

## CORRECTION...

Ok, so it seems that there is a divine justice punishing the arrogant professor beginning a sentence like this: "Ok guys, you made many mistakes in your HW, now let me show you how things should be done..."

Now here is what I should have said the other day....

**Exercise 1.** Given three distinct points such that  $A * B * C$ , show that ray  $\overrightarrow{AB} = \text{ray } \overrightarrow{AC}$ .

**Proof.** Let's prove that  $\overrightarrow{AB} \subset \overrightarrow{AC}$  (the other inclusion is similar).

Consider a point  $D \in \overrightarrow{AB}$ :

according to the definition of a ray, there are 2 cases to study:

**1.  $D$  is a point of the segment  $AB$ :**

either a)  $D$  is an endpoint of  $AB$  ( $D = A$  or  $D = B$ ): we are done because these points are already on ray  $\overrightarrow{AC}$ .

or b) we have  $A * D * B$ : then it is enough to show that in this case we have  $A * D * C$  or  $A * C * D$  (because both mean that  $D$  is on the ray  $\overrightarrow{AC}$ ).  
By axiom B3, we just need to rule out one single case, namely  $C * A * D$

**Ruling out  $C * A * D$ :** take a line  $l$  intersecting line  $AB$  at  $A$  (exists because of I3). Now  $B$  and  $C$  are on the same side of  $l$  (otherwise  $l$  would intersect the segment  $BC$  at  $A$  between  $B$  and  $C$ , impossible because we know that  $A * B * C$ ), and  $D$  and  $B$  are on the same side of  $l$  (same reason, we know that  $A * D * B$  is true), thus  $D$  and  $C$  are on the same side of  $l$  and this says that  $C * A * D$  is impossible.

**2.  $D$  satisfies  $A * B * D$ :**

Consider the points  $A, C, D$ : by axiom B3 there are only 3 possibilities:

$A * C * D$  (but in this case we are done,  $D$  is on ray  $\overrightarrow{AC}$ ),  $A * D * C$  (but in this case we are done,  $D$  is on ray  $\overrightarrow{AC}$ ), and  $D * A * C$ .

**Ruling out  $D * A * C$ :** pick a line  $m$  intersecting line  $AB$  only at  $A$  (exists because I3). Then  $A * B * C \implies B$  and  $C$  are on the same side of  $m$ , and  $A * B * D \implies B$  and  $D$  are on the same side. Thus we know that  $C$  and  $D$  must be on the same side (axiom B4), but this rules out exactly  $D * A * C$ .

**Remark:**

when I made a picture of Case 1), it appeared that case  $CAD$  was ruled out, but also I tried desperately to rule out  $ACD$  (but I didn't need to). Now is it true that  $ACD$  must be ruled out, or is it just the picture that is misleading us?

□