

MAT 535: HOMEWORK 4

DUE WED, FEB 27

Unless stated otherwise, \mathbb{F} is an arbitrary field, R is a ring with unit, and \mathcal{C} is the category of modules over R (in fact, almost all results hold in greater generality, for any abelian category, but you are not required to show that).

1. Let $A^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0)$, $B^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow B_3 \rightarrow 0)$ be two short exact sequences, and $f: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ a chain map such that $f_1: A_1 \rightarrow B_1$, $f_3: A_3 \rightarrow B_3$ are isomorphisms. Prove that $f_2: A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ is also an isomorphism.

2. Let P_1, P_2 be two R -modules. Prove that $P_1 \oplus P_2$ is projective iff both P_1, P_2 are projective.

3. (a) Prove that any R -module M has a *projective resolution*, i.e. there is an exact sequence

$$\dots P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where P_i is projective.

- (b) Prove that any two such projective resolutions are chain homotopic: if $P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, $Q_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ are two projective resolutions, then there exists a chain map $f: P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$ such that f is identity on M . Moreover, such f is unique up to homotopy: if f_1, f_2 are two such maps then they are chain homotopic (see problem 4 from previous HW for definition).
 - (c) Prove that if R is a PID, then any module has a projective resolution of length 2, i.e. a projective resolution $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$.
4. (a) Compute $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z})$ (in the category of \mathbb{Z} -modules).
(b) Let $R = \mathbb{F}[x]$; for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, define $M_\lambda = R/(x - \lambda)$ (this is one-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} in which x acts by λ). Compute $\text{Ext}^1(M_\lambda, M_\mu)$.
5. (a) Prove that functor of tensor product is right exact, but not necessarily exact: if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of R -modules, and M is an (S, R) -bimodule, then $M \otimes_R A \rightarrow M \otimes_R B \rightarrow M \otimes_R C \rightarrow 0$ is exact, but $0 \rightarrow M \otimes_R A \rightarrow M \otimes_R B \rightarrow M \otimes_R C \rightarrow 0$ is not necessarily exact at first term.
(b) Show that if M is free as a right R -module, then the functor of tensoring with M is exact.
6. This problem explains how, given an element $\varphi \in \text{Ext}^1(C, A)$, one constructs the corresponding extension.
Let A, C be R -modules, $C = P_0/P_1$, and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(P_1, A)$. Define $B = (A \oplus P_0)/\{\varphi(x), x\}_{x \in P_1}$. Prove that then one has a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$.

*7. (This is a more complicated problem; you can do it for extra credit. It can be turned in later.)

Recall that we have given two definitions of $\text{Ext}^1(C, A)$ in class:

- Simple definition, only discussed in very special case when R is an algebra over a field \mathbb{F} :

(1) $\text{Ext}^1(C, A) = \{f: R \times C \rightarrow A \mid r_1 f(r, c) = f(r_1 r, c) - f(r_1, r c)\} / \{f = r\varphi(c) - \varphi(rc), \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(C, A)\}$

- More general definition: if $\cdots P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of C , then

(2) $\text{Ext}^1(C, A) = H^1(0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_0, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_1, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_2, A) \rightarrow \cdots)$

The goal of this problem is to show that these two definitions are equivalent. Namely, let R be an algebra over \mathbb{F} .

- (a) Define a complex (all tensor products are over \mathbb{F}):

$$R \otimes R \otimes R \otimes C \rightarrow R \otimes R \otimes C \rightarrow R \otimes C \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

with differential defined by

$$d(r \otimes c) = rc$$

$$d(r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes c) = (r_1 r_2) \otimes c - r_1 \otimes (r_2 c)$$

$$d(r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 \otimes c) = (r_1 r_2) \otimes r_3 \otimes c - r_1 \otimes (r_2 r_3) \otimes c + r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes (r_3 c)$$

Prove that this is a projective resolution of C .

- (b) Prove that computing $\text{Ext}^1(C, A)$ using formula (2) and this projective resolution gives formula (1). (Hint: the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(1, x)$ gives an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} X, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, A)$.)