

**Corrections to
Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction, Second Edition
and Some Remarks**

SHORT CORRECTIONS

Page 6, line -2. Change “ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ” to “ $\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}$ ”.

Page 7, line -1. Change “ $\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}$ ” to “ $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}$ ” in two places.

Page 42, line 13. Change “Proposition 1” to “Proposition 1.10”.

Page 56, line 12. Change “of the maximum possible dimension” to “with the maximum possible dimension”.

Page 64, line 2. Change “ $\pi(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ ” to “ $\pi(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ ”.

Page 72, line -7. Change “the image of Φ ” to “the image of the identity component of G under Φ ”.

Page 90, last line of statement of Proposition 1.101. Change “ D of G ” to “ D of \tilde{G} ”.

Page 153, line -4. Change “strict equality” to “strict inequality”.

Page 172, line 15. Change subscript “ α_{i+1} ” to subscript “ α_j ”.

Page 232, line 13. Change “ $H(V)$ of” to “ $H(V)$ on”.

Page 237, line 10. Change “which another element” to “which is another element”.

Page 259, line -5. Change “of A_0 , some” to “of A , some”.

Page 267. Replace the proof of Proposition 4.67 by the following:

“PROOF. Let $\varphi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be the quotient homomorphism, let Z be the kernel, let \tilde{T} be a maximal torus of \tilde{G} , and let $T = \varphi(\tilde{T})$. Corollary 4.47 shows that $\varphi|_{\tilde{T}}$ has kernel Z . Consequently the mapping φ^* of the group \hat{T} of multiplicative characters of T into the group $\hat{\tilde{T}}$ given by $\varphi^*(\chi) = \chi \circ \varphi$ is a one-one homomorphism such that the index of $\varphi^*(T)$ in $\hat{\tilde{T}}$ is at most the order $|Z|$ of Z . On the other hand, if σ is any member of the group \hat{Z} of multiplicative characters of Z , then some multiplicative character τ of \tilde{T} has $\tau|_Z = \sigma$. (This can be seen as follows: The set of restrictions $\tau|_Z$ is a subgroup \hat{Z}_1 of \hat{Z} . If \hat{Z}_1 is a proper subgroup, then its linear span is a set of functions on Z of dimension $< |Z|$. However, the members of $\hat{\tilde{T}}$ separate point of \tilde{T} , and the Stone–Weierstrass Theorem implies that their linear span, when restricted to any finite subset of \tilde{T} , yields all functions on that set.) Consequently the index of $\varphi^*(\hat{\tilde{T}})$ in $\hat{\tilde{T}}$ is at least $|Z|$. Therefore it equals $|Z|$. Application of Proposition 4.58 translates this conclusion into the desired conclusion about analytically integral forms.”

Page 278, line 5. Change “ $(x_{2j-1} \pm x_{2j})$ ” to “ $(x_{2j-1} \pm ix_{2j})$ ”.

Page 283, line 5. Change “ $\varphi(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ ” to “ $(\varphi \oplus \varphi')(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ ”.

Page 283, line 6. Change “ φ ” to “ $\varphi \oplus \varphi'$ ”.

Page 292, proof of Proposition 5.21. At the end of the second display, change the period to a comma. Change “Then (a) follows from Proposition 1.91, and (b) follows from Corollary 1.85” to “the second inequality following from Proposition 1.91. This proves (a), and (b) follows from Corollary 1.85”.

Page 295, line –5. Change “(Proposition 5.1)” to “(in the formulation of Corollary 5.2)”.

Page 300, line –5. Change “ $\lambda^w(H) = \lambda(H^{w^{-1}})$ ” to “ $(w\lambda)(H) = \lambda(w^{-1}H)$ ”.

Page 305, line 6. Change “is related in” to “is related to”.

Page 306, line 2. Change the displayed line from
“ $H_\delta^m E_{\beta_1}^{r_1} \cdots E_{\beta_k}^{r_k} \bmod U^{m+\sum r_j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ ” to “ $H_\delta^m E_{\beta_1}^{r_1} \cdots E_{\beta_k}^{r_k} \bmod U_{m+\sum r_j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ ”.

Page 306, line –4. Change “ $-\beta_n$ ” to “ $-\beta_k$ ”.

Page 312, line –1. Change “ \mathcal{H}^W ” to “ $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ ”.

Page 313, line –6. Change “ $|\lambda - \delta|^2 - |\delta|^2$ ” to “ $|\lambda + \delta|^2 - |\delta|^2$ ”.

Page 318, display (5.70). Change “ $(V_1 \otimes V_2)$ ” to “ $\text{char}(V_1 \otimes V_2)$ ”.

Page 321, line 11. Change “image φ ” to “ $\varphi(V(\mu)^m)_{\mu-\delta}$ ”.

Page 323, line 5. Change “For $H \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ” to “For $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ ”.

Page 336, paragraph 5, line 1. Change “Let \tilde{G} be the universal covering group of G ” to “Let \tilde{G} be the universal covering group of G , and identify the Lie algebra of \tilde{G} with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 of G via the differential of the covering map.”

Page 355, line 4. Change “Let B be” to “Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be a real semisimple Lie algebra, and let B be”.

Page 355, line 11. Change period to comma at the end of the display, and add afterward the text “the inequality being strict if $X \neq 0$.”

Page 366, line 2. Change “Because of (6.37)” to “Because of (6.38)”.

Page 615, lines 2–3. Change “finite-dimensional vector V ” to “finite-dimensional vector space V ”.

Page 641, line 11. Change “ $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}, F)$ ” to “ $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, F)$ ”.

Page 641, line 13. Change “spaces, Suppose” to “spaces. Suppose”.

Page 703, formula for Σ . Change “ B_p ” to “ B_{2p+1} ”, and change “ D_p ” to “ D_{2p+1} ”.

Page 704, formula for Σ . Change “ B_p ” to “ B_{2p} ”, and change “ D_p ” to “ D_{2p} ”.

Page 763, line 4–6. Change the sentence “Goto [1948] proved that a semisimple matrix group is a closed subgroup of matrices, and the proof of Theorem 4.29 makes use of some of Goto’s ideas” to

“Goto [1948] proved that a semisimple matrix group is a closed subgroup of matrices, and the proof of Theorem 4.29 makes use of some of Goto’s ideas; this theorem had been proved earlier in a slightly different way by Yosida [1938]”.

Page 767, lines 13–14. Change “Helgason [1978] gives a proof of the classification that is based on classifying automorphisms in a different way” to “Helgason [1978] gives a proof of the classification of real semisimple Lie algebras that establishes and applies the classification of automorphisms of finite order for complex semisimple Lie algebras as given by Kac [1969]”.

Add the following two items to the section of References:

Kac (Kats), V. G., Automorphisms of finite order of semisimple Lie algebras, *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya* 3 (1969), No. 3, 94–96 (Russian).
English translation: *Functional Anal. and Its Appl.* 3 (1969), 252–254.

Yosida, K., A theorem concerning the semi-simple Lie groups, *Tohoku Math. J.* 44 (1938), 81–84.

A LONGER CORRECTION

Page 769, last two lines, and page 770, lines 1–18. Change

“Theorem 8.49, called Helgason’s Theorem in the text, is from Helgason [1970], §III.3. Warner [1972a], p. 210, calls the result the “Cartan–Helgason Theorem.” In fact at least four people were involved in the evolution of the theorem as it is stated in the text. Cartan [1929b], §§23–32, raised the question of characterizing the irreducible representations of G with a nonzero K fixed vector, G being a compact semisimple Lie group and K being the fixed subgroup under an involution. His answer went in the direction of the equivalence of (a) and (c) but was incomplete. In addition the proof contained errors, as is acknowledged by the presence of corrections in the version of the paper in his *Œuvres Complètes*. Cartan’s work was redone by Harish-Chandra and Sugiura. Harish-Chandra [1958], §2, worked in a dual setting, dealing with a noncompact semisimple group G with finite center and a maximal compact subgroup K . He proved that if ν is the highest restricted weight of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of G with a K fixed vector, then $\langle \nu, \beta \rangle / |\beta|^2$ is an integer ≥ 0 for every positive restricted root. Sugiura [1962] proved conversely that any ν such that $\langle \nu, \beta \rangle / |\beta|^2$ is an integer ≥ 0 for every positive restricted root is the highest restricted weight of some irreducible finite-dimensional representation of G with a K fixed vector. Thus Harish-Chandra and Sugiura together completed the proof of the equivalence of (a) and (c). Helgason added the equivalence of (b) with (a) and (c), and he provided a geometric interpretation of the theorem.”

to

“Theorem 8.49, called Helgason’s Theorem in the text, is from Helgason [1970], §III.3, and the proof in the text is substantially unchanged from Helgason’s. Inspection of the proof shows that a version of the theorem remains valid for the compact form U of G relative to $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, as described in Proposition 7.15: if a finite-dimensional representation of U is given, then the equivalence of (a), (b), and (c) in Theorem 8.49 is still valid; however, the converse assertion that produces a representation requires a further hypothesis, such as simple connectivity of U , as examples with $U = \text{Ad}_{\text{su}(3)}(SU(3))$ and $K = \text{Ad}_{\text{su}(3)}(SO(3))$ show. As a result of the attribution of Warner [1972a], p. 210, the direct part of the theorem, i.e., the equivalence of (a), (b), (c) when a representation is given, is sometimes called the “Cartan–Helgason Theorem.” The inclusion of Cartan’s name is based on work in Cartan [1929b], §§23–32, which raised the question of characterizing the irreducible representations of U with a nonzero K fixed vector, U being a compact semisimple Lie group and K being the fixed subgroup under an involution. Cartan’s answer went in the direction of the equivalence of (a) and (c) but was incomplete. In addition, the proof contained errors, as is acknowledged by the presence of corrections in the version of the paper in Cartan’s *Œuvres Complètes*. Cartan’s work was addressed anew by Harish-Chandra and Sugiura. Harish-Chandra [1958], Lemma 1, worked with a noncompact semisimple group G with finite center and a maximal compact subgroup K . He proved that the highest weight of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of G with a K fixed vector vanishes on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Sugiura [1962] worked with a simply connected compact semisimple group U and the fixed subgroup K under an involution. He announced for that setting, on the basis of what he later acknowledged to be an incomplete case-by-case analysis, the equivalence of (a) and (c) for the highest weight of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation

of U with a K fixed vector. Thus Helgason's contribution was to introduce the equivalence of (b) with (a) and (c), supply proofs for all the equivalences, and add the converse result; in addition, Helgason provided a geometric interpretation of the theorem".

REMARKS

Pages 108, line -2, to page 110, statement of Corollary 1.134. This material proves that the exponential map is everywhere regular when the Lie algebra is nilpotent. An alternative approach to this question is to establish the following general formula for the differential of the exponential map:

$$(d \exp)_X = d(L_{\exp X})_1 \circ \frac{1 - e^{-\text{ad } X}}{\text{ad } X}.$$

When the Lie algebra is nilpotent, each $\text{ad } X$ is nilpotent. Consequently $\frac{1 - e^{-\text{ad } X}}{\text{ad } X}$ is everywhere nonsingular, and the differential is everywhere one-one onto.

1/12/07