\bar{z}-z^{\prime}}\right)^{2 k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\operatorname{Im} z$.
Furthermore, denote by $G_{k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)$ the integral kernel of the resolvent $\left(\Delta_{k}+\frac{(s-1)(s-2 k)}{4} I\right)^{-1}$ of $\Delta_{k}$ on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ (where $I$ is the identity operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{k, 0}$ ). For $k<0$ and $s=1$, the Green's function $G_{k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)$ is a
smooth function on $X \times X$ away from the diagonal (i.e., for $z \neq z^{\prime}$ ). For $k=0$, we have the following Laurent expansion near $s=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)=\frac{4}{|X|} \cdot \frac{1}{s(s-1)}+G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)+O(s-1) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 1$, where $|X|=2 \pi\left(2 g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(1-1 / m_{i}\right)\right)$ is the hyperbolic area of $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Then, for any integer $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} y^{-2 k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\prime}} G_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\left(z-\gamma z^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{\gamma \bar{z}^{\prime}-\gamma z^{\prime}}{\bar{z}-\gamma z^{\prime}}\right)^{2 k} \gamma^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{-k} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets for $z \neq \gamma z^{\prime}, \gamma \in \Gamma$.
We now recall the definition of the Selberg zeta function. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group of the first kind, and let $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow U(1)$ be a unitary character. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(s, \Gamma, \chi)=\prod_{\{\gamma\}} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\chi(\gamma) N(\gamma)^{-s-i}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{\gamma\}$ runs over the set of classes of conjugate hyperbolic elements of $\Gamma$ and $N(\gamma)$ is the norm of $\gamma$ defined by the conditions $N(\gamma)+1 / N(\gamma)=|\operatorname{tr} \gamma|, N(\gamma)>1$ (in other words, $\log N(\gamma)$ is the length of the closed geodesic in the free homotopy class associated with $\gamma$ ). Product (2.8) converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$ and admits a meromorphic continuation to the complex $s$-plane.

Except for the last section, in what follows we will always assume that $\chi \equiv 1$ and will denote $Z(s, \Gamma, 1)$ simply by $Z(s)$. The Selberg trace formula relates $Z(s)$ to the spectrum of the Laplacians on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and it is natural (cf. [7,12]) to define the regularized determinants of the operators $\Delta_{-k}$ by the formula

$$
\operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k}= \begin{cases}Z^{\prime}(1), & k=0  \tag{2.9}\\ Z(k+1), & k \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

(note that $Z(s)$ has a simple zero at $s=1$ ).

### 2.3 Deformation theory

We proceed with the basics of the deformation theory of Fuchsian groups. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group of the first kind of signature $\left(g ; n ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$. Consider the space of quasiconformal mappings of the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ that fix 0,1 and $\infty$. Two quasiconformal mappings are equivalent if they coincide on the real axis. A mapping $f$ is compatible with $\Gamma$ if $f^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ f \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The space of equivalence classes of $\Gamma$-compatible mappings is called the Teichmüller
space of $\Gamma$ and is denoted by $T(\Gamma)$. The space $T(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to a bounded complex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{3 g-3+n+l}$. The Teichmüller modular group $\operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$ acts on $T(\Gamma)$ by complex isomorphisms. Denote by $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}(\Gamma)$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$ consisting of pure mapping classes (i.e., those fixing the punctures and elliptic points on $X$ pointwise). The factor $T(\Gamma) / \operatorname{Mod}_{0}(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g, n+l}$ of smooth complex algebraic curves of genus $g$ with $n+l$ labeled points.

Remark 1 Note that $T(\Gamma)$, as well as the quotient space $T(\Gamma) / \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$, actually depends not on the signature of $\Gamma$, but rather on its signature type, the unordered set $r=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, where $r_{1}=n$ and $r_{i}$ is the number of elliptic points of order $i, i=2,3, \ldots$ (see [3]).

The holomorphic tangent and cotangent spaces to $T(\Gamma)$ at the origin are isomorphic to $\Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ and $\Omega^{2,0}(X)$, respectively (where, as before, $\left.X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}\right)$. Let $B^{-1,1}(X)$ be the unit ball in $\Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ with respect to the $L^{\infty}$ norm and let $\beta: B^{-1,1}(X) \rightarrow T(\Gamma)$ be the Bers map. It defines complex coordinates in the neighborhood of the origin in $T(\Gamma)$ by the assignment

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d}\right) \mapsto \Gamma^{\mu}=f^{\mu} \circ \Gamma \circ\left(f^{\mu}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\mu=\varepsilon_{1} \mu_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{d} \mu_{d}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{d}$ is a basis for $\Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ and $f^{\mu}$ is a quasiconformal mapping of $\mathbb{H}$ that fixes $0,1, \infty$ and satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$
f_{\bar{z}}^{\mu}=\mu f_{z}^{\mu}
$$

For $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$, denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu}}$ the partial derivatives along the holomorphic curve $\beta(\varepsilon \mu)$ in $T(\Gamma)$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ is a small parameter.

The Cauchy-Riemann operators $\bar{\partial}_{k}$ form a holomorphic $\operatorname{Mod}(\bar{\Gamma})$-invariant family of operators on $T(\Gamma)$. The determinant bundle $\lambda_{k}$ associated with $\bar{\partial}_{k}$ is a holomorphic $\operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$-invariant line bundle on $T(\Gamma)$ whose fibers are given by the determinant lines $\wedge^{\text {max }} \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}_{k} \otimes\left(\wedge^{\text {max }} \text { coker } \bar{\partial}_{k}\right)^{-1}$. Since the kernel and cokernel of $\bar{\partial}_{k}$ are the spaces of harmonic differentials $\Omega^{k, 0}(X)$ and $\Omega^{k, 1}(X)$, respectively, the line bundle $\lambda_{k}$ is Hermitian with the metric induced by the Hodge scalar products in the spaces $\Omega^{p, q}(X)$ (note that each orbifold Riemann surface $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ inherits a natural metric of constant negative curvature -1 ). The corresponding norm in $\lambda_{k}$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{k}$. Note that by duality between $\Omega^{k, 0}(X)$ and $\Omega^{1-k, 1}(X)$ the determinant line bundles $\lambda_{k}$ and $\lambda_{1-k}$ are isometrically isomorphic.

The Quillen norm in $\lambda_{k}$ is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}=\frac{\|\cdot\|_{k}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{k}}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \leq 0$ and is extended for all $k$ by the isometry $\lambda_{k} \cong \lambda_{1-k}$. The determinant det $\Delta_{k}$ defined via the Selberg zeta function is a smooth $\operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$-invariant function on $T(\Gamma)$.

## 3 Main results

Our objective is to compute the canonical connection and the curvature (or the first Chern form) of the Hermitian holomorphic line bundle $\lambda_{k}$ on $T(\Gamma)$. By Remark 1, $\lambda_{k}$ can be thought of as holomorphic $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle on the moduli space $T(\Gamma) / \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$.

### 3.1 Connection form on the determinant bundle

We start with computing the connection form on the determinant line bundle $\lambda_{-k}$ for $k>0$ relative to the Quillen metric. The following result generalizes Lemma 3 in [14, Sect. 3]:

Theorem 1 For any integer $k \geq 0$ and $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=0} \log \operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k} \\
& \quad=-\left.\int_{X} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)-Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \partial^{\prime}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\prime}}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{2} z=\frac{\mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}}{-2 \sqrt{-1}}$ is the Euclidean area form on $\mathbb{H}$.

Remark 2 The integral in (3.1) is absolutely convergent if $m_{i}>2$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. If $m_{i}=2$ for some $i$, then this integral should be understood in the principal value sense as follows. Let $z_{i}$ be the fixpoint of the elliptic generator $T_{i} \in \Gamma$ of order 2 and consider the mapping $h_{i}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, h_{i}(z)=\frac{z-z_{i}}{z-\bar{z}_{i}}$. Denote by $B_{\delta}=\{u \in \mathbb{D}| | u \mid<\delta\}$ the disk of radius $\delta$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with center at 0 . Since $\Gamma$ is discrete, for $\delta$ small enough we have $h_{i}^{-1}\left(B_{\delta}\right) \cap \gamma h_{j}^{-1}\left(B_{\delta}\right)=\emptyset$ unless $i=j$ and $\gamma$ is either $I$ or $T_{i}$. The subset

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\delta}=\mathbb{H} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{\left\{i \mid m_{i}=2\right\}} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma} \gamma h_{i}^{-1}\left(B_{\delta}\right)\right)
$$

is $\Gamma$-invariant, where $\Gamma_{i}$ denotes the cyclic group of order 2 generated by $T_{i}$. The factor $X_{\delta}=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_{\delta}$ is an orbifold Riemann surface with holes obtained by cutting off cones covered by small half disks with centers at the elliptic fixpoints of order 2 in $\mathbb{H}$. The integral in the right-hand side of (3.1) is then defined as

$$
\left.\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_{\delta}} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)-Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z
$$

Proof We will use the results of [14] profoundly. Repeating verbatim the proof of Lemma 3 in [14], we get for $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=0} \log \operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k}=-\int_{X} \partial \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; k+1\right)-Q_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; k+1\right)-\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { parabolic }}} Q_{0}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; k+1\right)-\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \text {, } \\
\gamma \text { elliptic }}} Q_{0}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; k+1\right)\right)\left.\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that by Lemma 3 in [14] the contribution from parabolic elements to the righthand side of (3.2) vanishes, i.e.,

$$
\left.\int_{X} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { parabolic }}} \partial \partial^{\prime} Q_{0}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; k+1\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z=0 .
$$

By Lemma 4 in [14], we can further rewrite (3.2) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=0} \log \operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k}= & -\int_{X} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)-Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { elliptic }}} Q_{-k}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; 1\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{-k}\right)\left.\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrand in the right-hand side is smooth, and the integral is absolutely convergent (cf. (2.7)). We need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{X}\left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { elliptic }}} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime} Q_{-k}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; 1\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{-k}\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(if there is $m_{i}=2$, we understand this integral as the principal value, see Remark 2).
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l=1$ and $\Gamma$ has one elliptic generator $T$ of order $m$ with fixpoint $z_{0} \in \mathbb{H}$. Then by (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left.\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { elliptic }}}\left(\partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime} Q_{-k}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; 1\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{-k}\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \Gamma \text { ellic } \\
\gamma-\gamma z)^{2}}} \frac{1}{(z-\gamma z-\gamma \bar{z}}\right)^{2 k} \gamma^{\prime}(z) \gamma^{\prime}(\bar{z})^{-k} \\
& =\frac{(z-\bar{z})^{2 k}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { elliptic }}} \frac{\gamma^{\prime}(z)^{k+1}}{(z-\gamma z)^{2}(\bar{z}-\gamma z)^{2 k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(z-\bar{z})^{2 k}}{\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(\sigma^{-1} T^{i} \sigma\right)^{\prime}(z)^{k+1}}{\left(z-\sigma^{-1} T^{i} \sigma z\right)^{2}\left(\bar{z}-\sigma^{-1} T^{i} \sigma z\right)^{2 k}} \\
& =\frac{(z-\bar{z})^{2 k}}{\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(z) \sigma^{\prime}(\bar{z})^{k}\left(T^{i} \sigma\right)^{\prime}(z)^{k+1}}{\left(\sigma z-T^{i} \sigma z\right)^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{z}-T^{i} \sigma z\right)^{2 k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{(\sigma z-\sigma \bar{z})^{2 k}\left(T^{i}\right)^{\prime}(\sigma z)^{k+1} \sigma^{\prime}(z)^{2}}{\left(\sigma z-T^{i} \sigma z\right)^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{z}-T^{i} \sigma z\right)^{2 k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \Gamma} \phi(\sigma z) \sigma^{\prime}(z)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma_{0} \cong \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ is the cyclic group generated by $T$ (the stabilizer of $z_{0}$ in $\Gamma$ ) and

$$
\phi(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{(z-\bar{z})^{2 k}\left(T^{i}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{k+1}}{\left(z-T^{i} z\right)^{2}\left(\bar{z}-T^{i} z\right)^{2 k}}
$$

Since $\phi(T z) T^{\prime}(z)^{2}=\phi(z)$, it is easy to check that the last expression in the above formula is a (meromorphic) quadratic differential on $X$. Using the standard substitution $u=\frac{z-z_{0}}{z-\bar{z}_{0}}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(z-\bar{z})^{2 k}\left(T^{i}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{k+1}}{\left(z-T^{i} z\right)^{2}\left(\bar{z}-T^{i} z\right)^{2 k}}=\frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2} u^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2 k}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}|u|^{2}\right)^{2 k}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu(0)=0$ for $m>2$ (see (2.1)), the integral in the left-hand side of (3.3) is absolutely convergent, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{X}\left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { elliptic }}} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime} Q_{-k}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; 1\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{-k}\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1-\omega^{i}|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k} \frac{\mu(u)}{u^{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} u \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1-\omega^{i}|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k}\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} \bar{u}^{j m-2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} u}{u^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 \pi}{m}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2 k+2}}{\left(1-\omega^{i} r^{2}\right)^{2 k}} r^{j m-3} e^{\sqrt{-1} j m \theta} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the theorem for $m>2$ (in the last line, we used polar coordinates $u=r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ ).

We have to be more careful in the case $m=2$, since the contribution from elliptic elements is no longer absolutely convergent and should be considered as the principal value (see Remark 2). From now on, we assume that $\Gamma$ acts on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, so that $\Gamma_{0}$ is generated by $\omega=-1$. Since $\Gamma$ is discrete, there exists $\min _{\gamma \in \Gamma /\{ \pm 1\}, \gamma \neq \pm 1}|\gamma(0)|>$ 0 . Therefore, we can choose a small $\delta$ such that $B_{\delta} \cap \gamma B_{\delta}=\emptyset$ unless $\gamma= \pm 1$. The set $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}=\mathbb{D} \backslash\left(\cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma /\{ \pm 1\}} \gamma B_{\delta}\right)$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, and the factor $X_{\delta}=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ is a Riemann surface with a hole obtained by cutting off a small cone with vertex at the conical point of angle $\pi$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X_{\delta}} & \left.\left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \text { elliptic }}} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime} Q_{-k}\left(z, \gamma z^{\prime} ; 1\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{-k}\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta} /\{ \pm 1\}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1+|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k} \frac{\mu(u)}{u^{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} u \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{16 \pi} \int_{\substack{\left(\mathbb{D} \backslash B_{\delta}\right) /\{ \pm 1\}}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1+|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k}\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} \bar{u}^{j m-2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} u}{u^{2}} \\
& -\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{4 \pi} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma /\{ \pm 1\} \\
\gamma \neq \pm 1}} \int_{\gamma B_{\delta}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1+|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k} \frac{\mu(u)}{u^{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first integral in the last line, we have

$$
\int_{\left(\mathbb{D} \backslash B_{\delta}\right) /\{ \pm 1\}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1+|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k}\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{j m} \bar{u}^{j m-2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} u}{u^{2}}=0
$$

by the same reason as in the case $m>2$ (in polar coordinates $u=r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$, the integral over $\theta$ vanishes). As for the sum of integrals, since the integrand is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{D} \backslash B_{\delta}$ and the (Euclidean) area of the union $\cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma /\{ \pm 1\}, \gamma \neq \pm 1} \gamma B_{\delta}$ tends to 0 as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma /\{ \pm 1\} \\ \gamma \neq \pm 1}} \int_{\gamma B_{\delta}}\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1+|u|^{2}}\right)^{2 k} \frac{\mu(u)}{u^{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} u \xrightarrow[\delta \rightarrow 0]{ } 0
$$

which proves the theorem.
Later we will need to know the behavior of the quadratic differential

$$
R_{-k}(z)=-\left.\partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)-Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z}
$$

near the elliptic fixpoints of $\Gamma$. Let $T$ be an elliptic generator of $\Gamma$ of order $m$ with fixpoint $z_{0}$. The standard isomorphism $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ given by $u=\frac{z-z_{0}}{z-\bar{z}_{0}}$ maps $z_{0} \in \mathbb{H}$ to $0 \in D$, so that $T$ becomes the multiplication by $\omega=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / m}$. Slightly abusing notation, we put $R_{-k}(u) \mathrm{d} u^{2}=R_{-k}(z) \mathrm{d} z^{2}$. Then, we have

Lemma 2 The quadratic differential $R_{-k}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ has the following asymptotics near 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{-k}(u)=-\frac{m^{2}}{2 \pi}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k}{m}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{u^{2}}+O(1) \text { as } u \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2}(x)=x^{2}-x+\frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial, and $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof Using (3.4), we easily see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{-k}(u) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2} u^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2 k}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}|u|^{2}\right)^{2 k}}+O(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{u^{2}}+O(1) \text { as } u \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We are going to show now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}}=-\frac{m^{2}-1}{12}+\frac{\bar{k}(m-\bar{k})}{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{k}$ is the least nonnegative residue of $k$ modulo $m$. We start with the simple identity

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \log \left(x-\omega^{i}\right)=\log \left(1+x+\cdots+x^{m-1}\right)
$$

Differentiating it once with respect to $x$ and putting $x=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-\omega^{i}}=\frac{m-1}{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating it twice, putting $x=1$ and applying (3.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}}=-\frac{m^{2}-1}{12} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.6), we use the identity

$$
\frac{x^{\bar{k}+1}}{(1-x)^{2}}=\frac{x}{(1-x)^{2}}-\frac{\bar{k}}{1-x}+\sum_{j=0}^{\bar{k}-1}(\bar{k}-j) x^{j}
$$

together with (3.7) and (3.8) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\omega^{i(k+1)}}{\left(1-\omega^{i}\right)^{2}} & =-\frac{m^{2}-1}{12}-\frac{\bar{k}(m-1)}{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{\bar{k}-1}(\bar{k}-j) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \omega^{i j} \\
& =-\frac{m^{2}-1}{12}-\frac{\bar{k}(m-1)}{2}+\bar{k}(m-1)-\sum_{j=1}^{\bar{k}-1}(\bar{k}-j) \\
& =-\frac{m^{2}-1}{12}+\frac{\bar{k}(m-\bar{k})}{2} \\
& =-\frac{m^{2}}{2}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k}{m}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the lemma.

### 3.2 The first Chern form

Our next objective is to compute the curvature, or the first Chern form $c_{1}\left(\lambda_{-k},\|\cdot\| \frac{Q}{-k}\right)$, of the determinant line bundle $\lambda_{-k}$ endowed with Quillen's metric (see (2.10)). To formulate the theorem, we introduce three kinds of metrics:

- Weil-Petersson metric. For $\mu, v \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ understood as tangent vectors to the Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$; the Weil-Petersson scalar product is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{v}}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{WP}}=\int_{X} \mu(z) \overline{v(z)} \mathrm{d} \rho(z), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \rho$ is the hyperbolic area form on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. This metric is Kähler, and its symplectic form will be denoted by $\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$.

- Cuspidal metric (also known as Takhtajan-Zograf metric). For the parabolic generator $S_{i}$ of $\Gamma$, this metric is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{v}}\right\rangle_{i}^{\text {cusp }}=\int_{X} E_{i}(z, 2) \mu(z) \overline{v(z)} \mathrm{d} \rho(z) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{i}(z, s)$ is the $i$ th Eisenstein series for $\Gamma$. By definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}(z, s)=\sum_{\gamma \in\left\langle S_{i}\right\rangle \backslash \Gamma} \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{i}^{-1} \gamma z\right)^{s}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle S_{i}\right\rangle$ denotes the cyclic subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by $S_{i}$ and $\left(\sigma_{i}^{-1} S_{i} \sigma\right) z=$ $z \pm 1$. The series is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$, is positive for $s=2$ and satisfies the equation

$$
\Delta_{0} E_{i}(z, s)=\frac{1}{4} s(1-s) E_{i}(z, s)
$$

For any $i=1, \ldots, n$, this metric is Kähler, its symplectic form we denote by $\omega_{i}^{\text {cusp }}$ and put $\omega_{\text {cusp }}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{\text {cusp }}$.

- Elliptic metric. For the elliptic generator $T_{j}$ of $\Gamma$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{v}}\right\rangle_{j}^{\mathrm{ell}}=\int_{X} G\left(z_{j}, z\right) \mu(z) \overline{\nu(z)} \mathrm{d} \rho(z), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{j}$ is the fixpoint of $T_{j}$ and $G\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 2\right)$ is the integral kernel of $\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}$. As we will see later, the metrics $\langle,\rangle_{j}^{\text {ell }}$ are also Kähler. Denote by $\omega_{j}^{\text {ell }}$ the $(1,1)$-form

$$
\omega_{j}^{\mathrm{ell}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{v}}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{v}}\right\rangle_{j}^{\mathrm{ell}} .
$$

The main result of this paper is
Theorem 2 For integer $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}\left(\lambda_{-k},\|\cdot\| \|_{-k}^{Q}\right)=\frac{6 k^{2}+6 k+1}{12 \pi^{2}} \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}-\frac{1}{9} \omega_{\mathrm{cusp}} \\
-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{j=1}^{l} m_{j}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k}{m_{j}}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m_{j}^{2}}\right) \omega_{j}^{\mathrm{ell}} \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, as above, $B_{2}(x)=x^{2}-x+\frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of $x$.

Remark 3 This result holds for $k<0$ as well, because the Hermitian line bundles $\left(\lambda_{k},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1-k},\|\cdot\|_{1-k}^{Q}\right)$ are isometrically isomorphic.

Proof As before, without loss of generality we assume that $l=1$ and $\Gamma$ has one elliptic generator $T$ of order $m$ with fixpoint $z_{0} \in \mathbb{H}$. We start with (3.1), where for $m=2$ we understand the integral in the right-hand side as the principal value as described above. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu} \partial \bar{\varepsilon}_{v}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{v}=0} \log \operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k} \\
& \quad=-\left.\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \int_{X^{\varepsilon v}} \partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)-Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z^{\prime}} \mu^{\varepsilon v}(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \int_{X^{\varepsilon v}} R_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}(z) \mu^{\varepsilon v}(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the following notation:

- $\Gamma^{\varepsilon v}=f^{\varepsilon v} \circ \Gamma \circ\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{-1}$, where $f^{\varepsilon v}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the Fuchsian deformation satisfying the Beltrami equation

$$
f_{\bar{z}}^{\varepsilon v}=\varepsilon v f_{z}^{\varepsilon v}
$$

and fixing 0,1 and $\infty$,

- $G_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)$ is the Green's function of $\Delta_{-k}$ on $X^{\varepsilon \nu}=\Gamma^{\varepsilon \nu} \backslash \mathbb{H}, k>0$, whereas for $k=0$ the Green's function is understood as the constant term in the Laurent expansion of $G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)$ at $s=1$, see (2.6),
- $\mu^{\varepsilon v} \in \Omega^{-1,1}\left(X^{\varepsilon v}\right)$ is the parallel transport of $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ along the trajectory of the tangent vector $\varepsilon v$, and
- $R_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}$ is a quadratic differential on $X^{\varepsilon v} \backslash\left\{f^{\varepsilon v}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}$ given by the formula

$$
R_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}(z)=-\left.\partial y^{-2 k} \partial^{\prime}\left(G_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)-Q_{-k}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; 1\right)\right)\right|_{z^{\prime}=z} .
$$

For $\varphi^{\varepsilon v} \in C^{p, q}\left(X^{\varepsilon v} \backslash\left\{f^{\varepsilon v}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}\right)$, we define its pullback $\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} \varphi^{\varepsilon v}$ to $X \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ by the formula

$$
\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} \varphi^{\varepsilon v}=\varphi^{\varepsilon v} \circ f^{\varepsilon v}\left(f_{z}^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{p}\left(f_{\bar{z}}^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{q} \in C^{p, q}\left(X \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}\right),
$$

where $C^{p, q}\left(X \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}\right)$ denotes the space of smooth $(p, q)$-differentials on the punctured at the elliptic point $z_{0}$ orbisurface $X \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ denote the stabilizer of $z_{0}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ generated by $T$ and put $X_{\delta}^{\varepsilon v}=X^{\varepsilon v} \backslash h_{\varepsilon v}^{-1}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$, where $h_{\varepsilon v}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, h_{\varepsilon v}(z)=$ $\frac{z-f^{\varepsilon v}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-\overline{f^{\varepsilon v}\left(z_{0}\right)}}$, and $B_{\delta}$ unfolds to a sector of small radius $\delta$ and central angle $2 \pi / \mathrm{m}$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Using Ahlfors' lemma

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \frac{\left|f_{z}^{\varepsilon v}\right|^{2}}{\left(\operatorname{Im} f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{2}}=0
$$

for $v \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ (see [1]), we continue (3.14) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu} \partial \bar{\varepsilon}_{\nu}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{\nu}=0} \log \operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_{\delta}^{\varepsilon v}} R_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}(z) \mu^{\varepsilon v}(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{-1}\left(X_{\delta}^{\varepsilon v}\right)}\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} R_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}(z)\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} \mu^{\varepsilon v}(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z\right) \\
= & \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_{\delta}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} R_{-k}^{\varepsilon v}(z)\right) \mu(z) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \\
& +\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_{\delta}} R_{-k}(z)\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} \mu^{\varepsilon v}(z)\right) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \\
& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial X_{\delta}} R_{-k}(z) \mu(z)\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} f^{\varepsilon v} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}-\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \overline{f^{\varepsilon v}} \mathrm{~d} z\right) \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral $I_{3}$ is due to the variation of the domain of integration $\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{-1}\left(X_{\delta}^{\varepsilon v}\right)$ in (3.14).

The first integral in the right-hand side of (3.15) was computed in [14], Theorem 1, Formulas (4.7) and (4.8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(-\mu \bar{\nu} I+\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\partial}_{-k}\right) \Delta_{-k}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\partial}_{-k}^{*}\right)\right) P_{-k, 1}\right)+\frac{3 k+1}{12 \pi}\langle\mu, \nu\rangle_{\mathrm{WP}}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{-k, 1}(X), P_{-k, 1}: \mathcal{H}^{-k, 1}(X) \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{-k, 1}(X)$ is the orthogonal projector, $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the trace, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{\mu} \bar{\partial}_{-k}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon \mu}\right)^{*} \bar{\partial}_{-k}\left(\left(f^{\varepsilon \mu}\right)^{*}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \partial_{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\partial}_{-k}^{*}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} \bar{\partial}_{-k}^{*}\left(\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed with the integral $I_{2}$ in the right-hand side of (3.15). We will use Wolpert's formula [16]

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon v}\right)^{*} \mu^{\varepsilon v}(z)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} y^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f_{\mu \bar{v}}
$$

where, as before, $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}(\mu \bar{\nu})$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =-\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_{\delta}} R_{-k}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\left(y^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(z)\right) \mathrm{d}^{2} z \\
& =\int_{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} R_{-k}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(z) y^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2} z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{Y \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial X^{Y}} R_{-k}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(z) y^{2} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial X_{\delta}} R_{-k}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}(z) y^{2} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =  \tag{3.17}\\
& I_{4}+I_{5}+I_{6},
\end{align*}
$$

where $X^{Y}$ denotes the Riemann surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ with cusps cut off along horocycles at level $Y$ (see [14] for details). The first two integrals in the right-hand side of (3.17) were computed in [14], Theorem 1. Namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{4}= & -k \operatorname{Tr}\left(y^{2}\left(\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu} \partial \bar{\varepsilon}_{v}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{\nu}=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon_{\mu} \mu+\varepsilon_{\nu} \nu}\right)^{*}\left(y^{-2}\right)\right) P_{-k, 1}\right) \\
& +\frac{k(2 k+1)}{4 \pi}\langle\mu, \nu\rangle_{\mathrm{WP}} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{5}=-\frac{\pi}{9}\langle\mu, \nu\rangle_{\mathrm{cusp}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the integral $I_{6}$, we will use the coordinate $u$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Put $C_{\delta}=\left\{u=\delta e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \left\lvert\, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{2 \pi}{m}\right.\right\}$ and denote for brevity

$$
c(m, k)=-\frac{m^{2}-1}{12}+\frac{\bar{k}(m-\bar{k})}{2}=-\frac{m^{2}}{2}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k}{m}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m^{2}}\right) .
$$

Then, by Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{6} & =-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{C_{\delta}} \frac{c(m, k)}{u^{2}} \frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}{4} \frac{\partial f_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial \bar{u}} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& =\frac{c(m, k)}{16 \pi} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 \pi}{m}} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}{r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) f_{\mu \bar{v}}(r, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{c(m, k)}{16 \pi} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 \pi}{m}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial r} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{c(m, k)}{8 m} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial r} \\
& =\frac{c(m, k)}{4 m} c_{2} \\
& =-\frac{m}{4}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k}{m}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m^{2}}\right)\left(\int_{X} G(0, u) \mu(u) \overline{v(u)} \mathrm{d} \rho(u)-2 \mu(0) \overline{v(0)}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the Fourier expansion for $f_{\mu \bar{\nu}}$ and Lemma 1. (Note that the term $-2 \mu(0) \overline{v(0)}$ is present only when $m=2$.)

The only integral that is left to compute is $I_{3}$ in (3.15). As in the case of the integral $I_{6}$, we evaluate $I_{3}$ using the coordinate $u$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{C_{\delta}} R_{-k}(u) \mu(u)\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} f^{\varepsilon v} \mathrm{~d} \bar{u}-\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \overline{f^{\varepsilon v}} \mathrm{~d} u\right) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{C_{\delta}} R_{-k}(u) \mu(u)(\Phi(u) \mathrm{d} \bar{u}-\overline{F(u)} \mathrm{d} u),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put

$$
\Phi=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} f^{\varepsilon \nu} \quad \text { and } \quad F=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} f^{\varepsilon \nu}
$$

Since for $m>2$ we have $\mu(0)=0$ (cf. (2.1)) this yields $I_{3}=0$. When $m=2$, we use Lemma 2, the fact that $\Phi$ is holomorphic [1], and the formulas

$$
\mu_{u}(0)=0, \quad F(u)=F(0)+v(0) \bar{u}+F_{u}(0) u+O\left(u^{2}\right)
$$

and (3.5) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & =\frac{c(2, k)}{2 \pi} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\mu\left(r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}\right)}{r}\left(e^{-3 \sqrt{-1} \theta} \Phi\left(r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}\right)+e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \overline{F\left(r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}\right)}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{c(2, k)}{2} \mu(0) \bar{\nu}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put $u=r e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$. Thus, for all $m \geq 2$

$$
I_{3}+I_{6}=-\frac{m}{4}\left(B_{2}\left(\left\{\frac{k}{m}\right\}\right)-\frac{1}{6 m^{2}}\right) \int_{X} G(0, u) \mu(u) \overline{v(u)} \mathrm{d} \rho(u)
$$

To complete the proof, we recall Lemma 1 in [18] (or Lemma 5 in [14]) that computes the curvature (or the first Chern form) of the determinant line bundle $\lambda_{-k}$ relative to the standard $L^{2}$-metric $\|\cdot\|_{-k}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}\left(\lambda_{-k},\|\cdot\| \|_{-k}\right)(\mu, \nu) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\left(\mu \bar{\nu}+\left.k y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu} \partial \bar{\varepsilon}_{v}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{\nu}=0}\left(f^{\varepsilon_{\mu} \mu+\varepsilon_{\nu} v}\right)^{*}\left(y^{-2}\right)\right) I\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\partial}_{-k}\right) \Delta_{-k}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\partial}_{-k}^{*}\right)\right) P_{-k, 1}\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the same notation as in formulas (3.16) and (3.18), and $\mu, v \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ are understood as tangent vectors to $T(\Gamma)$ at the origin. Then, for the first Chern form of $\lambda_{-k}$ relative to the Quillen metric, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1}\left(\lambda_{-k},\|\cdot\|_{-k}^{Q}\right)(\mu, \nu) & =c_{1}\left(\lambda_{-k},\|\cdot\|_{-k}\right)(\mu, v) \\
& +\left.\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu} \partial \bar{\varepsilon}_{v}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{\nu}=0} \log \operatorname{det} \Delta_{-k} . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting formulas (3.15)-(3.21) into (3.22), we arrive at the assertion of the theorem.

## 4 Concluding remarks

### 4.1 Local potential for elliptic metric

Let $\Gamma$ be a cofinite Fuchsian group, and let $T$ be an elliptic generator of $\Gamma$ of order $m$ with the fixpoint $0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Following [10], we are going to show that positive definite Hermitian product (3.12)

$$
\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{v}}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ell}}=\int_{X} G(0, u) \mu(u) \overline{\nu(u)} \mathrm{d} \rho(u),
$$

where $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{D}$ and has a local potential in a neighborhood of the origin in the Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$.

For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that the group $\Gamma$ has genus 0 . Let

$$
J: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{D} \supset \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n+l-3}, 0,1\right\}
$$

be the corresponding Hauptmodul with ramification index $m$ over $0=J(0) \in \mathbb{C}$, where $n$ and $l$ are the numbers of parabolic and elliptic generators of $\Gamma$, respectively. The function $J$ has a power series expansion in $u \in \mathbb{D}$ of the form

$$
J(u)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_{k} u^{m k},
$$

where $J_{1} \neq 0$. For the density of the hyperbolic metric $e^{\varphi(w)}|\mathrm{d} w|^{2}$ on $X=$ $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n+l-3}, 0,1\right\}$, we have

$$
e^{\varphi(w)}=\frac{4\left|J^{-1}(w)^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|J^{-1}(w)\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{4\left|J_{1}\right|^{-\frac{2}{m}}}{m^{2}}=\lim _{w \rightarrow 0} e^{\varphi(w)}|w|^{2-\frac{2}{m}}
$$

Take $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(X)$ and denote by $F^{\varepsilon \mu}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the quasiconformal map satisfying the Beltrami equation $F_{\bar{w}}^{\varepsilon \mu}=\varepsilon \mu F_{w}^{\varepsilon \mu}$ that fixes 0,1 and $\infty$. Let $\Gamma^{\varepsilon \mu}=F^{\varepsilon \mu} \circ \Gamma \circ$ $\left(F^{\varepsilon \mu}\right)^{-1}$ be the deformation of the group $\Gamma$ in $T(\Gamma)$ in the direction of $\mu$. Then, we can think of $F^{\varepsilon \mu}$ as a map $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n+l-3}, 0,1\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w_{1}^{\varepsilon \mu}, \ldots, w_{n+l-3}^{\varepsilon \mu}, 0,1\right\} \in$ $X^{\varepsilon \mu}$, where $X^{\varepsilon \mu}=\Gamma^{\varepsilon \mu} \backslash \mathbb{D}$ and $F^{\varepsilon \mu}\left(w_{i}\right)=w_{i}^{\varepsilon \mu}$. Let us now put
$h^{\varepsilon \mu}=-\log \left|J_{1}^{\varepsilon \mu}\right|^{\frac{2}{m}}=2 \log m-2 \log 2+\lim _{w \rightarrow 0}\left(\varphi^{\varepsilon \mu}(w)+\left(1-m^{-1}\right) \log |w|^{2}\right)$.
Then, using Wolpert's formula [16] for the second variation of the hyperbolic area form and the fact that $F^{\varepsilon \mu}(w)$ is holomorphic in $\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varepsilon \partial \bar{\varepsilon}}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} h^{\varepsilon \mu} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}\left(|\mu|^{2}\right)(0) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \iint_{X} G(0, u)|\mu(u)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \rho(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ell}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $h^{\varepsilon \mu}$ is a potential of the elliptic metric $\langle,\rangle^{\text {ell }}$ that is defined globally on $\mathcal{M}_{0, n+l}$ for any elliptic generator $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}$ of $\Gamma$.

If the group $\Gamma$ has genus $g>0$, one can use the Schottky uniformization to construct local potentials for the elliptic metrics in exactly the same way (see [10] for details). Thus, we have the following

Theorem 3 Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated cofinite Fuchsian group of signature $\left(g ; n ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$. Then, each Hermitian metric $\langle,\rangle_{1}^{\text {ell }}, \ldots,\langle,\rangle_{l}^{\text {ell }}$ defined by (3.12) is Kähler on the Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$ (or on the moduli space $T(\Gamma) / \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$ in the orbifold sense).

As in the case of punctured Riemann surfaces [10,17], for each conical point $z_{j}$ we consider the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ on $T(\Gamma)$, or rather a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle on $T(\Gamma) / \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$. Its fibers are holomorphic cotangent lines at conical points. Then, as in [10] (cf. also [9]), one can show that $h$ determines a Hermitian metric in the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ and

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{j}, h\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \omega_{j}^{\mathrm{ell}}
$$

### 4.2 Cuspidal and elliptic metrics

Here we will show that when the order of the elliptic generator tends to $\infty$, the corresponding Hermitian product converges to the cuspidal one. Consider the family of elliptic transformations $T_{m}$ of order $m$ of the form

$$
T_{m}=C_{m} O_{m} C_{m}^{-1}
$$

where

$$
O_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{2 \pi}{m} & \sin \frac{2 \pi}{m} \\
-\sin \frac{2 \pi}{m} & \cos \frac{2 \pi}{m}
\end{array}\right), \quad C_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi}} \\
-\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{m}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $m=2,3, \ldots$ Then,

$$
T_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{2 \pi}{m} & \frac{m}{2 \pi} \sin \frac{2 \pi}{m} \\
-\frac{2 \pi}{m} \sin \frac{2 \pi}{m} & \cos \frac{2 \pi}{m}
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and $\zeta_{m}=\frac{\sqrt{-1} m}{2 \pi}$ (the fixpoint of $T_{m}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ ) tends to $\sqrt{-1} \infty$.
To compute the limit of the elliptic scalar product as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we use Fay's formula [8, Theorem 3.1]

$$
G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)=\frac{4 y^{1-s}}{2 s-1} E\left(z^{\prime}, s\right)+O\left(e^{-2 \pi y}\right)
$$

as $y \rightarrow \infty$ and $y>y^{\prime}$. Here $G_{0}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; s\right)$ stands, as before, for the integral kernel of $\left(\Delta_{0}+\frac{s(s-1)}{4}\right)^{-1}$ on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and $E(z, s)$ is the Eisenstein series associated with the parabolic subgroup generated by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Putting $s=2$, we get for $m$ large that

$$
G\left(\zeta_{m}, z\right)=G_{0}\left(\zeta_{m}, z ; 2\right)=\frac{8 \pi}{3 m} E(z, 2)+O\left(e^{-m}\right)
$$

Thus, we see that

$$
\frac{3 m}{8 \pi}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\nu}}\right\rangle_{m}^{\text {ell }} \longrightarrow\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\nu}}\right\rangle_{\infty}^{\text {cusp }} \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\langle,\rangle_{m}^{\text {ell }}$ is the Hermitian product associated with the elliptic generator $T_{m}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{\infty}^{\text {cusp }}$ is the Hermitian product associated with the parabolic generator $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

### 4.3 Elliptic metric and Selberg zeta values

Here we give a simple example of a relation between the elliptic metric and Selberg zeta values considered as functions on the Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$. As $\Gamma$ we take a Fuchsian group of the first kind of signature ( $0 ; 1 ; 2,2,2$ ), i.e.,

$$
\Gamma=\left\{S_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3} \mid S_{0} T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}=T_{1}^{2}=T_{2}^{2}=T_{3}^{2}=I\right\}
$$

Let $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ be the character defined on the generators by $\chi\left(S_{0}\right)=\chi\left(T_{1}\right)=$ $\chi\left(T_{2}\right)=\chi\left(T_{3}\right)=-1$, and let $\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker} \chi$. Then, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a torsion-free subgroup of $\Gamma$ of index 2 and signature $(1 ; 1)$ given by

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{A_{1}, B_{1}, S_{1} \mid A_{1} B_{1} A_{1}^{-1} B_{1}^{-1} S_{1}=I\right\}
$$

where $A_{1}=T_{1} T_{2}, A_{2}=T_{3} T_{2}$ and $S_{1}=S_{0}^{2}$. The group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ uniformizes a oncepunctured elliptic curve given by the lattice $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1+\mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} \tau>0$, so that $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{H} \simeq \Lambda \backslash \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. The Teichmüller spaces of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are naturally isomorphic: $T(\Gamma)=T\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau>0\}$. Formula (3.13) applied to the determinant line bundles $\lambda_{k}$ and $\lambda_{k}^{\prime}$ on $T(\Gamma)$ and $T\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$, respectively, yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)=\frac{6 k^{2}-6 k+1}{12 \pi^{2}} \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}-\frac{1}{9} \omega_{\mathrm{cusp}}+\frac{(-1)^{k}}{16 \pi} \omega_{\mathrm{ell}}  \tag{4.1}\\
& c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)=\frac{6 k^{2}-6 k+1}{12 \pi^{2}} \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{9} \omega_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{\prime} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(here we assume that $k \geq 1$ and $\omega_{\text {ell }}=\omega_{1}^{\text {ell }}+\omega_{2}^{\text {ell }}+\omega_{3}^{\text {ell }}$ ).
Since the fundamental domain of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is twice the fundamental domain of $\Gamma$, and the Beltrami differential corresponding to $\partial / \partial \tau$ is the same for both $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$, we have $\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^{\prime}=2 \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$. Moreover, since $\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle \backslash \Gamma^{\prime}=\left\langle S_{0}\right\rangle \backslash \Gamma$, the Eisenstein series for $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are equal, i.e., $E(z, s ; \Gamma)=E\left(z, s ; \Gamma^{\prime}\right)$, see (3.11). Therefore, we have $\omega_{\text {cusp }}^{\prime}=2 \omega_{\text {cusp }}$, and comparing (4.1) and (4.2), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)-c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{k}^{Q}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{8 \pi} \omega_{\mathrm{ell}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}\left(\lambda_{1},\|\cdot\|_{1}^{Q}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial}_{\tau} \partial_{\tau} \log \left(\frac{1}{Z^{\prime}(1, \Gamma, 1)}\right), \\
& c_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{1}^{Q}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial}_{\tau} \partial_{\tau} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} \tau}{Z^{\prime}\left(1, \Gamma^{\prime}, 1\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\partial_{\tau}$ and $\bar{\partial}_{\tau}$ are the $(1,0)$ - and $(0,1)$-components of the exterior derivative operator on the upper half-plane $\{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau>0\}$ and $Z(s, \Gamma, \chi)$ is defined by (2.8). By [15,

Theorem 3.1], we have

$$
Z\left(s, \Gamma^{\prime}, 1\right)=Z(s, \Gamma, 1) \cdot Z(s, \Gamma, \chi)
$$

and hence $Z^{\prime}\left(1, \Gamma^{\prime}, 1\right)=Z^{\prime}(1, \Gamma, 1) \cdot Z(1, \Gamma, \chi)$ (note that $\left.Z(1, \Gamma, \chi) \neq 0\right)$. Substituting this expression for $Z^{\prime}\left(1, \Gamma^{\prime}, 1\right)$ into (4.3), we finally obtain that for a group $\Gamma$ of signature $(0 ; 1 ; 2,2,2)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-1} \omega_{\mathrm{ell}}=-\frac{\mathrm{d} \tau \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{\tau}}{(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{2}}+4 \bar{\partial}_{\tau} \partial_{\tau} \log \left(\frac{Z\left(1, \Gamma_{\tau}, \chi\right)}{Z^{\prime}\left(1, \Gamma_{\tau}, 1\right)}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $T(\Gamma)=\{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau>0\}$. Here $\Gamma_{\tau}=f^{\mu} \circ \Gamma \circ\left(f^{\mu}\right)^{-1}$, where $f^{\mu}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the Fuchsian deformation satisfying the Beltrami equation $f_{\bar{z}}^{\mu}=\mu f_{z}^{\mu}$ with $\mu \in$ $\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H})$ corresponding to the tangent vector $\partial / \partial \tau$.
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