

A (discrete) rigid body is a finite set of particles with masses  $m_i$  and positions  $x_{i\mu}$  such that  $|x_i - x_j|$  is constant in time. Greek indices are spatial and Latin indices correspond to the different particles. The total mass is  $M = \sum_i m_i$ , the center of mass is  $X_\mu = (\sum_i m_i x_{i\mu})/M$ , and the inertia tensor is  $I_{\mu\nu} = \sum_i m_i (|x_i|^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - x_{i\mu} x_{i\nu})$ .  $I_{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric. Therefore, there is a right-handed orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $I_{\mu\nu}$ . The eigenvectors  $\phi_{\mu 1}, \phi_{\mu 2}, \phi_{\mu 3}$  are called the principle axes. The eigenvalues  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  are called the moments of inertia.

$SO(n)$ , the special orthogonal group, is the group of  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  such that  $AA^T = 1$  and  $\det A = 1$ . Such matrices can be interpreted either as right-handed orthonormal bases or as linear maps preserving the inner product and handedness (i.e. rotations). The principles axes  $\phi_{\mu\nu}$  is in  $SO(3)$ .

There are two coordinate systems of interest, the spatial coordinate system that has been assumed given, and a body coordinate system which is fixed with respect to the body. The coordinate system is parametrized by  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3)$ . An example of a body coordinate system is the principle axes with origin at the center of mass,  $(X_\mu, \phi_{\mu\nu})$ . A path  $(x_\mu(t), \theta_{\mu\nu}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3)$  with  $x_\mu(0) = 0$  and  $\theta_{\mu\nu}(0) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$  describes the motion of the rigid body in time.

In order to actually compute this path it is best to let  $x_\mu(t) = X_\mu(t)$  and  $\theta_{\mu\nu}(t) = \phi_{\mu\nu}(t)$ . Choosing so decouples the equations of motion. Then the equation of motion for  $X_\mu(t)$  is easy,  $X''_\mu(t) = 0$ . The equation of motion for  $\phi_{\mu\nu}(t)$  is not so easy, however. It is quite a bitch to calculate it. Moreover, the equations hide what is really going on. To see what's going on, we've got to learn about Lie groups.

A Lie group is a smooth manifold  $G$  which is also a group such that the group operation  $G \times G \rightarrow G$  and the inversion operation  $G \rightarrow G$  are smooth. A Lie algebra is a vector space  $A$  with a bilinear operation  $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \rightarrow A$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in A$ ,  $[x, x] = 0$  and  $[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0$ . To each Lie group there is an associated Lie algebra. There are many equivalent constructions of this. Here is one. Let  $G$  be a Lie group. Let  $g = TeG$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ . The derivative at  $e$  of the map  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$  gives the Lie bracket  $g \times g \rightarrow g$ .

$SO(3)$  is an example of a Lie group with group operation given by matrix multiplication. Let's figure out its associated Lie algebra  $so(3)$ . Physically, this is the space of angular velocities. It is just the set of  $\theta'(0)$  where  $\theta(t)$  is a path through  $SO(3)$  with  $\theta(0) = 1$ . So, differentiating the condition  $\theta\theta^T = 1$  gives  $\theta'(0)\theta^T(0) + \theta(0)\theta'^T(0) = 0$ . Let  $\omega = \theta'(0)$ .  $\theta(0) = 1$  so,  $\omega + \omega^T = 0$ . Differentiating the condition  $\det \theta = 1$  gives  $tr \omega = 0$ . So,  $\omega \in so(3)$  can be

written as  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Differentiating  $\theta\phi\theta^{-1}\phi^{-1}$  gives  $[\omega, \psi]_1 = \omega_2\psi_3 - \psi_2\omega_3$ ,  $[\omega, \psi]_2 = \omega_3\psi_1 - \psi_3\omega_1$ ,  $[\omega, \psi]_3 = \omega_1\psi_2 - \psi_1\omega_2$ , i.e. the cross product. This gives us a Lie algebra isomorphism between  $so(3)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the cross product given by  $so(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\omega \mapsto (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ .

Remember the inertia tensor  $I$ ? It turns out you can extend  $I$  to be a

Riemannian metric on  $SO(3)$ . It acts on  $so(3)$  by  $I(\omega, \psi) = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} I_{\mu\nu} \omega_{\mu} \psi_{\nu}$ . To be a tensor on  $SO(3)$  though, it must act on all of the tangent spaces  $T_{\phi}SO(3)$ . It can be shown that in fact  $T_{\phi}SO(3) = \phi so(3) = so(3)\phi$ , giving two canonical isomorphisms between  $T_{\phi}SO(3)$ , one for the space frame, one for the body frame. The second gives the angular velocity of the body in the space frame.  $I$  was defined using spatial coordinates so it should act on spatial angular velocity in the obvious way.  $I$  is bilinear and symmetric since  $I_{\mu\nu}$  is a symmetric matrix. Also,  $I$  is positive definite since mass is positive. Yay! Now  $SO(3)$  is a Riemannian manifold and we can calculate curvature and geodesics and fun stuff.

We have a metric which means we have a notion of length, but what the hell is the length of an angular velocity? Well, half the length squared is  $(1/2)I(\omega, \omega)$ , the kinetic energy. By the least action principle, we expect the time integral of kinetic energy is minimized along the actual path (when there's no torque). But, geometrically a length minimizing path is just a geodesic, so the orientation of a rigid body traces out a geodesic in  $SO(3)$  with respect to its inertia tensor! Hence, the equation of motion is just the geodesic equation.