MAT 320 (3/26/19) => (color chal K)= Before break: (X,d) metric space Think(x,d) metric space, ACX is empt itf ucx gen it trell, IdxED's.t.Bs. (x) ell every sequence (in) in A has a subsequence convergent in A. ACX empt it every open over & of A ACX is squestially empt Ortains a finite subcollection & covering A Lomme 1: (Xn)n has a subsequence (Xnx)x conveyed to xex · (Xn)n converges to x & X if U(*5. xy) of tuck gen with xell, | {neN: 2, Ell } = 00 Yacx open with xell, INEZ's.t. xnell y noll =>: 20mx -> 9 and UCX open with XEV; Pf of Thm >: Suppose (xw) is a sequence in ACX 3KEZ'SI. REEL YKZNENMYKZN and contains no subsequence (xnx) x convergent to any xex => Mx < mxxx ... => get to many element of M(21) => VXEA, FREEX open with xellx and N(Ux) < 00 ng=min B(B(K)) EN (OX)

204-enging subset of N (OX) => l= {Ux: xeA} in an grucoverofA {neW: xne Ux} Ux Cxopen & Uxel, Acusellx MK+1= min ? ne A (By (x): n>nx 3 4x21 A empt = Fle & finite s.t. A = weet Ux

=> N = Enell: xn = Vxel Ux 3 = Vxel MUX) & finite X d(xnx1X)</n HX=N=Xnx)x-X Pf: Suppose not. Then for each nEM ? do not exace 3 top lines FANEAS. t. By (xn) & W YUE' immadilebesque Numberlemma) (Xd)=metric space A sex compt => I subsex. (mx) > some xEA fAcX is segmentially empt and Rinanopen wes of A, l covess A ⇒ Flx & ls.t. x ∈ ux FSERTS. J. YOREA, FUXER with Bowclx Ux ∈ X open =>] Sx ∈ R+ s.t. B2 Sx(X) C Nx ni og uz: same of forell xeA! (KMK)K→X => FNEZ+sot. d.(x, XMK) < SX YK=N d(x, xnx) < Sx Pfof Thm =: Detvel ban open cover of A. Suppose & contains no finite sulcollection ?" as vois A. d(Xnk, x') < /n/2 /X XX Bynx (Xnx) Lewina 2 > 75 ER's.t. VXEA, 31/E Will BSWell, > d(x,x')<20x \x'\in Bynx(xnx) Take MEA any and Xz, Xz... EA inductively st > Bylox (Xnx) C B25x(X) C 21x E C Xn+ & Bg(x,) U.... UBS(xn) = Ux, U... UUxn Cexists le l'= {Ux,..., Uxn} & l'adoes not cores A Contradiction le Byn (Xn) LU VUEL (E)

XX &Bs(xi) Him => d(xi, xn)> 5 Yixn (#4) (do not exase top 2 lines > no subsey. (Xnx) K of (Xm) in Cauchy

ouverges in A (or X) Thm 2: (X,dx), (Y,dy) metric space, If AcX and BSY cry. Hen AxBCXXY is cript w. P. of - metric

(mex(dxX,X'),tdy(y,y'))

di((x,y),(x'y')) = (dx(x,x') + dx(y,y'))

dx(x,x') + dx(y,y') Contradicts to A=X segrentially empt #4 If of Thin 2: Let & be an given enver of AXB HW6, Problem G-a: each de is a metric on XX > VXEA; yEB Fox, yER + s.t. Box, (x,g) c some Nx, y E any two of these are uniformly equivalent ⇒ VXEX, Ex={Bx (y): yeB} T in open cover of B B{Y} - Problem F => WEXXX is di-open iff dj-open CEXXX is di-crystil elj-crypt Bengl = I finite Excly so everyl to prove Then 2 for d=dy & B5(x, y) oper Balls in (XXX, di) are "squares" S. J. BC U Boxy (y) A A Boxy (y) Etx Let $\delta_{x} = \min\{\delta_{x,y} : B\delta_{x,y}(y) \in \mathcal{V}_{x}^{\prime}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$ PA= {BSX(X): XEA } is greated of A Acryl => Flink CACTAS.t. ACUBOX(X)
Box(X) EX Sinite subset of R+ ⇒ Box(x) × Boxy(y) ⊂ Boxy(x) × Boxy(y) = Boxy(x,y) = Ux,y

yelx, x ∈ x color square metric ⇒A×BCU B5(x)×BCU U 2(x,y)
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)∈CA
B5(x)×BCU
B5(x)× Box(x) xBCBox VBoxy(y)CUllx,y' JEXX == l= U frielx C l finite, covers AxB Devery open cover of AxB mas finite subcover V +Thm 2 2 > (iii) Heine-Borel Thurfor (Ridger) HW6, \$13.12 BCACX, Acmpt, B closed = B crypt Keritations yesterday and tomorrows: (x,d)=metricyans square from Isum metric ACR"id Recent of A is dan- closed and dan- boun (i) ACX crupt => A botiveled, closed, complete w. T.t. (i) Completeness Axiom for R > Boldeno-Weierstrass

MAT 319 [Ea, 6] & in cript Not true for subjets A of other metric spaces (x,d), even complete ones: e.g. HW6 \$ 13.3ac, 13.5 iii) Cresy bounded sy, in R has a convergent subseq.

(-2)

§28

name. It still has not found a name on which everyone agrees. On historical grounds, some call it "Fréchet compactness"; others call it the "Bolzano-Weierstrass property." We have invented the term "limit point compactness." It seems as good a term as any; at least it describes what the property is about.

Theorem 28.1. Compactness implies limit point compactness, but not conversely.

Proof. Let X be a compact space. Given a subset A of X, we wish to prove that if A is infinite, then A has a limit point. We prove the contrapositive—if A has no limit point, then A must be finite.

So suppose A has no limit point. Then A contains all its limit points, so that A is closed. Furthermore, for each $a \in A$ we can choose a neighborhood U_a of a such that U_a intersects A in the point a alone. The space X is covered by the open set X - A and the open sets U_a ; being compact, it can be covered by finitely many of these sets. Since X - A does not intersect A, and each set U_a contains only one point of A, the set A must be finite.

EXAMPLE 1. Let Y consist of two points; give Y the topology consisting of Y and the empty set. Then the space $X = \mathbb{Z}_+ \times Y$ is limit point compact, for *every* nonempty subset of X has a limit point. It is not compact, for the covering of X by the open sets $U_n = \{n\} \times Y$ has no finite subcollection covering X.

EXAMPLE 2. Here is a less trivial example. Consider the minimal uncountable well-ordered set S_{Ω} , in the order topology. The space S_{Ω} is not compact, since it has no largest element. However, it is limit point compact: Let A be an infinite subset of S_{Ω} . Choose a subset B of A that is countably infinite. Being countable, the set B has an upper bound b in S_{Ω} ; then B is a subset of the interval $[a_0, b]$ of S_{Ω} , where a_0 is the smallest element of S_{Ω} . Since S_{Ω} has the least upper bound property, the interval $[a_0, b]$ is compact. By the preceding theorem, B has a limit point x in $[a_0, b]$. The point x is also a limit point of A. Thus S_{Ω} is limit point compact.

We now show these two versions of compactness coincide for metrizable spaces; for this purpose, we introduce yet another version of compactness called *sequential* compactness. This result will be used in Chapter 7.

Definition. Let X be a topological space. If (x_n) is a sequence of points of X, and if

$$n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_i < \cdots$$

is an increasing sequence of positive integers, then the sequence (y_i) defined by setting $y_i = x_{n_i}$ is called a *subsequence* of the sequence (x_n) . The space X is said to be sequentially compact if every sequence of points of X has a convergent subsequence.

*Theorem 28.2. Let X be a metrizable space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is compact.
- (2) X is limit point compact.
- (3) X is sequentially compact.

Proof. We have already proved that $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. To show that $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$, assume that X is limit point compact. Given a sequence (x_n) of points of X, consider the set $A = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. If the set A is finite, then there is a point x such that $x = x_n$ for infinitely many values of n. In this case, the sequence (x_n) has a subsequence that is constant, and therefore converges trivially. On the other hand, if A is infinite, then A has a limit point x. We define a subsequence of (x_n) converging to x as follows: First choose n_1 so that

$$x_{n_1} \in B(x, 1)$$
.

Then suppose that the positive integer n_{i-1} is given. Because the ball B(x, 1/i) intersects A in infinitely many points, we can choose an index $n_i > n_{i-1}$ such that

$$x_{n_i} \in B(x, 1/i).$$

Then the subsequence x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \ldots converges to x.

Finally, we show that $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. This is the hardest part of the proof.

First, we show that if X is sequentially compact, then the Lebesgue number lemma holds for X. (This would follow from compactness, but compactness is what we are trying to prove!) Let \mathcal{A} be an open covering of X. We assume that there is no $\delta > 0$ such that each set of diameter less than δ has an element of \mathcal{A} containing it, and derive a contradiction.

Our assumption implies in particular that for each positive integer n, there exists a set of diameter less than 1/n that is not contained in any element of A; let C_n be such a set. Choose a point $x_n \in C_n$, for each n. By hypothesis, some subsequence (x_{n_i}) of the sequence (x_n) converges, say to the point a. Now a belongs to some element A of the collection A; because A is open, we may choose an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(a, \epsilon) \subset A$. If i is large enough that $1/n_i < \epsilon/2$, then the set C_{n_i} lies in the $\epsilon/2$ -neighborhood of x_{n_i} ; if i is also chosen large enough that $d(x_{n_i}, a) < \epsilon/2$, then C_{n_i} lies in the ϵ -neighborhood of a. But this means that $C_{n_i} \subset A$, contrary to hypothesis.

Second, we show that if X is sequentially compact, then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite covering of X by open ϵ -balls. Once again, we proceed by contradiction. Assume that there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that X cannot be covered by finitely many ϵ -balls. Construct a sequence of points x_n of X as follows: First, choose x_1 to be any point of X. Noting that the ball $B(x_1, \epsilon)$ is not all of X (otherwise X could be covered by a single ϵ -ball), choose x_2 to be a point of X not in $B(x_1, \epsilon)$. In general, given x_1, \ldots, x_n , choose x_{n+1} to be a point not in the union

$$B(x_1, \epsilon) \cup \cdots \cup B(x_n, \epsilon),$$

using the fact that these balls do not cover X. Note that by construction $d(x_{n+1}, x_i) \ge \epsilon$ for i = 1, ..., n. Therefore, the sequence (x_n) can have no convergent subsequence; in fact, any ball of radius $\epsilon/2$ can contain x_n for at most *one* value of n.

Finally, we show that if X is sequentially compact, then X is compact. Let A be an open covering of X. Because X is sequentially compact, the open covering A has a Lebesgue number δ . Let $\epsilon = \delta/3$; use sequential compactness of X to find a finite