

Lemma 2 here

Main Thm last time: If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\alpha a + \beta b = \gcd(a, b)$

Crit 1: Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

(i) if $a|bc$, then $a|c$ (ii) $a|c$ and $b|c$, then $ab|c$

Well-Ordering Principle \Rightarrow Main Thm \Rightarrow Crit 1

Crit 2: Vol 6 on HW1

Euclid's algorithm: Given $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a \leq b$,

find $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\alpha a + \beta b = \gcd(a, b)$

Lemma 1 $\Rightarrow \exists r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ s.t. $0 \leq r_1 < a, b = r_1 a + r_2$

If $r_1 = 0, a|b \Rightarrow a = \gcd(a, b) = 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot b$

o/w Lemma 2 $\Rightarrow \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, r_1)$

Lemma 1 $\Rightarrow \exists r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ s.t. $0 \leq r_2 < r_1, a = r_2 r_1 + r_3$

Lemma 1 (Division w. Remainder) If $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$\exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $0 \leq r < a$ and $b = qa + r$.

Lemma 2: If $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, r, aq + r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

then $\gcd(a, r) = \gcd(a, aq + r)$

proved last time

get $b = r_1 a + r_1$ w. $0 < r_1 < a, r_1 \geq 0$

$r_0 = a = r_2 r_1 + r_2$ w. $0 < r_2 < r_1, r_2 \geq 0$

\vdots
 $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1} r_{n-1} + r_n$ w. $0 < r_n < r_{n-1}, r_n \geq 0$

$r_{n-1} = r_{n+1} r_n + r_{n+1} \rightarrow$ eventually 0

(\leftarrow b/c $0 \leq r_{n+1} < r_n < \dots < r_1 < a$)

\rightarrow Stop $r_n | r_{n-1}$

$\Rightarrow r_n = \gcd(r_n, r_{n-1}) = \gcd(r_{n-2} r_{n-1} + r_n, r_{n-1})$

Lemma 2 r_{n-2}

$= \gcd(r_{n-2}, r_{n-3}) = \dots = \gcd(a, b)$

\rightarrow Solve backwards for $r_n =$ in terms of a, b

$r_n = r_{n-2} - r_{n-1} r_{n-1} = r_{n-2} - r_{n-1} (r_{n-3} - r_{n-1} r_{n-2})$

Continue plugging in for $r_n \dots$

Example Find α, β s.t. $24\alpha + 34\beta = \gcd(24, 34) = 2$

(1) $34 = 1 \cdot 24 + 10$ ← remainders of division of 34 by 24

(2) $24 = 2 \cdot 10 + 4$ ← -11 ← of 24 by 10

(3) $10 = 2 \cdot 4 + 2$ ←

(4) $4 = 2 \cdot 2 + 0 \Rightarrow 2 = \gcd(24, 34)$

$2 \stackrel{(1)}{=} 10 - 2 \cdot 4 \stackrel{(2)}{=} 10 - 2 \cdot (24 - 2 \cdot 10) = 5 \cdot 10 - 2 \cdot 24$

$\stackrel{(3)}{=} 5 \cdot (34 - 2 \cdot 24) - 2 \cdot 24 = 5 \cdot 34 - 7 \cdot 24$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\alpha} \quad \underbrace{\quad}_{\beta} = 2$

Today's Main Thm: Unique Factorization for \mathbb{Z}^+

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists!$ primes $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_r$ s.t.

unique s.t. $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$

$p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is prime if it has precisely 2 divisors

i.e. 1 and $p = 1$

$\Rightarrow 1$ is not prime; primes: $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots$

example / tell first; proof (by induction) next

Example $90 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow \exists p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_r \checkmark$
 $p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$

Uniqueness: if $90 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = q_1 \dots q_s$

with $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_s$ prime then $s = 4$

$q_1 = 2 \quad q_2 = 3 \quad q_3 = 3 \quad q_4 = 5$

Cr3 Infinitely many primes

Pf: By contradiction. Suppose p_1, \dots, p_n are all the primes.
 Suppose p_1, \dots, p_n are all the primes. Let $N = p_1 \cdot p_n + 1$
 $N \geq 2 \xrightarrow{\text{Thm 1}} N$ has a prime divisor p
 $p \nmid N$ b/c remainder of division of N by p_i is 1
 $\Rightarrow p \neq p_1, \dots, p_n$ is another prime; contradiction

Pf of Lemma 3: $p, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, p$ prime
 If $p \nmid a$, then $\gcd(p, a) = 1$
 b/c only 1, $p \mid p$ and $p \nmid a$
Lemma last time: Suppose $p, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gcd(p, a) = 1$
 If $p \mid ab$, then $p \mid b$
 ∴ If $p, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, p prime, $p \mid ab$, but $p \nmid a$, then $p \mid b$

Pf of Main Thm: (i) Existence of decomposition.
 Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n \geq 2, \exists p_1, \dots, p_r$ prime s.t. $n = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_r$
Pf by induction on n Base case $n = 2 \cdot 2 = 2$ ✓
 $p_1 = p_r = 2$ prime
 Suppose true for all $2 \leq n < N$
 If N is prime, then $N = N = p_1$ is the decomp.
 If N is not prime, then $N = ab$ for some $2 \leq a, b < N$

Pf of uniqueness: Suppose $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_r = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s$ and
 $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_r, q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_s$ are primes, $r \leq s$
 Then $r = s$ and $p_i = q_i, \dots, p_r = q_r$
Proof by induction on r : Base case $r = 1$
 Lemma 4
 $p_1 = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s \Rightarrow p_1 \mid q_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$
 q_i prime $\Rightarrow q_i = p_1$; divide by p_1
 rest of q_j 's multiply to 1 \Rightarrow there were not any of them
 $\Rightarrow r = s = 1, p_1 = q_1$ ✓

For proof of Today's Main Thm, need
Lemma 3: Suppose $p, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and p is prime
 If $p \mid ab$, then either $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$
Lemma 4: Suppose $p, a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and p is prime
 If $p \mid a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_s$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$
Need p prime, e.g. $6 \mid 2 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 2$ and $6 \nmid 3$

Pf of Lemma 4 (inductive) DNS
Base case ($s=1$): If $p \mid a_1$, then $p \mid a_1$ ✓
Inductive step: Suppose $p \mid a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_s \Rightarrow p \mid a_i$ for some i
 Suppose $p \mid a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_s \cdot a_{s+1} = (a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_s) \cdot a_{s+1}$
 Lemma 3 $\Rightarrow p \mid (a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_s)$ or $p \mid a_{s+1}$
 Inductive assumption $\Rightarrow p \mid a_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$
 $\therefore p \mid (a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{s+1}) \Rightarrow p \mid a_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s+1$ ✓

Inductive assumption $\Rightarrow a = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_r, b = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s$
 for some primes $p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_s$
 $\Rightarrow N = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_r \cdot q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s$
 \Rightarrow get prime decomposition of N

Inductive step: Suppose the statement is true for r
 and $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{r+1} = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s$, all primes
 Lemma 4 $\Rightarrow p_{r+1} \mid q_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$
 let's say q_s
 q_s prime $\Rightarrow p_{r+1} = q_s$
 Divide both sides by (q_s) to get
 $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_r = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_{s-1}$
 inductive assumption $\Rightarrow p_i = q_i, \dots$ etc.