

# SAMPLING SEQUENCES FOR BERGMAN SPACES FOR $p < 1$

ALEXANDER P. SCHUSTER AND DROR VAROLIN

ABSTRACT. We provide a proof of the sufficiency direction of Seip's characterization of sampling sequences for Bergman spaces for  $p < 1$  based on the methods of Berndtsson and Ortega-Cerdà.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\phi$  a function subharmonic in  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , define  $F_\phi^p$  to be the set of functions analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\phi,p} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z) \right\}^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where  $dA$  denotes Lebesgue area measure.

We say that a sequence  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$  of distinct points in the disk is a *sampling sequence for  $F_\phi^p$*  if there exist positive constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that

$$K_1 \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p \leq \sum_n |f(\gamma_n)|^p e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)} (1 - |\gamma_n|^2) \leq K_2 \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p$$

for all  $f \in F_\phi^p$ .

Letting  $\phi(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ , we obtain the standard Bergman space  $A^p$  and the corresponding sampling sequences, which were characterized by Seip [5] for  $p = 2$  using methods that were extended to the case  $1 \leq p < \infty$  by the first named author [4]. Berndtsson and Ortega-Cerdà [1] showed, using an altogether different proof, that a variation of Seip's density condition from [5] is actually sufficient to give sampling sequences in  $F_\phi^2$ . While it does not appear that the arguments of Seip can be modified to work for  $A^p$  when  $0 < p < 1$ , the techniques of [1], as was conjectured in [2], can be adapted to  $F_\phi^p$  (and hence  $A^p$ ) for  $0 < p < 1$ . The purpose of this note is to show how this can be done.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H05, 46E15.

*Key words and phrases.* Bergman space, sampling.

We introduce the definitions necessary for the statement of the theorem we will prove.

The sequence  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$  is said to be *uniformly discrete* if

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \inf_{n \neq m} |\phi_{\gamma_n}(\gamma_m)| > 0,$$

where

$$\phi_\zeta(z) = \frac{\zeta - z}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z}$$

is the standard involutive Möbius transformation. The disk of centre  $\zeta$  and radius  $r$  in this metric will be denoted by  $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ .

In the disk it is useful to consider the invariant Laplacian  $\tilde{\Delta} = (1 - |z|^2)^2 \partial^2 / \partial z \partial \bar{z}$ , and for a measure  $\mu$  and a function  $g$ , the invariant convolution  $\mu * g$ , defined by

$$(\mu * g)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(\phi_z(\zeta)) \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}.$$

Consider now the measure  $\nu = \pi \sum_n (1 - |\gamma_n|^2)^2 \delta_{\gamma_n}$ , where  $\delta_z$  is the Dirac-delta measure at the point  $z$ , and for  $1/2 < r < 1$  the function

$$\xi_r(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_r} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} & \text{if } 1/2 < |\zeta| < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $c_r$  is such that  $\int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\zeta) \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} = 1$ .

We are now in a position to state the main theorem of this note.

**Main Theorem.** *Suppose a sequence  $\Gamma$  is uniformly discrete, and  $\phi$  is a  $C^2$  subharmonic function with uniformly bounded invariant Laplacian  $\tilde{\Delta}\phi$ . If there exists  $r < 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$(\nu * \xi_r)(z) > \frac{2}{p} \tilde{\Delta}\phi(z) + \delta$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a sampling sequence for  $F_\phi^p$ .

Seip [5] introduces the following definitions. For  $\Gamma$  uniformly discrete,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $1/2 < r < 1$ , let

$$D(\Gamma, r) = \frac{\sum_{1/2 < |\gamma_n| < r} \log \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|}}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}$$

and

$$D^-(\Gamma) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} D(\phi_z(\Gamma), r).$$

We then have the following theorem, as stated in [4].

**Theorem A.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A uniformly discrete sequence  $\Gamma$  is a sampling sequence for  $A^p$  if and only if  $D^-(\Gamma) > 1/p$ .*

A calculation shows that

$$\frac{D(\phi_z(\Gamma), r)}{(\nu * \xi_r)(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

Moreover, since  $\tilde{\Delta}\phi(z) = 1$  if  $\phi(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ , the sufficiency direction of Theorem A will follow from the Main Theorem, for  $0 < p < \infty$ .

As mentioned above, the proof is based on the techniques used in [1]. Our main interest lies in proving the Main Theorem when  $0 < p < 1$ , thus completing Theorem A, but the proof works, without modification, when  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . With the reader of the paper [1] in mind, we will employ the same notation as in that article.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we recall some of the notation from [1] that is necessary to prove the Main Theorem, and we then prove the main theorem given a collection of technical lemmas. Finally, we complete these technicalities, some of which were claimed without proof in [1], so we have included details here for the convenience of the reader.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

For  $0 < t, \epsilon < 1$ , consider the functions

$$\chi_\epsilon = \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \chi_{\Delta(0, \epsilon)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_\epsilon = \nu * \chi_\epsilon.$$

Note that

$$\nu_\epsilon dA * \xi_r - \nu * \xi_r = (\nu * \xi_r) dA * \chi_\epsilon - \nu * \xi_r,$$

which approaches 0 as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow 1$ . Here we have used the fact that  $f dA * g = g dA * f$  and

$$\mu * (h dA * g) = (\mu * h) dA * g \tag{1}$$

whenever  $h$  is radial. We can therefore choose  $r$  and  $t$  close to 1 and  $\epsilon$  close to 0 so that

$$\nu_\epsilon dA * \xi_r(z) > \frac{2}{p} \tilde{\Delta}\phi(z) + \frac{\delta}{2}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Consider now the function

$$v = \frac{p}{2} (\nu_\epsilon - \nu_\epsilon dA * \xi_r) dA * E,$$

where  $E(z) = \log |z|^2$ . Since  $E$  is the fundamental solution of the invariant Laplacian (with respect to the invariant convolution), we see that

$$\tilde{\Delta}v = \frac{p}{2} (\nu_\epsilon - \nu_\epsilon dA * \xi_r),$$

so that the function  $\psi = \phi + v$  satisfies

$$\tilde{\Delta}\psi \leq \frac{p}{2} \nu_\epsilon - \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

We require the following four lemmas to complete the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 1.** *There are positive constants  $C_r$  and  $C_\epsilon$  such that*

$$-C_\epsilon \leq v(z) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover,

$$|v(z) - t \log \epsilon^p| \leq C_r \quad (3)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $\rho(z, \gamma_n) < \epsilon$  for some  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.**

$$\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{(1-|z|^2)} dA(z) \leq \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \sum_n \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{(1-|z|^2)} dA(z) \quad (4)$$

for all  $h \in F_\phi^p$ .

**Lemma 3.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for each  $h \in F_\phi^p$  and  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , there exists  $\tilde{h}_a \in F_\phi^p$  such that  $\tilde{h}_a(a) = h(a)$  and*

$$\frac{1}{C} e^{-\phi(a)} |\tilde{h}_a(z)|^p \leq |h(z)|^p e^{-\phi(z)} \leq C e^{-\phi(a)} |\tilde{h}_a(z)|^p$$

for all  $z \in \Delta(a, 1/2)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(a, \epsilon)} |g(z)|^p dA(z) \leq C |g(a)|^p (1-|a|^2)^2 + C \epsilon^p \int_{\Delta(a, 1/2)} |g(z)|^p dA(z)$$

for all  $g \in F_\phi^p$ .

We take these lemmas as given and proceed with the proof. Suppose that  $h \in F_\phi^p$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z) &\leq \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \sum_n \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z) \\
&= \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \sum_n \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\phi(z)} e^{-v(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z) \\
&\leq Ct\epsilon^{-pt-2} \sum_n \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z) \\
&\leq Ct\epsilon^{-pt-2} \sum_n \frac{1}{1-|\gamma_n|^2} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |h(z)|^p e^{-\phi(z)} dA(z) \\
&\leq Ct\epsilon^{-pt-2} \sum_n \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)}}{1-|\gamma_n|^2} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |\tilde{h}_n(z)|^p dA(z) \\
&= Ct\epsilon^{-pt} \sum_n \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)}}{1-|\gamma_n|^2} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)} |\tilde{h}_n(z)|^p dA(z) \\
&\leq Ct\epsilon^{-pt} \sum_n \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)}}{1-|\gamma_n|^2} \left\{ C|\tilde{h}_n(\gamma_n)|^p (1-|\gamma_n|^2)^2 + Ct\epsilon^p \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, 1/2)} |\tilde{h}_n(z)|^p dA(z) \right\} \\
&\leq Ct\epsilon^{-pt} \sum_n e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)} (1-|\gamma_n|^2) |h(\gamma_n)|^p + Ct\epsilon^{p-pt} \sum_n \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n, 1/2)} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z) \\
&\leq Ct\epsilon^{-pt} \sum_n e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)} (1-|\gamma_n|^2) |h(\gamma_n)|^p + Ct\epsilon^{p-pt} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1-|z|^2} dA(z).
\end{aligned}$$

The first line is Lemma 2, the third follows from Lemma 1, while the fourth follows from the fact that

$$\frac{1-|\gamma_n|^2}{1-|z|^2} \leq \frac{4}{1-\epsilon^2}$$

for all  $z \in \Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)$ . The fifth line is a consequence of Lemma 3, where  $\tilde{h}_n = \tilde{h}_{\gamma_n}$ , while the seventh follows from Lemma 4 and the eighth from Lemma 3.

If we take  $\epsilon$  small enough, we arrive at the lower sampling inequality. The upper inequality follows from the fact that  $\Gamma$  is uniformly discrete.  $\blacksquare$

### 3. TECHNICALITIES

We consider now the proofs of the four lemmas.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We enumerate  $\Gamma$  once and for all, and let  $\Gamma_N$  be the first  $N$  terms of  $\Gamma$ . We then set

$$\nu_N = \pi \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} (1-|\gamma|^2)^2 \delta_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad w_N = \nu_N * E - (\nu_N * E) dA * \xi_r.$$

Several applications of (1) yield

$$w_N(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} \left( \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \right).$$

Our first claim is that for each compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{D}$  there exists an integer  $N = N(K)$  such that for all  $z \in K$  and  $M > N$ ,

$$w_M(z) = w_N(z).$$

Indeed, fix  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $|\varphi_\gamma(z)| > r$ . The function  $\zeta \mapsto \log |\varphi_\gamma(\zeta)|^2$  is harmonic in the domain  $V_r(\gamma) = \{\zeta : \frac{1}{2} < |\varphi_\gamma(\zeta)| < r\}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &= \int_{V_r(\gamma)} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &= \int_{1/2 < |u| < r} \xi_r(u) \log |\varphi_z \circ \varphi_\gamma(u)|^2 \frac{dA(u)}{\pi(1-|u|^2)^2} = \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the invariance of the measure  $dA(\zeta)/(\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2)$ , while the third equality comes from the mean value property for harmonic functions and the fact that  $\xi_r(\zeta)dA(\zeta)/(\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2)$  is a radial probability measure. We therefore have that

$$w_N(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \cap \Delta(z,r)} \left( \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \right).$$

The claim thus follows from the fact that for a given compact set  $K$ , there is only a finite number  $N$  of points  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that for some  $z \in K$ ,  $|\varphi_\gamma(z)| \leq r$ .

We set

$$w = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} w_N,$$

where the limit is taken in the locally uniform topology. In other words,  $w$  is the ordered sum

$$w(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left( \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \right).$$

Since  $\tilde{\Delta}(\nu_N * E) = \nu_N$  is a positive measure,  $\nu_N * E$  is subharmonic. Therefore, again since  $\xi_r(\zeta)dA(\zeta)/(\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2)$  is a radial probability measure,  $\nu_N * E \leq \nu_N * E * \xi_r$ , i.e.,  $w_N \leq 0$ . It follows that  $w \leq 0$ . Since  $v = \frac{2}{\pi}(w dA * \chi_\epsilon)$ , this implies the right hand side of (2).

Turning our attention now to (3), we wish to show first that there exists a constant  $E_r > 0$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$|w(z) - \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2| \leq E_r$$

whenever  $z \in \Delta(\gamma, \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma = \delta(\Gamma)/2$ . By the above remarks, and since  $\Gamma$  is uniformly discrete, there exists an integer  $N$ , depending only on  $r$ , such that

$$w(z) = \sum_{j=0}^N \left( \log |\varphi_z(\gamma_j)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma_j)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \right),$$

where  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$  are the members of  $\Gamma$  in  $\Delta(\gamma, \frac{r+\sigma}{1+r\sigma})$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) - \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \log |\varphi_z(\gamma_j)|^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma_j)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any  $t \in \Gamma$  the integral

$$I_t = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(t)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^{-2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}$$

may be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &\leq \frac{1}{c_r} \int_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi_\zeta(t)| < r} \log |\varphi_\zeta(t)|^{-2} \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^{-2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_r} \log 4 \int_{\Delta(z, 1/2)} \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^{-2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \log 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(t)) \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{c_r} \log 4 \int_0^{1/2} \frac{s \log s^{-2}}{(1-s^2)^2} ds + \log 4 =: D_r. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z) - \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2| &\leq |I_\gamma| + \sum_{j=1}^N \log |\varphi_z(\gamma_j)|^{-2} + \sum_{j=1}^N |I_{\gamma_j}| \\ &\leq D_r(N+1) + N \log \sigma^{-2} =: E_r. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we need to estimate the convolution product

$$F_\epsilon(z) = (\log |\varphi_\gamma(\cdot)|^2 dA * \chi_\epsilon)(z) = \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(0,\epsilon)} \log |\varphi_\gamma \circ \varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}.$$

It is easy to verify that, with  $u = \varphi_z(\gamma)$ ,

$$\varphi_\gamma \circ \varphi_z(\zeta) = \lambda \varphi_u(\zeta),$$

where  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Thus, changing variables, we have

$$F_\epsilon(z) = \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log |\zeta|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\epsilon(z) - t \log \epsilon^2 &= \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log \left| \frac{\zeta}{2\epsilon} \right|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \log 4\epsilon^2 \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} - t \log \epsilon^2 \\ &= 4t \int_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log |\zeta|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-4\epsilon^2|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \log 4\epsilon^2 \frac{\epsilon^2}{1-\epsilon^2} - t \log \epsilon^2 \\ &= 4t \int_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log |\zeta|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-4\epsilon^2|\zeta|^2)^2} + t \frac{\log 4}{1-\epsilon^2} + t \frac{\epsilon^2 \log \epsilon^2}{1-\epsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from a change of variables and the fact that the hyperbolic area of a disk of radius  $\epsilon$  is  $\frac{\epsilon^2}{1-\epsilon^2}$ . Since  $|u| < \epsilon$ ,  $\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\Delta(u,\epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ , and so the absolute value of the integral in the last line is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{1-4\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta),$$

which is seen to converge. We thus have a constant  $C$  such that for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ ,

$$|\log |\phi_\gamma(\cdot)|^2 dA * \chi_\epsilon(z) - t \log \epsilon^2| \leq C, \quad \text{whenever } |\phi_\gamma(z)| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, we have that for  $|\varphi_\gamma(z)| < \epsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |w dA * \chi_\epsilon(z) - t \log \epsilon^2| &\leq |w dA * \chi_\epsilon(z) - \log |\varphi_\gamma(\cdot)|^2 dA * \chi_\epsilon(z)| \\ &\quad + |\log |\varphi_\gamma(\cdot)|^2 dA * \chi_\epsilon(z) - t \log \epsilon^2| \\ &\leq D_r + M =: C_r. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v = \frac{t}{2}(w dA * \chi_\epsilon)$ , the proof of (3) is complete.

Finally, we wish to prove the left inequality of (2). First, if  $|\varphi_z(\gamma)| < \delta(\Gamma)/2$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then (3) gives a universal lower bound for  $v(z)$ . On the other hand, if  $z$  is isolated away from  $\Gamma$ , then a look at the way  $w$  was estimated above shows that  $v(z)$  is bounded from below by a negative number of even smaller modulus. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Let us note that the right hand side of (4) is

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|h(z)|^p e^{-\psi(z)} \nu_\epsilon(z)}{1 - |z|^2} dA(z).$$

We set  $U = |h|^p e^{-\psi}$ . Then  $\log U + \psi = p \log |h|$  is a subharmonic function. Thus

$$0 \leq \Delta \log U + \Delta \psi = \frac{1}{U} \Delta U - \frac{1}{U^2} |U_z|^2 + \Delta \psi \leq \frac{1}{U} \Delta U + \Delta \psi.$$

It follows from the nonnegativity of  $U$  and the estimate (1) on  $\tilde{\Delta} \psi$  above, that

$$\tilde{\Delta} U \geq -U \tilde{\Delta} \psi \geq -\frac{p}{2} U \nu_\epsilon + U \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Dividing by  $1 - |z|^2$  and integrating yields

$$\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{U(z)}{1 - |z|^2} dA(z) \leq \frac{p}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{U(z)}{1 - |z|^2} \nu_\epsilon(z) dA(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \Delta U(z) dA(z).$$

We would like to show that the second integral on the right is nonpositive. If  $U$  were compactly supported, we could use integration by parts to shift the Laplacian to  $1 - |z|^2$ . Since  $\Delta(1 - |z|^2) = -1$  and  $U \geq 0$ , we would be done. So instead, one “cuts things off” as follows. Let  $\chi_t \geq 0$ ,  $0 \ll t < 1$  be a function which is identically one on  $[0, t]$ , supported compactly in  $[0, 1)$ , with additional properties to be described shortly. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \chi_t(|z|) \Delta U(z) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta((1 - |z|^2) \chi_t(|z|)) U(z) dA(z).$$

Recalling that on radial functions,

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{4} (\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r),$$

one computes that

$$\Delta((1 - |z|^2) \chi_t(|z|)) = -\chi_t(|z|) - |z| \chi_t'(|z|) + (1 - |z|^2) \Delta \chi_t(|z|).$$

One then has

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \chi_t(|z|) \Delta U(z) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} -\chi_t(|z|) U(z) dA + I_t,$$

where

$$J_t = -\pi \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( (1 - |z|^2)^2 |z| \chi_t'(|z|) U(z) + (1 - |z|^2) \tilde{\Delta} \chi_t(|z|) \right) \frac{dA(z)}{\pi(1 - |z|^2)^2}$$

Now, by Lemma 1 and the hypotheses on  $h$ ,  $U$  is integrable with respect to the Poincaré area, and thus with respect to Euclidean area. Hence as  $t \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \chi_t(|z|) U(z) dA(z) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{D}} U(z) dA(z) \geq 0.$$

We claim that with a good choice of  $\chi_t$ , the integral  $J_t \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ . To see this, simply choose  $\chi_t$  so that it has bounded invariant Laplacian, uniformly in  $t$ . (Examples of this are easy enough to construct. For instance, let  $f$  be a smooth function on the nonnegative real line, which is supported on  $[0, 1/2]$  and is identically 1 on  $[0, 1/4]$ . Then just take

$$\chi_t(|z|) := f\left(\frac{|z|^2 - t}{1 - \frac{1+t}{2}}\right).$$

The boundedness of the invariant Laplacian is easy to check.) Because  $\chi_t$  is radial, this will also give a bound on the gradient. One can then apply the dominated convergence theorem. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Since  $\phi$  is subharmonic with bounded invariant Laplacian, we may apply the Riesz decomposition theorem. Thus, if  $G$  is a fixed Green operator, one has

$$\phi = G(\tilde{\Delta}\phi) + f_a$$

for some harmonic function  $f_a$  in a neighborhood of the closed disc  $\overline{\Delta(a, 1/2)}$ . Let  $g_a$  be a holomorphic function whose real part is  $f_a$ , and set  $q_a = g_a - g_a(a)$ . Then

$$|\phi - \phi(a) - 2\Re(q_a)| \leq K.$$

Now, let  $\tilde{h}_a(z) = h(z)e^{-2p^{-1}q_a(z)}$ . Then

$$|h(z)|^p e^{-\phi(z)} = |\tilde{h}_a(z)|^p e^{-\phi(z) + 2\Re(q_a(z))} \leq C e^{-\phi(a)} |\tilde{h}_a(z)|^p,$$

where  $C = e^K$ . The other inequality in the lemma follows similarly.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of Lemma 4.* Let  $z \in \Delta(a, \epsilon)$  and write  $g(z) - g(a) = \int_a^z g'(u) du$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z)| &\leq |g(a)| + \left| \int_a^z g'(u) du \right| \\ &\leq |g(a)| + |z - a| \sup_{u \in \Delta(a, \epsilon)} |g'(u)|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(z)|^p &\leq 2^p \left\{ |g(a)|^p + |z - a|^p \sup_{u \in \Delta(a, \epsilon)} |g'(u)|^p \right\} \\
&\leq 2^p \left\{ |g(a)|^p + C|z - a|^p \sup_{u \in \Delta(a, \epsilon)} (1 - |u|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(u, \epsilon)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^p \left\{ |g(a)|^p + C|z - a|^p (1 - |a|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(a, 1/2)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from the standard estimate (see [3], for example)

$$|g'(u)|^p \leq C(1 - |u|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(u, \epsilon)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(a, \epsilon)} |g(z)|^p dA(z) \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} |g(a)|^p \int_{\Delta(a, \epsilon)} dA(z) \\
&\quad + C \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |a|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(a, 1/2)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \int_{\Delta(a, \epsilon)} |a - z|^p A(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta(a, \epsilon)} |a - z|^p A(z) &\leq \epsilon^p \int_{\Delta(a, \epsilon)} |1 - \bar{a}z|^p dA(z) \\
&\leq \epsilon^{p+2} (1 - |a|^2)^{p+2} \int_{\Delta(0, \epsilon)} |1 - \bar{a}z|^{-4-p} dA(z) \\
&\leq C \epsilon^{p+2} (1 - |a|^2)^{p+2},
\end{aligned}$$

the result follows. ■

## REFERENCES

1. B. Berndtsson and J. Ortega-Cerdà, *On interpolation and sampling in Hilbert spaces of analytic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **464** (1995), 109–128.
2. H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
3. D. Luecking, *Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivatives*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 85–111.
4. A. Schuster, *On Seip's description of sampling sequences for Bergman spaces*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **42**, 347–367.

5. K. Seip, *Beurling type density theorems in the unit disk*, Invent. Math. **113** (1994), 21-39.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN FRANCISCO, CA  
94132

*E-mail address:* `schuster@sfsu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109

*E-mail address:* `varolin@math.lsa.umich.edu`