

**MAT 324: Real Analysis, Fall 2017**  
**Solutions to Problem Set 9**

**Problem 1 (8pts)**

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Suppose there exist  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $\mu(A), \mu(B) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Show that the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  on  $L^p(X)$  is not induced by an inner-product on  $L^p(X)$  for any  $p \in [1, \infty] - \{2\}$ .

Let  $f = \mathbf{1}_A$  and  $g = \mathbf{1}_B$ . Thus,

$$\|f\|_p = \mu(A)^{1/p}, \quad \|g\|_p = \mu(B)^{1/p}, \quad \|f+g\|_p, \|f-g\|_p = (\mu(A) + \mu(B))^{1/p}.$$

If  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is induced by an inner-product on  $L^p(X)$ , then

$$\|f+g\|_p^2 + \|f-g\|_p^2 = 2(\|f\|_p^2 + \|g\|_p^2), \quad (\mu(A) + \mu(B))^{2/p} = \mu(A)^{2/p} + \mu(B)^{2/p}.$$

If  $2/p < 1$  (resp.  $2/p > 1$ ), then the left-hand above is smaller (resp. larger) than the right-hand side. Thus,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is not induced by an inner-product on  $L^p(X)$  if  $p \neq 2$ .

**Problem 2 (15pts)**

Let  $C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the subspace of continuous square-integrable functions. Define

$$L_0^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } [0, 1]\}, \quad C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}) = L_0^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

(a) Let  $f \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R} - [0, 1]$ . Show that there exists  $g \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_2 \neq 0$ . Conclude that  $f \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  has a projection to  $C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ .

(b) Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Determine the projection of  $f$  to  $L_0^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

(a; **10pts**) Let  $f \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $x^* \in \mathbb{R} - [0, 1]$  be such that  $f(x^*) \neq 0$ . By multiplying  $f$  by  $-1$  if necessary, we can assume that  $f(x^*) > 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in (x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta)$ . Since  $x^* \notin [0, 1]$ , by shrinking  $\delta$  we can assume that

$$(x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta) \cap [0, 1] = \emptyset.$$

Define  $g \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} - (x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta); \\ \delta^2 - (x - x^*)^2, & \text{if } x \in [x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta]. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_2 = \int_{x^* - \delta}^{x^* + \delta} fg \, dx > 0,$$

because  $fg$  is a continuous positive function on  $(x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta)$ .

Suppose  $f \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f_0 \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  is its projection. Thus,  $\langle\langle f - f_0, g \rangle\rangle_2 = 0$  for every  $g \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$ . The previous paragraph then implies that  $f(x) = f_0(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} - [0, 1]$ . By the continuity of  $f$ , this implies that  $f(0) = f_0(0)$  and  $f(1) = f_0(1)$ . Since  $f_0 \in C_0^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  is continuous and vanishes

almost everywhere on  $[0, 1]$ , it in fact vanishes everywhere on  $[0, 1]$ . In particular,  $f_0(0) = f_0(1) = 0$ . Combining this with the previous conclusion, we obtain  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ .

Suppose  $f \in C^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ . Define

$$f_0: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1]; \\ f(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

By the assumption  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ , this function is well-defined. It is continuous because it is continuous on two closed sets whose union is its domain. Thus,  $f_0 \in C^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $f(x) = f_0(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} - [0, 1]$ ,  $\langle\langle f - f_0, g \rangle\rangle_2 = 0$  for every  $g \in C^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $f_0$  is the projection of  $f$  to  $C^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b; **5pts**) Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a representative of an element of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (an element of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is an equivalence class). Let

$$f_0 = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} - [0, 1]} f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus,  $f_0 \in L^2_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(x) = f_0(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} - [0, 1]$ . The latter implies that  $\langle\langle f - f_0, g \rangle\rangle_2 = 0$  for every  $g \in L^2_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, the element in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  represented by  $f_0$  is the projection of the element represented by  $f$  to  $L^2_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Problem 3 (17pts)

- (a) Let  $X_1, X_2$  be sets,  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1)$  be the  $\sigma$ -field on  $X_1$  generated by a collection  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset 2^{X_1}$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , and  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_2)$  be the  $\sigma$ -field on  $X_2$  generated by a collection  $\mathcal{S}_2 \subset 2^{X_2}$  of subsets of  $X_2$ . Show that the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2)$  and  $\sigma(\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \sigma(\mathcal{S}_2))$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  generated by the collections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 &= \{A \times B: A \in \mathcal{S}_1, B \in \mathcal{S}_2\} \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \sigma(\mathcal{S}_2) &= \{A \times B: A \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1), B \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, are the same.

- (b) For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_n \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  be the collection of Lebesgue measurable subsets as described in Section 6.1. Show that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2}) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2} \quad \forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+;$$

note the inequality above.

- (a; **9pts**) Since  $\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \sigma(\mathcal{S}_2)$ ,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2) \subset \sigma(\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \sigma(\mathcal{S}_2)).$$

We need to show the opposite inclusion. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1): A \times X_2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2)\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_2 = \{B \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_2): X_1 \times B \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2)\}.$$

Since  $X_1 \times X_2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2)$ ,  $X_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ . Since

$$X_1 \times X_2 - A \times X_2 = (X_1 - A) \times X_2$$

and the collection  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2)$  is closed under complements, the collection  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is also closed under complements (if  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_1$ ). Since

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \times X_2) = \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \times X_2$$

and the collection  $\sigma(S_1 \times S_2)$  is closed under countable unions, the collection  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is also closed under countable unions (if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_1$ ). Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $X_1$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ,

$$S_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \sigma(S_1).$$

Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_1)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $S_1$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \sigma(S_1)$ . By the same reasoning,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \sigma(S_2)$ . Thus,

$$\{A \times X_2 : A \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1)\}, \{X_1 \times B : B \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}_2)\} \subset \sigma(S_1 \times S_2).$$

Since the collection  $\sigma(S_1 \times S_2)$  is closed under pairwise (and more generally countable) intersections, it follows that

$$\sigma(S_1) \times \sigma(S_2) \equiv \{(A \times X_2) \cap (X_1 \times B) : A \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1), B \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}_2)\} \subset \sigma(S_1 \times S_2) \subset \sigma(\sigma(S_1) \times \sigma(S_2)).$$

Since  $\sigma(\sigma(S_1) \times \sigma(S_2))$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field on  $X_1 \times X_2$  containing  $\sigma(S_1) \times \sigma(S_2)$ , it follows that the last inclusion above is in fact an equality.

(b; **8pts**) The collection  $\mathcal{M}_n \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  consists of the subsets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that satisfy (2.6) in the book with the outer measure  $m^* \equiv m_n^*$  as in Definition 2.3 with the intervals and their lengths replaced by  $n$ -dimensional “rectangles” and their volumes. This collection contains all  $n$ -dimensional “rectangles” and all  $m_n^*$ -null subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The former implies that  $\mathcal{M}_n$  contains the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}_n$  generated by the collection of  $n$ -dimensional “rectangles”. If  $E \subset [0, 1]$  is a non-measurable subset as on p302, then

$$E_n \equiv E \times [0, 1]^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a non-measurable subset with respect to  $m_n^*$  by the same reasoning as on p302.

Let  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . It is fairly immediate from the definition that

$$m_{n_1+n_2}^*(A_1 \times A_2) \leq m_{n_1}^*(A_1) \cdot m_{n_2}^*(A_2) \quad \forall A_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, A_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

If  $E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1}$  and  $E_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2}$ , there exist

$$\begin{aligned} & B_1, F_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2, F_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ E_1 &= B_1 \cup F_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1}, \quad m_{n_1}^*(F_1) = 0, \quad E_2 = B_2 \cup F_2, \quad B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{n_2}, \quad m_{n_2}^*(F_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (a),  $B_1 \times B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1+n_2}$  and thus  $B_1 \times B_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2}$ . By the above inequality,  $B_1 \times F_2$ ,  $F_1 \times B_2$ , and  $F_1 \times F_2$  are  $m_{n_1+n_2}^*$ -null subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$  and thus belong to  $\mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2}$  is closed under finite (and more generally countable) unions, it follows that

$$E_1 \times E_2 = B_1 \times B_2 \cup B_1 \times F_2 \cup F_1 \times B_2 \cup F_1 \times F_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2}.$$

Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2}$ , we conclude that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2};$$

If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ , then  $E \times \{0^{n_2}\}$  is  $m_{n_1+n_2}^*$ -null and thus belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2}$ . Since

$$(E \times \{0^{n_2}\})_{0^{n_2}} = E,$$

Theorem 6.4 implies that  $E \times \{0^{n_2}\}$  does not belong to  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2})$  if  $E$  is not  $m_{n_1}^*$ -measurable. Thus,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2}) \not\subset \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2}.$$