

**MAT/CSE 371: PROBLEM SET 4  
SOLUTIONS TO SELECTED PROBLEMS**

INSTRUCTOR: JASON BEHRSTOCK

These solutions are provided courtesy of Raymond Cassella (the last one also by Robert Rothenberg).

2.2.3 Show that

$$\{\forall x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta), \forall x\alpha\} \models \forall x\beta.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any structure for the language,  
and  $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$  any function such that

$$(1) \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) [s]$$

$$(2) \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall x\alpha [s].$$

We need to show that

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall x\beta [s],$$

that is, for every  $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ , we need  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \beta [s(x|d)]$ ,  
so let  $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$  be given.

By (1), we have  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) [s(x|d)]$ ,  
and by (2), we have  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s(x|d)]$ .

By definition of  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}}$ , these two together imply  
 $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \beta [s(x|d)]$ , as desired.

2.2.21 Let  $y$  be a variable which does not occur free in  $\alpha$ ,  
and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any fixed structure for the language.  
I claim that

$$(3) \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \exists!x\alpha \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \exists y\forall x(\alpha \leftrightarrow x=y)$$

First suppose that

$$(4) \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \exists!x\alpha,$$

and let  $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$ .

Then there is exactly one  $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$  such that  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s(x|d)]$ .

Then for the first direction of (3) it suffices to show that

$$(5) \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\alpha \leftrightarrow x=y)[s(x|a)(y|d)], \quad \text{for any } a \in |\mathfrak{A}|.$$

But this is clear:

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s(x|a)(y|d)] \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s(x|a)] \Leftrightarrow a = d \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} (x=y) [s(x|a)(y|d)].$$

For the converse, suppose that there is some  $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$  for which (5) holds.

Then for any  $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ , we have

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s(x|a)] \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s(x|a)(y|d)] \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} x=y [s(x|a)(y|d)] \Leftrightarrow a = d,$$

which gives (4).

A Prove

$$(6) \quad \models \exists x(Qx \rightarrow \forall xQx)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any structure for the language, and  $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$  any function. There are two cases.

**Case 1:**  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall xQx [s]$ . Let  $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$  be arbitrary.

Then  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall xQx [s(x|d)]$ , since  $x$  does not appear free in  $\forall xQx$ .

We therefore have

$$(7) \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} (Qx \rightarrow \forall xQx) [s(x|d)],$$

verifying (6).

**Case 2:**  $\not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall xQx [s]$ .

Then there must be some  $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$  which for which  $\not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} Qx [s(x|d)]$ , making (7) true for this choice of  $d$ , verifying (6).

B

$$\begin{aligned} \models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\alpha \vee \beta) [s] &\Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} ((\neg\alpha) \rightarrow \beta) [s] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\neg\alpha) [s] \text{ or } \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \beta [s] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s] \text{ or } \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \beta [s] \end{aligned}$$

C We have three formulas:

$$(8) \quad \forall v_1 f v_0 v_1 = v_0$$

$$(9) \quad \exists v_0 \forall v_1 f v_0 v_1 = v_1$$

$$(10) \quad \exists v_0 (P v_0 \wedge (\forall v_1 P f v_0 v_1))$$

Define a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  by  $|\mathfrak{A}| = \{0\}$ ,

then  $f^{\mathfrak{A}} : |\mathfrak{A}|^2 \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$  must be given by  $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(0, 0) = 0$ .

Take  $P^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{0\} \subset |\mathfrak{A}|^1$ .

I claim that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a model for all three formulas above.

For any  $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$ , and any  $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $s(v_1|a) = s \equiv 0$ , and we have

$$\bar{s}(f v_0 v_1) = f^{\mathfrak{A}}(s(v_0), s(v_1)) = 0 = \bar{s}(v_0)$$

which means  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (fv_0v_1 = v_0) [s(v_1|a)]$ , which proves  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a model of (8).

In fact, we also have

$$\overline{s(v_0|0)(v_1|a)}(fv_0v_1) = f^{\mathfrak{A}}(s(v_0), s(v_1)) = 0 = \overline{s(v_0|0)(v_1|a)}(v_1),$$

and therefore  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (fv_0v_1 = v_1) [s(v_0|0)(v_1|a)]$

for all  $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$ , and  $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,

which shows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  models (9) as well.

We also have  $0 \in P^{\mathfrak{A}}$ , so that

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} Pv_0 [s(v_0|0)],$$

and that

$$\bar{s}(fv_0v_1) = f^{\mathfrak{A}}(s(v_0), s(v_1)) = 0 \in P^{\mathfrak{A}},$$

which means  $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} Pf_0v_1 [s(v_1|a)]$  for all  $a = 0 \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ , so that

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall v_1 Pf_0v_1 [s(v_0|0)],$$

and therefore  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a model for (10).

Define  $\mathfrak{B}$  by taking  $|\mathfrak{B}| = \{0, 1\}$ ,

define  $f^{\mathfrak{B}} : |\mathfrak{B}|^2 \rightarrow |\mathfrak{B}|$  by  $f(x, y) = 0$ .

Let  $P^{\mathfrak{B}} = \emptyset$ .

I claim that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not a model for either (8), (9), or (10).

Let  $s$  be such that  $s(v_0) = 1$ . Then

$$\bar{s}(fv_0v_1) = f^{\mathfrak{B}}(s(v_0), s(v_1)) = 0 \neq 1 = s(v_0),$$

so that  $\not\models_{\mathfrak{B}} fv_0v_1 = v_0 [s]$ ,

so  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not a model for (8).

Now let  $s$  be such that  $s(v_1) = 1$ . Then

$$\bar{s}(fv_0v_1) = f^{\mathfrak{B}}(s(v_0), s(v_1)) = 0 \neq 1 = s(v_1),$$

so that  $\not\models_{\mathfrak{B}} fv_0v_1 = v_1 [s]$ ,

so  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not a model for (9).

For any  $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{B}|$ , and any  $b \in |\mathfrak{B}|$ ,

we have  $s(v_0|b)(v_0) \notin P^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , so that

$$\not\models_{\mathfrak{B}} Pv_0 [s(v_0|b)] \Rightarrow \not\models_{\mathfrak{B}} Pv_0 \wedge (\forall v_1 Pf_0v_1) [s(v_0|b)],$$

so that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not a model for (10).

C Here is an alternate set of models for the last question. Please fill in the proofs that these models satisfy (or don't satisfy) the given formulas.

Let  $P$  be a unary predicate symbol and  $f$  a binary function symbol. For each of the following, find a structure which satisfies the formula, and find another which does not.

(1)  $\forall v_1 f v_0 v_1 = v_0$  is satisfied for the set of Natural Numbers where  $f v_0 v_1 = v_0 \cdot v_1$  (multiplication) and  $v_0 = 0$ , and is not satisfied for the set of all people where  $f v_0 v_1$  is the first-born child of  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  (since nobody is his own child).

(2)  $\exists v_0 \forall v_1 f v_0 v_1 = v_1$  is satisfied for the set of Natural Numbers where  $f$  is multiplication and  $v_0 = 1$ , and is not satisfied for the set of all people where  $f v_0 v_1$  is the first-born child of  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  (again, since nobody is his own child).

(3)  $\exists v_0 (P v_0 \wedge (\forall v_1 P f v_0 v_1))$  is satisfied for the set of Natural Numbers where  $f$  is multiplication and  $Px$  means “ $x$  is a composite number”, and is not satisfied for the set of all people where  $f v_0 v_1$  is the first-born child of  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  and  $Px$  means “ $x$  is alive today” (since not all people  $v_1$  have children who are alive today while, for instance, Julius Ceasar has no children who are alive today).