Remarks on Quadratic Rational Maps.

J. Milnor

Stony Brook, August 1992

Contents.

§1. Introduction.

This will be an expository description of quadratic rational maps.

Sections 2 through 6 are concerned with the geometry and topology of such maps. The space Rat_2 of all quadratic rational maps from the Riemann sphere to itself is a smooth complex 5-manifold, having the homotopy type of an $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundle over the real projective plane. However the "moduli space" $\mathcal{M}_2$, consisting of all holomorphic conjugacy classes of maps in Rat_2 , has a much simpler structure, and is biholomorphic to the coordinate space $\mathbf{C}^2$. (More precisely, $\mathcal{M}_2$ can be described as an orbifold whose underlying space is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^2$.) The locus $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu)$ consisting of conjugacy classes with a periodic point of period n and multiplier μ is an algebraic curve in $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$. For the special cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ this curve is a straight line. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$ has a natural compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$, isomorphic to the projective plane $\mathbf{CP}^2$. We also consider quadratic maps together with a marking of the critical points, or of the fixed points. As an example, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ for maps with marked critical points is an orbifold with one essentially singular point, and has the homotopy type of a 2-sphere.

Sections 7–10 survey of some topics from the dynamics of quadratic rational maps. There are few proofs. Those maps which are hyperbolic on their Julia set give rise to "hyperbolic components" in moduli space, as studied by Rees [R3]. If we work in the compactified moduli space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$, then every hyperbolic component is a topological 4-cell with a preferred center point. However if we work in $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$ then there is one exceptional component which has a more complicated topology, namely the "escape component", consisting of maps with totally disconnected Julia set. Section 9 attempts to explore and picture moduli space by means of complex one-dimensional slices. (Compare Rees [R4], [R5].) Section 10 describes the theory of real quadratic rational maps.

For convenience in exposition, some technical details have been relegated to appendices: Appendix A outlines some classical algebra. Appendix B describes the topology of the space of rational maps of degree d . Appendix C outlines several convenient normal forms for quadratic rational maps, and computes relations between various invariants. Appendix D describes some geometry associated with the curves $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. Appendix E describes totally disconnected Julia sets containing no critical points. Finally, Appendix F, written in collaboration with Tan Lei, describes an example of a connected quadratic Julia set for which no two components of the complement have a common boundary point.

§2. The Space Rat_2 of Quadratic Rational Maps.

This section will set the stage by giving a brief description of the space of all quadratic rational maps.

It will be convenient to identify the compactified plane $\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$ with the unit sphere S^2 via stereographic projection, and to call either one the *Riemann sphere*. Let Rat_d be the space consisting of all holomorphic maps of degree d from S^2 to itself. Information about Rat_d may be found in Appendix B. (Compare Segal [Se].) For example, Rat_d is a smooth connected complex manifold of dimension $2d+1$, and the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathrm{Rat}_d)$ is cyclic of order $2d$ for $d \ge 1$. For $d = 1$, note that the space Rat_1 can be identified with the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ consisting of all Möbius transformations from the Riemann sphere to itself.

Now let us specialize to the case $d = 2$. Each map f in the space Rat_2 , consisting of all *quadratic rational maps*, can be expressed as a ratio

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \;=\; \frac{a_0 z^2 + a_1 z + a_2}{b_0 z^2 + b_1 z + b_2}$$

with degree $d = \mathrm{Max}\big(\deg(p)\,,\,\deg(q)\big)$ equal to two. It follows easily that Rat_2 can be identified with the Zariski open subset of complex projective 5-space consisting of all points $(a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : b_0 : b_1 : b_2)$ in $\mathbf{CP}^5$ for which the *resultant*

$$\mathrm{result}(p, q) \;=\; \det \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_0 & b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is non-zero. (See Appendix A.) The topology of this space can be described roughly as follows.

> **Theorem 2.1.** *The space* Rat_2 *contains a compact non-orientable manifold* M^5 *as deformation retract. This manifold* M^5 *can be described as the unique non-trivial principal* $\mathrm{SO}(3)$*-bundle over the projective plane* $\mathbf{RP}^2$.

The proof can be outlined as follows. (For details, see Appendix B.) Every quadratic rational map has two distinct critical points $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ in the Riemann sphere S^2 , and two distinct critical values $f(\omega_1) \neq f(\omega_2)$. Let $M^5 \subset \mathrm{Rat}_2$ be the sub-space consisting of quadratic rational maps such that:

(a) the two critical points ω_1 , ω_2 are "antipodal" in the sense that $\omega_2 = -1/\bar{\omega}_1$,

(b) the two critical values $f(\omega_1)$, $f(\omega_2)$ are also antipodal, and

(c) every point on the "equator" midway between the critical points maps to a point on the equator midway between the critical values.

It is not hard to check that M^5 is indeed a smooth manifold, embedded in Rat_2 as a deformation retract, and that the continuous map $f \mapsto \{\omega_1 , \omega_2\}$ from M^5 to the real projective plane is the projection map of a principal fibration, with fiber equal to the group $\mathrm{SO}(3) \cong \mathrm{PSU}(2) \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ consisting of all rotations of the 2-sphere. Using results of Graeme Segal, we will show in Appendix B that the fundamental group $\pi_1(M^5) \cong \pi_1(\mathrm{Rat}_2)$ is cyclic of order 4 , and conclude that this bundle must be non-trivial.

As one immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we see that M^5 (or Rat_2) has the rational homology of a 3-sphere. However, the 2-fold orientable covering manifold of M^5 is homeomorphic to the product $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times S^2$ (hence the universal covering of M^5 is homeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^2$). In §6 we will discuss the corresponding 2-sheeted covering manifold of Rat_2 . This covering manifold can be identified with the space of *critically marked* quadratic rational maps, denoted by $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$. Its elements can be described as ordered triples $(f , \omega_1 , \omega_2)$ where $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ and where $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ are the two critical points of f .

§3. The Space $\mathcal{M}_2$ of Holomorphic Conjugacy Classes.

The group $\mathrm{Rat}_1 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbf{C}$ of Möbius transformations acts on the space Rat_2 of quadratic rational maps by conjugation,

$$g \in \mathrm{Rat}_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2 \qquad \text{yield} \qquad g \circ f \circ g^{-1} \in \mathrm{Rat}_2 .$$

Two maps in Rat_2 are said to be *holomorphically conjugate* if they belong to the same orbit.

Definition: The quotient space of Rat_2 under this action will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_2$, and called the *moduli space* of holomorphic conjugacy classes $\langle f \rangle$ of quadratic rational maps f .

This action of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbf{C}$ is not free. For example the Möbius transformation $g(z) = -z$ acts trivially on any odd function, such as $f(z) = a(z + z^{-1})$. Hence we might expect the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_2$ to have singularities. In fact however, we will see that it has the simplest possible description, and can be identified with the complex affine space $\mathbf{C}^2$. (On the other hand, since it is defined as a non-trivial quotient space, $\mathcal{M}_2$ does have a natural orbifold structure which reflects the complications of the group action. Compare §5.)

In order to describe this affine structure, let us study fixed points. Every map $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ has three not necessarily distinct fixed points $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in S^2$. Let μ_i be the *multiplier* of f at z_i (that is the first derivative, suitably interpreted in the special case when z_i is the point at infinity), and let

$$\sigma_1 = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 , \quad \sigma_2 = \mu_1\mu_2 + \mu_1\mu_3 + \mu_2\mu_3 , \quad \sigma_3 = \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3$$

be the elementary symmetric functions of these multipliers. (Note that $\mu_i = 1$ if and only if z_i is a multiple fixed point, so that $z_i = z_j$ for some $j \neq i$.)

Lemma 3.1. *These three multipliers determine f up to holomorphic conjugacy, and are subject only to the restriction that*

$$\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) + 2 \; = \; 0 , \tag{1}$$

or in other words

$$\sigma_3 \; = \; \sigma_1 \; - \; 2 . \tag{1'}$$

Hence the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^2$, with coordinates σ_1 and σ_2.

We will sometimes use the notation $\langle f \rangle = \langle \mu_1 , \mu_2 , \mu_3 \rangle$ for the conjugacy class of a map f having fixed points of multiplier μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3. If $\mu_1\mu_2 \neq 1$, then we can solve equation (1) for

$$\mu_3 \; = \; \frac{2 - \mu_1 - \mu_2}{1 - \mu_1\mu_2} . \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, if $\mu_1\mu_2 = 1$ then it follows easily from (1) that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$ so that $z_1 = z_2$ is a double fixed point. In this case μ_3 can be arbitrary.

Proof of Equation (1). First suppose that the μ_i are all different from 1, so that there is no double fixed point. Then the classical formula

$$\sum 1/(1 - \mu_i) \; = \; 1$$

is proved by integrating $dz/(z - f(z))$. (See for example in [M2, §9].) Clearing denominators, we obtain (1). On the other hand, if $\mu_1 = 1$ then z_1 is a double fixed point, with say $z_1 = z_2$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$. The equation (1) is then true for any value of μ_3. □

Proof that the holomorphic conjugacy class is determined by $\{\mu_1 , \mu_2 , \mu_3\}$. First consider a map f which has at least two distinct fixed points. After conjugating by a Möbius transformation, we may assume that these two fixed points are at zero and infinity. It follows easily that f has the form

$$f(z) \; = \; z \, \frac{az + b}{cz + d} ,$$

where $a \neq 0$, $d \neq 0$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$ since f has degree two. After multiplying numerator and denominator by a constant, we may assume that $d = 1$. If we replace $f(z)$ by $f(kz)/k$, the effect will be to multiply both a and c by k . Thus there is a ***unique*** choice of k which has the effect of replacing a by 1 . This yields the normal form

$$f(z) \;=\; z\,\frac{z+b}{cz+1} \qquad \text{with} \qquad 1 - b\,c \neq 0\;, \tag{3}$$

where $b = \mu_1$ and $c = \mu_2$ are evidently equal to the multipliers at zero and infinity respectively. Thus f is uniquely determined, up to holomorphic conjugacy, by the multipliers μ_1 and μ_2 associated with any two distinct fixed points. Here the determinant $1-\mu_1\mu_2$ cannot vanish, but there are no other restrictions on μ_1 and μ_2 . The multiplier at the third fixed point is then determined by Equation (2). For further information, see Appendix C.

Now suppose there is only one fixed point. After a Möbius conjugation, we may assume that this fixed point $z_1 = z_2 = z_3$ is the point at infinity, and that $f^{-1}(\infty) = \{0, \infty\}$. This implies that f has the form $f(z) = p(z)/z$ for some quadratic polynomial $p(z)$. Here the difference $f(z) - z = (p(z) - z^2)/z$ can have no zeros in the finite plane, hence $p(z) - z^2$ must be constant so that $f(z) = z + c/z$, with critical points $\pm\sqrt{c}$. If we normalize so that the critical points of f are ± 1 , then $c = 1$ and

$$f(z) \;=\; z + z^{-1}\;. \tag{4}$$

In this case, the multipliers at the unique fixed point are given by $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$, and again the conjugacy class is uniquely determined by these multipliers.

Evidently we can realize any triple $\{\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\mu_3\}$ which satisfies Equation (1). Finally, note that the unordered collection $\{\mu_i\}$ of multipliers is determined by the three elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_n = \sigma_n(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\mu_3)$. Since equation $(1')$ shows that σ_3 is determined by σ_1 , this completes the proof of 3.1. □

Remark 3.2: Cubic polynomial maps. There is a strong analogy between the theory of quadratic rational maps and of cubic polynomial maps. (Compare [M3], [M5].) In both cases there are three fixed points and two critical points. Furthermore, in both cases the moduli space of holomorphic conjugacy classes has dimension two, and can be identified with $\mathbf{C}^2$, with the elementary symmetric functions of the multipliers at the fixed points, subject to a single linear relation, as coordinates. In the cubic polynomial case, this linear relation takes the form $\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_1 + 3 = 0$.

Remark 3.3: Affine structure. Since the complex manifold $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$ has many holomorphic automorphisms, it is not immediately clear that the affine structure imposed by taking the σ_i as affine coordinates has any preferred status. However, the following three lemmas show that this affine structure does indeed have very special properties. (For a different coordinate system, which would impose a different and less useful affine structure, see 6.3.)

Definition. For each $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$ let $\mathrm{Per}_1(\eta) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ be the set of all conjugacy classes $\langle f \rangle$ of maps f which have a fixed point with multiplier equal to η . (See Figure 1 for a plot of the $\mathrm{Per}_1(\eta)$ in the real case.)



Figure 1. The (real) lines $\mathrm{Per}_1(\eta)$ *in the real* (σ_1 , σ_2)*-plane. (Compare §10 and Figures 15, 16.) The region* $(\sigma_1 , \sigma_2) \in [-12, 10] \times [-10, 22]$ *is shown. (Horizontal scale exaggerated. Those lines with* $-1 \le \eta \le 1$ *, corresponding to attracting or parabolic real fixed points, have been emphasized.) The envelope of this family* $\{\mathrm{Per}_1(\eta)\}$ *consists of the symmetry locus* $\mathcal{S}$ *of §5, together with the line* $\mathrm{Per}_1(1)$ *. This envelope cuts the real plane into three regions for which the real quadratic map* f *has three distinct real fixed points, and two regions for which* f *has only one real fixed point.*

Lemma 3.4. *For each* $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$ *this locus* $\mathrm{Per}_1(\eta) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ *is a straight line with respect to the coordinates* σ_1 *,* σ_2 *, with slope* $d\sigma_2/d\sigma_1 = \eta + \eta^{-1}$ *. For* $\eta \ne 0$ *it is given by the equation*

$$\sigma_2 \;=\; (\eta + \eta^{-1})\,\sigma_1 - (\eta^2 + 2\,\eta^{-1}) \;, \qquad (5)$$

while $\mathrm{Per}_1(0)$ *is the vertical line* $\sigma_1 = +2$ *.*

Proof. The multipliers at the three fixed points are the roots of the equation

$$\eta^3 - \sigma_1\,\eta^2 + \sigma_2\,\eta - \sigma_3 \;=\; 0 \;.$$

Substituting $\sigma_3 = \sigma_1 - 2$ and solving for σ_2 , we obtain the required equation. □

Definition. More generally, for any integer $n \ge 1$ and any number $\eta \ne 1$ in $\mathbf{C}$, let $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta)$ be the set of $\langle f \rangle \in \mathcal{M}_2$ having a periodic point of period n and multiplier η . (For the special case $\eta = 1$, the definition needs more care. Compare Appendix D. One possibility would be to simply define $\mathrm{Per}_n(1)$ as the limit of $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta)$ as $\eta \to 1$, $\eta \ne 1$.) The following result will be proved in 4.2.

Lemma 3.5. *Each* $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta)$ *is an algebraic curve in* $\mathcal{M}_2$ *with degree equal to the number of period* n *hyperbolic components in the Mandelbrot set.*

Thus for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ the curve $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta)$ is a straight line, but for $n = 3$ it is a cubic curve. For period $n = 2$ we have the following simple description.

Lemma 3.6. *The curves* $\mathrm{Per}_2(\eta)$ *are parallel straight lines of slope* -2 *, given by the equation*

$$2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \;=\; \eta \;.$$

As noted above, the case $\eta = +1$ is exceptional. In fact the proof will show that there is no quadratic rational map having a period 2 orbit with multiplier equal to $+1$.

Proof of 3.6. Note that the fixed points of the 4-th degree map $f^{\circ 2}$ consist of the fixed points of f together with the period 2 orbits (if any) of f . First consider a map $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ with fixed point multipliers $\{\mu_i\}$ such that no μ_i is equal to ± 1 . Then we will show that the five fixed points of $f^{\circ 2}$ are all distinct. In fact, three of these are the three distinct fixed points of f . These have multipliers μ_1^2 , μ_2^2 , $\mu_3^2 \neq +1$ when considered as fixed points of $f^{\circ 2}$. The remaining two must constitute a period two orbit for f . Neither of these points can coincide with a fixed point of f , since such a multiple fixed point of $f^{\circ 2}$ would have to have multiplier $+1$, and the two cannot coincide with each other, since they would then constitute an extra fixed point for f . It follows that the multiplier η for this period two orbit cannot be $+1$. Hence the rational fixed point formula for $f^{\circ 2}$ takes the form

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu_1^2} + \frac{1}{1-\mu_1^2} + \frac{1}{1-\mu_1^2} + \frac{1}{1-\eta} + \frac{1}{1-\eta} \;=\; 1 \;.$$

(Compare [M2] or the proof of 3.1.) We can solve this equation, so as to express η as a certain rational function of the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(\mu_1 , \mu_2 , \mu_3)$. In fact, making use of the relation $(1')$ and carrying out the division (preferably by computer), we find the required formula $\eta = 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$.

Now suppose that $\langle f \rangle$ belongs to the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(-1)$, or in other words suppose that f has a fixed point of multiplier $\mu_i = -1$. Then $f^{\circ 2}$ has a multiple fixed point, with multiplier $\mu_i^2 = +1$. If f has m distinct fixed points (where $m = 2$ or $m = 3$), then it follows easily that $f^{\circ 2}$ has at most $m + 1$ distinct fixed points. *Hence* f *cannot have any period two orbit.* In fact, as $\eta \to 1$, the unique period two orbit for f degenerates to the fixed point z_i of multiplier -1 . (It follows from formula (1) that there cannot be two fixed points of multiplier -1 .) Note that the equation for the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(-1)$, as given by 3.4, coincides precisely with the locus $\eta = 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = +1$. Thus it is convenient to define

$$\mathrm{Per}_2(1) \;=\; \mathrm{Per}_1(-1) \;=\; \{\, \langle f \rangle \in \mathcal{M}_2 \;:\; 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 1 \,\} \;.$$

(Compare Figure 6.) Finally, suppose that f has a double fixed point $z_1 = z_2$ with multiplier $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$, and that the third fixed point z_3 has multiplier $\mu_3 \neq -1$. Then a straightforward argument by continuity shows that the formula $\eta = 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ for the multiplier of the period two orbit remains true. In this case, a brief computation shows that the multiplier $\eta = 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ for the period two orbit is equal to $5 + 4\,\mu_3 \neq +1$. □

§4. The Compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2 \cong \mathbf{CP}^2$.

The coordinate plane $\mathbf{C}^2$ embeds naturally in the projective plane $\mathbf{CP}^2$. Since $\mathcal{M}_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^2$ with coordinates σ_1 and σ_2 , there is a corresponding compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2 \cong \mathbf{CP}^2$, consisting of $\mathcal{M}_2$ together with a 2-sphere of ***ideal points*** at infinity. Elements of this 2-sphere can be thought of very roughly as limits of quadratic rational maps which degenerate towards a fractional linear or constant map. However caution is needed, since such a limit cannot be uniform over the entire Riemann sphere.

In terms of the multipliers $\{\mu_i\}$ at the fixed points, this 2-sphere at infinity can be described as follows. If at least one of the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\mu_3)$ tends to infinity, then at least one of the μ_i must tend to infinity. If only μ_3 , for example, tends to infinity, then it follows from formula (2) that the product $\mu_1\,\mu_2$ must tend to $+1$. On the other hand, if two of the μ_i tend to infinity, then using (2) we see that the third must tend to zero. *Thus the collection of ideal points in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ can be identified with the set of unordered triples of the form $\langle \mu\,,\,\mu^{-1}\,,\,\infty\rangle$ with $\mu\in\hat{\mathbf{C}}=\mathbf{C}\cup\infty$.* It seems appropriate to use the notation $\widehat{\mathrm{Per}_1(\infty)}\subset\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ for this 2-sphere of points at infinity. A useful parameter on $\widehat{\mathrm{Per}_1(\infty)}$ is the sum $\mu+\mu^{-1}\in\hat{\mathbf{C}}$, which can be identified with the limiting ratio

$$\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_3} \;=\; \frac{1}{\mu_1}+\frac{1}{\mu_2}+\frac{1}{\mu_3}\;.$$

If we exclude the special case $\mu=1$, then the dynamics of a representative map for a point in $\mathcal{M}_2$ which is "close" to the ideal point $\langle\mu\,,\,\mu^{-1}\,,\,\infty\rangle$ can be described as follows. (For $\mu=1$, a useful description would be more complicated, involving the theory of Écale cylinders [La].) As in §3 (3) or Appendix C (22), use the normal form

$$f(z) \;=\; z(z+\mu_1)/(\mu_2 z+1) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mu_1\,\mu_2\neq 1\;. \tag{6}$$

First suppose that $\mu\neq 0\,,\,\infty$, and let $\mu_1\approx\mu$, $\mu_2\approx\mu^{-1}$, hence $\mu_1\mu_2\approx 1$. It turns out that, over most of the Riemann sphere, this map f is uniformly close to the linear map $z\mapsto z/\mu_2$, or equivalently $z\mapsto\mu\,z$. However, the behavior is quite different in a small neighborhood of the point $z=-1/\mu_2$: This neighborhood, which includes both critical points, maps over the entire Riemann sphere. The case $\mu=0$ is similar. Any $\langle f\rangle\in\mathcal{M}_2$ which is close to the ideal point $\langle 0,\infty,\infty\rangle$ has a convenient representative which is uniformly close to a constant throughout most of the sphere. We will make these statements more precise, as part of the proof of the following result.

> **Lemma 4.1.** *For any period $n\geq 2$ and for any multiplier $\eta\in\mathbf{C}$, the only possible limit points of the curve $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta)\subset\mathcal{M}_2$ on the 2-sphere at infinity are ideal points of the form $\langle\mu\,,\,\mu^{-1}\,,\,\infty\rangle$ where μ is a q-th root of unity, with $q\leq n$.*

In particular, the limiting ratio $\sigma_2/\sigma_3=\mu+\mu^{-1}$ is necessarily a point in the real interval $[-2\,,\,2]$. For example, if $\mu=e^{2\pi i m/n}$ then $\sigma_2/\sigma_3=2\cos(2\pi m/n)$. (Compare Figures 16, 17.) It is conjectured that the case $q=1$ cannot occur, and that the set of all limit points of $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta)$ is precisely the set of $\langle\mu\,,\,\mu^{-1}\,,\,\infty\rangle$ such that μ is a q-th root of unity with $1<q\leq n$.

Proof of 4.1. First suppose that $\mu \neq 0\,,\,1\,,\,\infty$. As in the discussion above, we use the normal form (6). Using the notations

$$\delta \;=\; 1-\mu_1\,\mu_2\;, \qquad \ell(z)\;=\;\mu_2 z+1\;,$$

let us assume that the determinant δ is very close to zero. Note that the linear function $\ell(z)$ will be close to zero if and only if z is close to $-1/\mu_2$. A brief computation shows that

$$\mu_2\frac{f(z)}{z} \;=\; \mu_2\frac{z+\mu_1}{\mu_2\,z+1} \;=\; 1-\frac{\delta}{\ell(z)}\quad ,$$

and that

$$\mu_2\,f'(z) \;=\; 1-\frac{\delta}{\ell(z)^2}\;.$$

Let us partition the z-plane into three non-overlapping regions $D\,,\,A\,,\,C$, according as the number $|\ell(z)|^3$ is less than $|\delta|^2$, or between $|\delta|^2$ and $|\delta|$, or greater than $|\delta|$. Thus D is a very small disk centered at the pole $-1/\mu_2$, A is a small annulus surrounding this disk, and the complementary region C is everything else, including zero and infinity. Note that:

$$|\mu_2\,\frac{f(z)}{z}-1| \;\le\; |\delta|^{1/3} \qquad \text{for} \qquad z\in A\cup C\;,$$

and

$$|\mu_2\,f'(z)-1| \;\le\; |\delta|^{1/3} \qquad \text{for} \qquad z\in C\;,$$

but

$$|\mu_2\,f'(z)-1| \;\ge\; |\delta|^{-1/3} \qquad \text{for} \qquad z\in D\;.$$

Thus $f(z)/z$ is uniformly close to the constant $1/\mu_2\approx\mu$ everywhere outside of the small disk D . The derivative $f'(z)$ is very large throughout D , and is uniformly close to $1/\mu_2\approx\mu$ and hence bounded away from zero throughout the outside region C . It follows that both critical points must belong to the annulus A .

Now consider a periodic point of period $n\ge 2$. If its orbit is disjoint from the disk D , then $1=f^{\circ n}(z)/z\approx\mu^n$. If the orbit touches both D and A , then we may assume that $z\in A$, and that $f^{\circ q}(z)\in D$ for some $1\le q<n$ which we take to be minimal. In this case, it follows that $\mu^q\approx 1$. Finally, if an orbit touches D but not A , then its multiplier must tend to infinity as $\delta\to 0$. Thus, in the limit as $\delta\to 0$ and $\mu_1\to\mu$, we can have an orbit of period $n\ge 2$ with bounded multiplier only if μ is a q-th root of unity with $q\le n$. (Note that this argument allows the possibility that $\mu=1$.) This completes the proof for the case $\mu\neq 0\,,\,\infty$.

To handle the case $\mu=\infty$ (or equivalently $\mu=0$) we need a slightly different argument. Again use the normal form (6) , but now assume that the multiplier μ_1 at the origin is very large in absolute value, and that the multiplier μ_2 at infinity is very close to zero. It then follows from formula (2) that the multiplier μ_3 at the third fixed point $z_3=(\mu_1-1)/(\mu_2-1)\approx-\mu_1$ is also very large in absolute value. We write $\mu_1\,,\,\mu_3\approx\infty$ but $\mu_2\approx 0$. For z in the disk $|z|<2$, it then follows from the computation

$$f'(z) \;=\; \frac{\mu_2\,z^2+2z+\mu_1}{(\mu_2\,z+1)^2}$$

that the derivative $f'(z)$ is uniformly close to μ_1 . Hence this disk maps diffeomorphically onto a region U , which is approximately the disk of radius $2|\mu_1|$ enclosing both finite fixed points. Let $D \subset U$ be the disk centered at the midpoint of the two finite fixed points, with radius equal to the distance between them. Since the two finite fixed points play a symmetric role, it follows that the pre-image $f^{-1}(D) \subset D$ splits up as a neighborhood N_1 of $z_1 = 0$, throughout which $f' \approx \mu_1$, and a neighborhood N_3 of z_3 throughout which $f' \approx \mu_3$. It is now easy to check that the Julia set $J(f)$ is a Cantor set, contained in the union $N_1 \cup N_3$, and that every orbit outside of the Julia set converges to the fixed point at infinity. (Compare §8 below.) Thus $f'(z)$ is approximately equal to either μ_1 or μ_3 throughout the Julia set; hence the multiplier of any orbit of period ≥ 2 tends to infinity as $\mu_1, \mu_3 \to \infty$. □

Theorem 4.2. *For any* $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, *the degree of the curve* $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ *is equal* $\nu_2(n)/2$, *where the numbers* $\nu_2(n)$ *are defined inductively by the formula*

$$2^n = \sum_{m|n} \nu_2(m) ,$$

to be summed over all positive integers m *which divide* n . *Equivalently, this degree is equal to the number of hyperbolic components of period* n *in the Mandelbrot set.*

(Compare 3.5 and Appendix D.) Here is a table giving some examples.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
degree	1	1	3	6	15	27	63	120

Proof of 4.2. By 3.4, it suffices to consider the case $n > 1$. Since the definition of $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu)$ is purely algebraic, it is not difficult to check that it is an algebraic curve in $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$. (See Appendix D.) In fact it is convenient to consider its closure $\widehat{\mathrm{Per}_n}(\mu)$ in the projective space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_2} \cong \mathbf{CP}^2$. By definition, the degree of a curve in $\mathbf{CP}^2$ is equal to its number of intersections with any straight line, counted with multiplicity. As line in $\mathcal{M}_2$, we choose the closure of the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(0)$, with equation $\sigma_1 = 2$ (or equivalently $\sigma_3 = \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 = 0$). This line can be identified with the set of all quadratic polynomial maps $f(z) = z^2 + c$, having a fixed point of multiplier zero at infinity. (Here $\sigma_2 = 4c$.) The closure $\widehat{\mathrm{Per}_1}(0)$ within the compactified space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_2}$ contains just one point at infinity $\langle 0, \infty, \infty \rangle$. According to 4.1, the curve $\widehat{\mathrm{Per}_n}(\mu)$ does not contain this point. Thus it suffices to consider intersections in the finite plane. First consider the case $\mu = 0$. The points of the intersection $\mathrm{Per}_1(0) \cap \mathrm{Per}_n(0)$ can be described as the conjugacy classes of maps $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ for which the finite critical point 0 has period exactly n under f_c . By definition, these are exactly the center points of the various period n components of the Mandelbrot set. Furthermore, it follows easily from [DH1, §3] that the multiplicity of such a value c as solution to the equation $f_c^{\circ n}(0) = 0$ is always 1 . In other words, the intersection is always transverse, with intersection multiplicity 1 . More generally, whenever $|\mu| < 1$ a similar argument shows that the curves $\mathrm{Per}_1(0)$ and $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu)$ intersect transversally, with exactly one intersection point in each period n component of the

Mandelbrot set.

To identify this number of intersection points with $\nu_2(n)/2$, note that the equation $f_c^{\circ n}(0) = 0$ has degree $2^n/2$. In other words, the number of centers in the Mandelbrot set with period dividing n is equal to $2^n/2$. After discarding all of those centers corresponding to proper divisors of n , we obtain the required number, namely $\nu_2(n)/2$.

For the general case, it is convenient to introduce algebraic curves $Q_n^* \supset Q_n \to P_n$ as follows. Let $Q_n^* \subset \mathbf{C}^2$ be the set of all pairs $(c\,,\,z)$ satisfying the polynomial equation $f_c^{\circ n}(z) = z$. (Thus the point z must be periodic with period m dividing n under the map f_c .) Let $Q_n \subset Q_n^*$ be the union of those irreducible components of the curve Q_n^* for which a generic point $(c_0\,,\,z_0)$ has the property that z_0 has period exactly n under f_{c_0} . (According to Bousch [Bou], there is exactly one such irreducible component; in other words, Q_n is irreducible.) Evidently we can write

$$Q_n^* \;=\; \bigcup_{m|n} Q_m\;,$$

taking the union over all divisors m of n . The cyclic group of order n operates on Q_n by the transformation $(c\,,\,z) \mapsto (c\,,\,f_c(z))$. Let P_n be the quotient variety of Q_n under this action. Thus a point of P_n can be described as a pair $(c\,,\,\{z_i\})$ consisting of a parameter value c and a periodic orbit $z_1 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto \cdots$ under f_c which (at least in the generic case) has period exactly n .

For each fixed value of z , note that the defining equation $f_c^{\circ n}(z) = z$ has degree $2^n/2$ in c . In other words, the projection map $(c, z) \mapsto z$ from Q_n^* to the z-plane has degree $2^n/2$. If we restrict to the subvariety Q_n , it follows easily that the corresponding projection map $(c, z) \mapsto z$ has degree $\nu_2(n)/2$. If $z = z_1 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto \cdots$ is the orbit of z under f_c , then it follows that each projection $(c, z) \mapsto z_i$ from Q_n to $\mathbf{C}$ also has degree $\nu_2(n)/2$. Now note that the multiplier $\eta = (2z_1)(2z_2)\cdots(2z_n)$ of such a periodic orbit is, up to a constant factor, just the product $z_1\,z_2\cdots z_n$. It follows easily that the projection $(c, z) \mapsto \eta$ from Q_n to the η-plane has degree $\sum_1^n \nu_2(n)/2 = n\,\nu_2(n)/2$. For example this can be proved by considering 2-dimensional cohomology with compact support for the composition

$$Q_n \smallsetminus \eta^{-1}(0) \;\to\; \prod_1^n \mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\} \;\stackrel{\text{product}}{\longrightarrow}\; \mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$$

of proper maps. Finally, since the projection $Q_n \to P_n$ has degree n , this implies that the projection $(c\,,\,\{z_i\}) \mapsto \eta$ from P_n to the η-plane has the required degree $\nu_2(n)/2$. In other words, for generic choice of η there are $\nu_2(n)/2$ corresponding points in the curve P_n , which map to $\nu_2(n)/2$ distinct points of the c-plane. Of course for particular values of η there may be coincidences, but this will not affect the count with multiplicity. Now using the argument above, it follows that the curve $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ has degree $\nu_2(n)/2$. This proves 4.2 and 3.5. □

Remark 4.3. The curves $\mathrm{Per}_3(\eta)$. As an example (without proofs), let us look at the special case $n = 3$. It follows from 4.2 that each $\mathrm{Per}_3(\eta)$ is a curve of degree three. For most values of η , this curve is non-singular of genus one, and has two ends corresponding to the two intersection points of its projective completion with the line at infinity (namely a double intersection point at $\langle\omega,\bar\omega,\infty\rangle$ where ω is a primitive cube root of unity, and a single intersection point at $\langle -1,-1,\infty\rangle$). However, there are three special values of η which behave differently. For $\eta=0$, the curve $\mathrm{Per}_3(0)$ has genus zero, with a transverse self-intersection point corresponding to the map for which both critical points lie in a single period 3 orbit (Figures 2, 9). Similarly, for $\eta=-8$ the curve $\mathrm{Per}_3(-8)$ has genus zero, with a single transverse self-intersection point corresponding to the map $z\mapsto 1/z^2$, which has two distinct period 3 orbits with multiplier -8. Finally, for $\eta=1$ the cubic curve $\mathrm{Per}_3(\eta)$ degenerates into a union of three straight lines:

$$\mathrm{Per}_3(1) \;=\; \mathrm{Per}_1(\omega)\cup\mathrm{Per}_1(\bar\omega)\cup\mathrm{Per}_2(-3)\;, \tag{7}$$

where ω is a primitive cube root of unity. (Compare Figures 8, 10.) The first two lines, with slope -1, correspond to maps for which one period 3 orbit degenerates to a fixed point of mutiplier ω or $\bar\omega$, while the third straight line, with equation $\sigma_2=-2\sigma_1-3$, corresponds to maps for which the two period 3 orbits coincide. For some reason, which I do not understand, this locus is precisely equal to the line $\mathrm{Per}_2(-3)$. This third line is visible as part of the boundary of a hyperbolic component in Figure 16. Thus the curve $\mathrm{Per}_3(1)$ has two finite self-intersections (corresponding to the map $z\mapsto\omega(z+z^{-1})$ and its complex conjugate), and one self-intersection at infinity.

§5. Maps with Symmetries.

By an *automorphism* of a quadratic rational map f, we will mean a Möbius transformation g which commutes with f, so that $g\circ f\circ g^{-1}=f$. The collection of all automorphisms of f forms a finite group

$$\mathrm{Aut}(f)\;\subset\;\mathrm{Rat}_1\;\cong\;\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{C})\;,$$

which measures the extent to which the action of Rat_1 on Rat_2 by conjugation fails to be free at f.

Theorem 5.1. *A quadratic rational map possesses a non-trivial automorphism if and only if it is conjugate to a map in the unique normal form*

$$f(z)\;=\;k\,(z+z^{-1})\;, \tag{8}$$

with $k\in\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$. *For* f *in this normal form, if* $k\neq -1/2$ *the group* $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ *is cyclic of order two, consisting of the maps* $z\mapsto\pm z$. *However, for* $k=-1/2$ *the group* $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ *is non-abelian of order six*[1].

See Figure 12 for a picture of the k-plane.

Remark 5.2. For a map in this normal form, note that the point at infinity is a fixed point with multiplier $\mu=1/k$. There are two other fixed points at $z=\pm\sqrt{k/(1-k)}$, both with multiplier $2k-1$. Thus the fixed point multipliers of f are

$$\{\mu_i\}\;=\;\{k^{-1}\,,\,2k-1\,,\,2k-1\}\;. \tag{9}$$

[1] Compare [DM], [Mc]

There are two special values of k for which all three multipliers are equal. These are the exceptional point $k = -1/2$ of 5.1, with $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = -2$, and the point $k = 1$ with $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$. In the latter case, all three fixed points coincide with the point at infinity, as discussed in the proof of 3.1.

Proof of 5.1. First consider an automorphism which has order two. Any element of order two in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ is conjugate to the map $g(z) = -z$, so it suffices to look at quadratic rational maps f which commute with $z \mapsto -z$. In other words, it suffices to look at odd functions, $f(-z) = -f(z)$. Writing $f(z)$ as a quotient $p(z)/q(z)$ of two polynomials, we see easily that f is odd if and only if one of these two polynomials is odd and the other is even. If $p(z)$ is even and $q(z)$ is odd, then we can write

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{k\,z^2 + \ell}{z} \;=\; k\,z + \ell\,z^{-1} \qquad \text{with} \qquad k\ell \neq 0\;.$$

Choosing $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{\ell/k}$, we see that the conjugate map $f(\lambda\,z)/\lambda$ has the required form $z \mapsto k\,(z + z^{-1})$. On the other hand, if $p(z)$ is odd and $q(z)$ is even, then the conjugate map $1/f(1/z)$ will have the form *even*/ *odd* , so that the above argument applies.

For f in this normal form (8), note that the two critical points ± 1 are interchanged by the automorphism $z \mapsto -z$. In fact for any quadratic rational map f and automorphism g it is clear that the set of critical points $\{\omega_1\,,\,\omega_2\}$ must be mapped into itself by g . Hence the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ contains a subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^0(f)$ of index ≤ 2 consisting of automorphisms which fix each of the two critical points. Suppose that this subgroup contains a non-trivial automorphism g . After a Möbius change of coordinates, we may assume that the two critical points are zero and infinity. Thus the non-trivial automorphism g fixing these two points must have the form $g(z) = \lambda\,z$ for some $\lambda \neq 0\,,\,1$. The equation $f(\lambda\,z) = \lambda\,f(z)$ then implies that $f(0) \in \{0\,,\,\infty\}$, and similarly that $f(\infty) \in \{0\,,\,\infty\}$. If f also fixed both critical points, then evidently $f(z) = \alpha\,z^2$ for some constant $\alpha \neq 0$, and the equation $f(\lambda\,z) = \lambda\,f(z)$ would imply that $\lambda = 1$, contrary to our hypothesis. Since the two critical values must be distinct, the only other possibility is that f interchanges the two critical points. Thus f must have the form $f(z) = \alpha/z^2$, and after a scale change we may assume that $\alpha = 1$ so that

$$f(z) \;=\; 1/z^2\;. \tag{10}$$

A brief computation then shows that the group $\mathrm{Aut}^0(f)$ of automorphisms which fix zero and infinity is the cyclic group of order three, consisting of all maps $g(z) = \lambda\,z$ with $\lambda^3 = 1$. The full group of automorphisms for this map (10) is generated by this subgroup, together with the involution $z \mapsto 1/z$. Making use of the discussion above, or by direct computation, we see that the map (10) is holomorphically conjugate to the special case $z \mapsto -(z + z^{-1})/2$ of formula (8). Further details of the proof are straightforward. □

In terms of the fixed points of f , we can reformulate this result as follows:

Case 1. If f has three distinct fixed points (or in other words if $\mu_i \neq 1$), then $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ coincides with the group consisting of all permutations of the fixed points which preserve the multiplier. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ has order 1, 2 or 6 according as the μ_i are distinct, two are equal, or all three are equal.

Case 2. If f has only two distinct fixed points, then $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ is trivial.

Case 3. If f has only one fixed point, then $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ is cyclic of order two.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ be the *symmetry locus*, consisting of all conjugacy classes $\langle f \rangle$ of quadratic maps which possess a non-trivial automorphism. (For other characterizations of $\mathcal{S}$, see 5.4 and 6.4.) Using formula (9), we easily prove the following.

Corollary 5.3. *The symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}$ is a curve of degree three and genus zero in $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$. It can be defined parametrically by the equations*

$$\sigma_1 = 4\,k - 2 + k^{-1}\,, \qquad \sigma_2 = 4\,k^2 - 4\,k + 5 - 2\,k^{-1}\,,$$

as k varies over $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$. This curve is non-singular, except for a cusp at the point $\langle z \mapsto 1/z^2 \rangle$, with $k = -1/2$, $\sigma_1 = -6$, $\sigma_2 = 12$.

Compare Figure 15, which shows the intersection of $\mathcal{S}$ with the real (σ_1, σ_2)-plane, and see also Figure 1.

Remark 5.4. Orbifold structure. Since the action $g,\, f \mapsto g \circ f \circ g^{-1}$ of the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ on the space Rat_2 is proper and locally free, it follows that the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_2$ has an associated orbifold structure. In fact, if $U \subset \mathrm{Rat}_2$ is a complex 2-manifold transverse to the orbit $\{g \circ f_0 \circ g^{-1}\}$, then the finite group $\mathrm{Aut}(f_0)$ acts on U in a neighborhood U_0 of f_0, and the quotient of U_0 by this action is precisely a coordinate neighborhood of $\langle f_0 \rangle$ in the orbifold $\mathcal{M}_2$. *Evidently, we can describe the symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}$ as the set of points of $\mathcal{M}_2$ at which this natural orbifold structure is non-trivial.* Note that this structure is particularly non-trivial at the cusp point $\langle z \mapsto 1/z^2 \rangle$.

§6. Maps with Marked Critical Points or Fixed Points.

Recall from §2 that a *critically marked* quadratic rational map (f, ω_1, ω_2) is a map $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ together with an ordered list of its critical points. The space $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ of all critically marked quadratic rational maps is a smooth two-sheeted covering manifold of Rat_2. The Möbius group $\mathrm{Rat}_1 \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ acts on $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ by conjugation

$$g \cdot (f, \omega_1, \omega_2) \;=\; \big(g \circ f \circ g^{-1},\, g(\omega_1),\, g(\omega_2)\big)\,.$$

The quotient space of $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ under this action will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$, and called the *critically marked moduli space*. Following Rees [R3], we will show that this moduli space is a smooth complex manifold except at one singular point, corresponding to the special map

$$f(z) \;=\; 1/z^2\,. \tag{10}$$

To understand this space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ it is convenient to use the normal form $(f, 0, \infty)$ where

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{\alpha z^2 + \beta}{\gamma z^2 + \delta} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1\,, \tag{11}$$

so that the two marked critical points are zero and infinity respectively. (Compare Appendix C.) Note that maps in this form satisfy $f(z) = f(z')$ if and only if $z' = \pm z$. It follows that the Julia set $J(f)$ is invariant under the involution $z \leftrightarrow -z$.

This normal form is unique except for the scale change which replaces $f(z)$ by $f(\lambda^2 z)/\lambda^2$. This acts on the unimodular matrix of coefficients by the transformation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\lambda & \beta/\lambda^3 \\ \gamma\lambda^3 & \delta/\lambda \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{for any} \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\} . \tag{12}$$

(Note that we can change the signs of all coefficients by taking $\lambda = -1$.) It is easy to check that this action of the group $\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$ on the manifold $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ of complex unimodular matrices is free with a single exception: The cube roots of unity act trivially on the orbit $\alpha = \delta = 0$, which corresponds to the special mapping (10).

We can introduce three expressions which are invariant under this action (12) by the formulas

$$A = \alpha\delta = 1 + \beta\gamma , \qquad B = \alpha^3\beta , \qquad C = \gamma\delta^3 . \tag{13}$$

It seems difficult to interpret these quantities geometrically, but they are quite convenient to work with.

Lemma 6.1. *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ for critically marked quadratic rational maps can be identified with the hypersurface W consisting of all triples $(A,B,C) \in \mathbf{C}^3$ which satisfy the equation*

$$A^3(A-1) \; = \; BC . \tag{14}$$

This algebraic surface is non-singular except at the point $A = B = C = 0$, corresponding to the mapping (10), where it has an essential singularity. The deck transformation $(f\,,\,\omega_1\,,\,\omega_2) \leftrightarrow (f\,,\,\omega_2\,,\,\omega_1)$ of $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ over $\mathcal{M}_2$, which interchanges the two critical points, corresponds to the map

$$(A,B,C) \;\leftrightarrow\; (A,C,B) .$$

Proof. It is clear that these quantities A, B, C are indeed invariant under (12), and that they satisfy the relation (14). Conversely, given A, B, C satisfying (14) then we can find α, β, γ, δ satisfying (13), unique up to the action of $\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$, as follows. If $B \neq 0$ or $A \neq 0$, then we can set $\alpha = 1$, and solve uniquely for $\beta = B$, $\delta = A$ and either $\gamma = (A-1)/B$ or $\gamma = C/A^3$. The case $C \neq 0$ is similar, and the case $A = B = C = 0$ reduces to the mapping (10). Finally, note that the conjugacy which replaces $f(z)$ by

$$\frac{1}{f(1/z)} \;=\; \frac{\delta z + \gamma}{\beta z + \alpha}$$

interchanges the roles of the two critical points, and interchanges the invariants B , C . □

Remark 6.2: Singularities. In order to understand the singularity of the hypersurface (14) at the origin, it is convenient to make the following. **Definition.** A surface in $\mathbf{C}^3$ has a singularity of *type* (p,q,r) if it can be reduced to the form $z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^r = 0$ by a local holomorphic change of variable, where p , q , $r > 1$. Such a point is indeed always singular. In fact the surface is locally homeomorphic to the cone over a 3-manifold with non-trivial fundamental group. (See for example [M1].) In particular, for a singularity of type $(2,2,r)$ this fundamental group is cyclic of order r . Similarly, we will say that a curve in $\mathbf{C}^2$ has a singularity of *type* (p,q) if it can be reduced to the form $z_1^p + z_2^q = 0$.

Clearly the hypersurface (14) has a singularity of type $(2,2,3)$ at the origin. Hence a neighborhood of the origin is homeomorphic to the cone over a 3-dimensional lens space which has fundamental group of order 3. We can resolve this singularity locally by passing to a 3-sheeted covering space which is ramified at this single singular point. (Compare 6.6.)

Remark 6.3: $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$. Lemma 6.1 provides a quite different proof that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^2$. Evidently we can obtain $\mathcal{M}_2$ from the algebraic surface (14) by identifying each triple (A,B,C) with (A,C,B) . Let us introduce the sum $\Sigma = B + C$, which is invariant under this involution. Given any pair $(A,\Sigma) \in \mathbf{C}^2$, we can solve the equations $A^3(A-1) = BC$ and $\Sigma = B + C$ uniquely for the unordered pair $\{B,C\}$. This the quotient surface is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^2$, with coordinates A and Σ . (However, these new coordinates are not compatible with the compactification introduced in §4.) Of course this proof immediately raises a question: How are these new coordinates $(A,\,\Sigma)$ related to the coordinates $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$ of §3? This question will be answered in Appendix C.

Remark 6.4: $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ as 2-sheeted covering. Evidently the critically marked moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm} \cong W$ can be considered as a 2-sheeted ramified covering space of $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$. Evidently the covering map is ramified precisely over the symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}$ of §5. For there exists an automorphism of f interchanging the two critical points if and only if $\langle f\rangle \in \mathcal{S}$. The ramification locus or symmetry locus corresponds to the set of (A,B,C) in the hypersurface W which satisfy $B = C = \Sigma/2$, and hence are invariant under the involution $B \leftrightarrow C$. In terms of the coordinates $(A\,,\,\Sigma)$ on $\mathcal{M}_2$, this locus can be described by the 4-th degree[1] equation

$$4A^3(A-1) \;=\; \Sigma^2\;. \tag{15}$$

As noted already in §5, this locus $\mathcal{S}$ can be described geometrically as a curve of genus zero in $\mathbf{C}^2$ with a single cusp point at the origin.

Remark 6.5: Homotopy type. This critically marked moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ has the homotopy type of the 2-sphere. In fact the correspondence

$$\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{bmatrix} \;\mapsto\; \frac{\alpha^3}{\gamma} \;=\; \frac{B}{A-1} \;=\; \frac{A^3}{C}$$

is a smooth map from $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ onto the Riemann sphere $S^2 = \mathbf{C}\cup\infty$ with the property that the inverse image of any point is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}$. (This map is of course not a fibration: local triviality fails about the singular point $A = B = C = 0$, which maps to 0 .) The topological 2-sphere

$$0 \le A \le 1\,,\quad |B| = \sqrt{A^3(1-A)}\,,\quad C = -\bar{B}$$

is embedded in $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ as a deformation retract, and maps homeomorphically onto $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$. (This 2-sphere provides a natural example of a "teardrop orbifold", which is simply-connected but has one point with non-trivial orbifold structure.)

[1] Thus $\mathcal{S}$ is a 4-th degree curve in terms of the coordinates $(A,\,\Sigma)$ for $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^2$, but a cubic curve in terms of the more natural coordinates $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$.

Marked Fixed Points.

Instead of numbering the critical points of a rational map f, we can equally well number the fixed points. Let $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ be the space of ***fixed point marked*** quadratic rational maps, that is ordered 4-tuples (f, z_1, z_2, z_3) where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in S^2$ are the fixed points of f. Here a double (or triple) fixed point is to be listed twice (respectively three times). Let $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ be the quotient of this space by the group Rat_1, acting by conjugation. Indeed we can go further and mark *both* the fixed points and the critical points, thus producing a space $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ of ***totally marked*** rational maps $(f, z_1, z_2, z_3, \omega_1, \omega_2)$, with quotient moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$.

Lemma 6.6. *The space* $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ *is a smooth complex 5-manifold, and* $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ *is an unramified 2-fold covering manifold of it. The action of* Rat_1 *on* $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ *by conjugation is free, so that the quotient space* $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ *under this action is a smooth complex 2-manifold. However, the action of* Rat_1 *on* $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ *has one non-free orbit, hence the moduli space* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{fm}}$ *has one singular point. It corresponds to the conjugacy class of the map* $z \mapsto z + z^{-1}$ *which has just one triple fixed point.*

Proof. To see that the space $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ of totally marked maps is a smooth complex 5-manifold, we will show that it can be identified with an open subset of the product $S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2$. More precisely, we show that a point

$$(f, z_1, z_2, z_3, \omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$$

is uniquely determined by its 5-tuple $(z_1, z_2, z_3, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ of fixed and critical points, and that a given 5-tuple actually occurs if and only if:

(a) $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$, and

(b) for each $i \neq j$ the cross-ratio

$$\frac{(z_i - \omega_1)(z_j - \omega_2)}{(z_j - \omega_1)(z_i - \omega_2)} \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$$

is well defined and different from -1.

As in (11), it is convenient to consider the special case $\omega_1 = 0$, $\omega_2 = \infty$, so that $f(z) = (\alpha z^2 + \beta)/(\gamma z^2 + \delta)$. With this choice of critical points, Condition (b) simply says that $z_i \neq -z_j$. In fact the two points z_i and $-z_i$ cannot be distinct and both fixed since they have the same image under f, and we cannot have $z_i = z_j \in \{0, \infty\}$, since a critical fixed point cannot also be a double fixed point. The fixed points of f are the roots of the equation $\gamma z^3 - \alpha z^2 + \delta z - \beta = 0$. (Here, as usual, a fixed point at infinity corresponds to a polynomial equation of reduced degree.) Evidently the set of roots determines and is determined by the point $(\alpha : \beta : \gamma : \delta) \in \mathbf{CP}^3$, which is subject only to the determinant inequality $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$. Expressing these coefficients in terms of the fixed points, a brief computation show that this determinant inequality is equivalent to Condition (b).

Thus $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ is a smooth complex 5-manifold. Since $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ is the quotient space of $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ by the fixed point free holomorphic involution

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, \omega_1, \omega_2) \leftrightarrow (z_1, z_2, z_3, \omega_2, \omega_1),$$

it follows that $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ is also a smooth complex manifold. Similarly, since the 5-tuple $(z_1\,,\,z_2\,,\,z_3\,,\,\omega_1\,,\,\omega_2)$ must contain at least three distinct points, the action of Rat_1 on $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ by conjugation is necessarily free, and it follows that the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ is a smooth complex manifold.

On the other hand, the action of Rat_1 on $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ is not free: If $f(z) = z + z^{-1}$ with just one triple fixed point at infinity, then the involution $z \mapsto -z$ in Rat_1 acts trivially on the point $(f\,,\,\infty\,,\,\infty\,,\,\infty) \in \mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$. In fact, it follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 that the moduli space $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ can be identified with the hypersurface consisting of all points $(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\mu_3) \in \mathbf{C}^3$ satisfying the polynomial equation

$$\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) + 2 \;=\; 0\,.$$

It is easy to check that this surface has a singular point of type $(2,2,2)$ at the point $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$, corresponding to a map with a triple fixed point. (Compare 6.2.) □

To summarize, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}} & \xrightarrow{6} & \mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{cm}} \\ {\scriptstyle 2}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle 2}\downarrow \\ \mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}} & \xrightarrow{6} & \mathrm{Rat}_2 \end{array}$$

of holomorphic maps, where the two vertical maps are unramified 2-sheeted coverings. The horizontal maps are 6-sheeted coverings, ramified along the double fixed point locus $\prod(\mu_i - 1) = 0$, and with a more complicated ramification along the triple fixed point orbit $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$. Similarly there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}} & \xrightarrow{6} & \mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}} \\ {\scriptstyle 2}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle 2}\downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{fm}} & \xrightarrow{6} & \mathcal{M}_2 \end{array}$$

of holomorphic maps, where now all four maps have ramification points. However, the projection $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}} \to \mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ ramifies only at the singular point $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$, so that $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ can be considered as a desingularization of $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$. Similarly, the projection $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}} \to \mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$ has an isolated ramification point at the unique singular point $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = -2$, so that it can be considered at least locally as a desingularization.

Remark 6.7: Topology. I know almost nothing about the homology or homotopy of the spaces $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ and $\mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{fm}}$, or about the fiber bundle $\mathrm{Rat}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rat}_2^{\mathrm{tm}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{tm}}$. Any information would be appreciated.

Remark 6.8: One marked fixed point. Sometimes it is convenient to consider maps with just one distinguished fixed point. (Compare (23) in Appendix C.) The multiplier μ at this fixed point is then an invariant, and the product τ of the multipliers at the other two fixed points is also an invariant. The pair $(\mu\,,\tau) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ determines the map up to conjugacy. For we can solve for $\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + 2 = \mu\tau + 2$, and the sum of the multipliers at the other two fixed points is equal to $\sigma_1 - \mu = \mu\tau + 2 - \mu$. We can easily solve for $\sigma_2 = \mu(\mu\tau + 2 - \mu) + \tau$. Thus τ is an affine parameter along the line $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$.

Remark 6.9. Marked cubic polynomials. There is a completely analogous concept of markings for cubic polynomial maps. (Compare 3.2.) A cubic polynomial map is uniquely determined by its fixed points z_i and its critical points ω_j, which are subject only to the equality $(z_1 + z_2 + z_3)/3 = (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$ of barycenters, and the inequality

$$z_1\, z_2 + z_1\, z_3 + z_2\, z_3 \neq 3\omega_1\, \omega_2 \ .$$

(The equality $z_1\, z_2 + z_1\, z_3 + z_2\, z_3 = 3\,\omega_1\, \omega_2$, together with the equality of barycenters, would characterize triples $\{z_1\,,\, z_2\,,\, z_3\}$ which have a common image under every cubic map with critical points $\{\omega_1\,,\, \omega_2\}$.) From this description, it is not difficult to check that the space of all totally marked cubic polynomial maps is a manifold having the homotopy type of the non-orientable 2-sphere bundle over a circle. The corresponding moduli space, consisting of conjugacy classes of totally marked cubic polynomial maps, is a complex manifold which can be identified with the complement of a quadratic curve in $\mathbf{CP}^2$. This moduli space has the homotopy type of $\mathbf{RP}^2$.

These descriptions seem rather complicated. In fact, in the polynomial case it is usually much more convenient to work with *monic centered polynomials*, or sometimes with *critically marked monic centered polynomials*, rather than getting into the complications of a fixed point marking. However, for quadratic rational maps there does not seem to be any correspondingly convenient normal form.

§7. Hyperbolic Julia Sets and Hyperbolic Components in Moduli Space.

This will be a brief description of results due to Rees and Tan Lei. Recall that a rational map is *hyperbolic* (ie., hyperbolic on its Julia set) if and only if the orbit of every critical point converges to some attracting periodic orbit. The hyperbolic maps form an open subset of moduli space, and the connected components of this open set are called *hyperbolic components*. Rees works with the critically marked moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$. (Compare §6.) However we can work equally well with the unmarked moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$ of §3, or with the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm fm}$ or $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm tm}$ of §6. She shows that the hyperbolic components can be divided into four classes, as follows. (The names are my own.)

Type B: Bitransitive. Each of the two critical points belongs to the immediate basin[1] of some attracting periodic point, where these two periodic points are distinct but belong to the same orbit. Evidently the period must be two or more.

Type C: Capture[2] . Only one critical point belongs to the immediate basin of a periodic point, but the orbit of the other critical point eventually falls into this immediate basin. Again the period must be two or more. (Compare 8.2 below.)

Type D: Disjoint attractors. The two critical points belong to the attracting basins for two disjoint attracting periodic orbits. One particularly interesting and important class of examples are those obtained by *mating* two quadratic polynomial maps. (Compare the discussion below.)

Type E: Escape. Both critical orbits converge to the same attracting fixed point. It follows that the Julia set is totally disconnected. To fix our ideas, we will take this fixed point to be the point at infinity, and say that both critical orbits "escape" to infinity. There is just one such hyperbolic component. It will be discussed in §8.

For an analogous discussion of polynomial maps, see [M3], [M4].

There are infinitely many hyperbolic components of each of the types B, C and D, and they share many similarities. For every hyperbolic map f of type B, C or D, the Julia set $J(f)$ is connected and locally connected. (Compare 7.1 and 8.2 below.) It follows that each component of its complement $\hat{\mathbf{C}}\smallsetminus J(f)$ is conformally isomorphic to the unit disk. Following McMullen, Rees shows that each hyperbolic component of type B, C or D contains precisely one map f_0, called its "center point", which is *post-critically finite.* (That is, the orbit of each critical point under f_0 is periodic or eventually periodic.) Furthermore, with one trivial exception, she shows that each hyperbolic component of type B, C or D is a topological 4-cell. The unique exception is the hyperbolic component $H_*^{\rm cm} \subset \mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ of type B which is centered at the unique singular point $\langle z \mapsto 1/z^2 \rangle$ of the space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$. Even in this exceptional case, we can get rid of the singularity and obtain

[1] The *immediate basin* of an attracting periodic point $z_0 = f^{\circ n}(z_0)$ is the component of z_0 in the open set consisting of all points whose orbit under $f^{\circ n}$ converges to z_0, or equivalently the component of z_0 in the Fatou set $\hat{\mathbf{C}}\smallsetminus J(f)$.

[2] I am told that the word "capture" is used in a quite different sense, as a construction for passing from quadratic polynomial maps to quadratic rational maps, in the thesis of B. Wittner [W]. Unfortunately, I have not been able to get a copy of this important work.



Figure 2. Type B: Julia set for $z \mapsto 1 - 1/z^2$ *, with both critical points in the period three orbit* $0 \mapsto \infty \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$ *. The fixed points are* d *,* e *,* $\bar{e}$ *, and the other period three orbit is* $a \mapsto b \mapsto c \mapsto a$ *.*



Figure 3. Type C: Julia set for the map $z \mapsto a + 1/(z^2 - a^2)$ *with* $a \approx .7467 + .2286\, i$ *. Here the periodic critical orbit* $\infty \leftrightarrow a$ *captures the orbit* $0 \mapsto b \mapsto c \mapsto -a \mapsto \infty$ *of the other critical point.*

a topological cell simply by working in one of the other versions of moduli space. In fact the corresponding hyperbolic component $H_* \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ is a topological cell, and its 6-sheeted ramified covering $H_*^{\rm fm} \subset \mathcal{M}_2^{\rm fm}$ is also a topological cell. The corresponding set in $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm tm}$ is a disjoint union of two copies of $H_*^{\rm fm}$.



Figure 4. Type D: Julia set for the map $z \mapsto a + 1/(z^2 - a^2)$ with $a \approx .8200 + .1446\,i$. (See [Bie].) Here there are two disjoint periodic critical orbits $\infty \leftrightarrow a$ and $0 \mapsto b \mapsto c \mapsto 0$. This example is constructed by mating.

Some representative examples of Julia sets for post-critically finite hyperbolic maps of types B, C, D are shown in Figures 2, 3, 4. (It is probably impossible to distinguish these three types just by looking at the Julia set.) In each case, the critical points have been placed at zero and infinity, so that the symmetry of the Julia set is evident.

Mating. Suppose that we are given two quadratic maps, say $f_a(z) = z^2 + a$ and $f_b(z) = z^2 + b$, both with connected Julia set. It is often possible to paste together the filled Julia sets for f_a and f_b so as to obtain a Riemann sphere which decomposes into two halves, one half with the dynamics of f_a and the other with the dynamics of f_b . (Compare [Ta], [Sh2], [W].) *According to Tan Lei, in the post-critically finite case, this is possible if and only if f_a and f_b do not belong to complex conjugate limbs of the Mandelbrot set.* Evidently, her construction yields many examples of quadratic rational maps with two distinct superattractive cycles. However, not every component of type D can be obtained in this way. Wittner has described a real quadratic map with attracting cycles of period 3 and 4 which cannot be obtained by mating. (Compare Appendix F.)

Quadratic Mating Conjecture: It is conjectured that the mating $f_a \amalg f_b$ can be well defined as an element of $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ which depends continuously on a and b, whenever f_a and f_b do not belong to complex conjugate limbs of the Mandelbrot set M. (For this purpose, it is convenient to say that a map in M belongs to the t-*limb* if it either has a fixed point of multiplier $e^{2\pi it}$, or is separated from the central region of M by the map which has such a fixed point, where t can be *any* angle in $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$.)

One way of trying to construct such a mating can be described as follows. Let $U(f_a\,,\,\epsilon)$ be the neighborhood of the filled Julia set $K(f_a)$ consisting of all points for which the Green's function (canonical potential function) G_a of $K(f_a)$ takes values $G_a(z)<\epsilon$. Let $M=M(f_a\,,\,f_b\,,\,\epsilon)$ be the compact Riemann surface obtained from the disjoint union $U(f_a\,,\,\epsilon)\sqcup U(f_b\,,\,\epsilon)$ by identifying the open subset $U(f_a\,,\,\epsilon)\smallsetminus K(f_a)$ with $U(f_b\,,\,\epsilon)\smallsetminus K(f_b)$ under the correspondence $(G,t)\leftrightarrow(\epsilon-G,-t)$, where G is the Green's function and t is the external angle. By the Uniformization Theorem, M is conformally isomorphic to the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. More explicitly, there is a unique conformal isomorphism which takes the critical points of f_a and f_b to $+1$ and -1 respectively, and takes the point with coordinates $G=\epsilon/2$, $t=0$ to the point at infinity in $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. Now f_a and f_b fit together to yield a holomorphic map from $M(f_a\,,\,f_b\,,\,\epsilon)$ to $M(f_a\,,\,f_b\,,\,2\epsilon)$. Identifying each of these manifolds with $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. This yields a holomorphic map from $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ to itself which has critical points at ± 1 and a fixed point at infinity. Thus it is a quadratic rational map of the form $\amalg(f_a\,,\,f_b\,,\,\epsilon)\;:\;w\;\mapsto\;(w+w^{-1}+c)/\lambda$, where λ is the multiplier at infinity. (Compare (23) in Appendix C.) If the coefficients c and λ tend to well defined finite limits as $\epsilon\to 0$, then the limiting rational map may be called the *mating* $f_a \amalg f_b$. (For other, more standard, forms of the definition, see [Sh2], [Ta], [Bie].)

Common Fatou Boundary Points. In the examples of Julia sets which are illustrated above, there are many pairs of Fatou components which have a common boundary point, or (in Figure 2) even a Cantor set of common boundary points. However, this need not be the case. Appendix F, written in collaboration with Tan Lei, describes an example of a hyperbolic map for which no two Fatou components have a common boundary point. The corresponding Julia set is a "Sierpinski carpet".

A Compactness Question. How can one decide whether some given hyperbolic component has compact closure within the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2\cong\mathbf{C}^2$, or whether it is unbounded? Any hyperbolic component with an attracting fixed point is certainly unbounded, and Figures 7, 9, 10, 16, 17 show many other examples of unbounded components. On the other hand, consider a component obtained by mating two hyperbolic components of period ≥ 2 of the Mandelbrot set. If the Quadratic Mating Conjecture is true, then it is easy to show that such a component has compact closure, canonically homeomorphic to $\bar{D}\times\bar{D}$ (at least in the critically marked case). McMullen [Mc] has conjectured that whenever a degree d Julia set $J(f)$ is a Sierpinski carpet (Appendix F) the corresponding hyperbolic component in $\mathcal{M}_d$ has compact closure.

To conclude this section, we prove the following.

Lemma 7.1. *If the Julia set of a hyperbolic map is connected, then it is locally connected.*

Proof outline (suggested by Douady). It suffices to consider the post-critically finite case. For according to [Mc] every hyperbolic map with connected Julia set can be deformed through hyperbolic maps to a post-critically finite one, and according to [MSS] or [Ly] the topology of the Julia set, and the isotopy class of its embedding into $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ does not change under such a deformation. In the case of a periodic Fatou component U, every internal ray from the super-attracting point lands at a well defined boundary point, which depends continuously on the initial angle. (Compare the argument in [DH2, p. 24].) Since the continuous image of a locally connected space is locally connected, it follows that the boundary of U is not only connected but also locally connected. Since every Fatou component is eventually periodic ([Su]), it follows that: *the boundary of every Fatou component is connected and locally connected.* Let $V \subset \hat{\mathbf{C}}$ be the complement of the post-critical set for f. We will use the Poincaré metric on V, and on its universal covering manifold $\widetilde{V}$. Note that f^{-1} lifts to a well defined contracting map $\widetilde{f^{-1}}$ on $\widetilde{V}$. Each Fatou component U which contains no post-critical point lifts homeomorphically to a subset $U' \subset \widetilde{V}$, and $\widetilde{f^{-1}}$ maps U' to a set of strictly smaller diameter in the Poincaré metric. In fact a straightforward compactness argument shows that the diameter shrinks by a factor bounded away from 1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, it follows that there are only finitely many Fatou components of diameter $> \epsilon$.* From this, it follows easily that J is locally connected. In fact, if two points of J are close to each other, then we can find a connected subset of J of small diameter containing both points as follows. Draw a straight line segment between them, and replace its intersection with each Fatou component U with the entire boundary of U if U is small, or with a suitable small connected subset of ∂U otherwise. □

§8. The "Escape Locus": Totally Disconnected Julia Sets.

First a lemma above rational maps of arbitrary degree, to be proved in Appendix E.

Lemma 8.1. *If all of the critical values of a rational map are contained in a single component of the Fatou set $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus J$, then the Julia set J is totally disconnected. Every orbit in the Fatou set converges either to an attracting fixed point, or to a parabolic fixed point of multiplicity precisely equal to two.*

Here the multiplicity $m \geq 1$ of a (finite) fixed point $f(z_0) = z_0$ is defined to be the degree of the first non-zero term in the Taylor expansion about z_0,

$$f(z) - z \;=\; \alpha(z - z_0)^m + (\text{higher terms}) \;, \qquad \text{with} \quad \alpha \neq 0 \;.$$

In the quadratic case, there is an explicit dichotomy, and an effective criterion. See Yin [Y] and Makienko [Mak], as well as [Pr1], [R3].

Lemma 8.2 *The Julia set J of a quadratic rational map is either connected, or totally disconnected and homeomorphic, with its dynamics, to the one-sided shift on two symbols. It is totally disconnected if and only if either:*

(a) both critical orbits converge to a common attracting fixed point, or

(b) both critical orbits converge to a common fixed point of multiplicity two but neither critical orbit actually lands on this point.

The proof will be given at the end of this section.

8.3. Quadratic Examples. For the map $f(z) = z + 2 + z^{-1}$, one critical orbit $1 \mapsto 4 \mapsto 6.25 \mapsto \cdots$ converges to the parabolic fixed point at infinity, while the other critical orbit $-1 \mapsto 0 \mapsto \infty$ actually lands at this fixed point. Thus the Julia set is connected. In fact $J(f)$ is the interval $[-\infty, 0]$. For $f(z) = \pm(z + z^{-1})$, both critical orbits $\pm 1 \mapsto \pm 2 \mapsto \pm 2.5 \mapsto \cdots$ converge to the parabolic point at infinity. Since the multiplicity of the fixed point at infinity is either three (if the multiplier is $+1$) or one (if the multiplier is -1), the Julia set is again connected. It equals the imaginary axis plus the point at infinity. On the other hand, for $z \mapsto z + c + z^{-1}$ with $c > 2$, the Julia set is totally disconnected.

8.4. Cubic Examples. The situation for maps of higher degree is more complicated. The rational map $z \mapsto 2 + 2z^3/(27(2-z))$ has connected Julia set, although the critical points $0, 0, 3, \infty$ are all contained in an orbit $3 \mapsto 0 \mapsto 2 \mapsto \infty$, which lands at a superattracting fixed point. The polynomial map $f(z) = z^3 - 12z + 12$ has a Cantor set, contained in the interval $[-4\,,\,3]$, as Julia set, although the critical point $+2$ belongs to this Julia set. The Julia set for the map $z \mapsto \frac{5}{36}(z-3)^2(z+4)$ is disconnected, but contains the connected interval $[0\,,\,5]$. For a thorough analysis of such polynomial examples, see [BH].

The escape locus. There is just one hyperbolic component of type E in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$. We will call it the ***hyperbolic escape locus***, and denote it by $E \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. (Perhaps a better term would be "shift locus" or "totally disconnected locus" ?) If we work with critically marked conjugacy classes, there is a corresponding unique component $E^{\rm cm} \subset \mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$. This escape component is very different from the other hyperbolic components. For a map f of type E, the Julia set $J(f)$ is a Cantor set, and its complement is a connected open set of infinite connectivity. Such a map can never be post-critically finite, so this hyperbolic component E does not have any preferred center point.

Here is a well known collection of examples. Consider the one-parameter family of quadratic polynomials $z \mapsto z^2 + c$, which can be identified with the one-dimensional slice $\sigma_3 = 0$ through the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$. The intersection of this family with the escape locus E is precisely the complement of the ***Mandelbrot set***. This complement is conformally isomorphic to $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D}$, with free cyclic fundamental group. The corresponding escape locus for polynomials of higher degree has been studied by Blanchard, Devaney and Keen, who show that it has a very rich fundamental group.

Using the critically marked moduli space, Rees shows that the escape locus $E^{\rm cm}$ has a rather complicated topology. In particular, her description implies that $E^{\rm cm}$ has the homotopy type of a Klein bottle, and hence has non-abelian fundamental group. However, the unmarked escape locus $E \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ has a much simpler description.

> **Lemma 8.5.** *The hyperbolic escape locus $E \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ is homeomorphic to the product $D \times (\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D})$, where D is the open unit disk.*

More explicitly, if $\mu = \mu(f) \in D$ is the multiplier at the unique attracting fixed point of f, we will show that the correspondence $\langle f \rangle \mapsto \mu(f)$ yields a fibration of E over D, with fiber E_μ homeomorphic to $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D}$ (or equivalently to $D \smallsetminus \{0\}$). As in 6.8, it will be convenient to work with the coordinates (μ,τ) , where μ is the multiplier at the preferred (attracting) fixed point and τ is the product of the multipliers at the other two (repelling) fixed points. Thus each coordinate line $\mu = \text{constant} \in D$ in the (μ,τ)-plane corresponds to a straight line $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$, consisting of all conjugacy classes of maps which have an attracting fixed point of multiplier μ . (Compare §3. These various straight lines $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ intersect each other within $\mathcal{M}_2$, but not within the escape locus, since for $\langle f\rangle \in E$ the map f has only one attracting fixed point.)

The proof will be based on Goldberg and Keen [GK]. For each fixed $\mu \in D$, Goldberg and Keen show that the complement M_μ of the escape locus in $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu) \cong \mathbf{C}$ is canonically homeomorphic to the Mandelbrot set $M = M_0$. (This statement is quite likely true even in the limiting case $\mu = 1$; compare Figure 5.) They prove this as an application of the theory of polynomial-like mappings (Douady and Hubbard [DH3]). For $\langle f\rangle \in M_\mu$ the map f restricted to a suitable neighborhood of its Julia set is polynomial-like of degree two with connected Julia set, and hence is hybrid-equivalent to a unique conjugacy class in M_0 . Furthermore, by [DH3, §7] the resulting map from $\bigcup_\mu M_\mu \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ to M_0 is continuous.

Caution: This statement is formulated rather differently in [GK], since the authors work with marked critical points and hence study a 2-fold branched covering space $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)^{\mathrm{cm}}$, which contains two disjoint copies of the Mandelbrot set for $\mu \neq 0$. Note also that some notations (such as Rat_2) which are used in [GK] are incompatible with the notations used here.

It follows from the Riemann Mapping Theorem that the complement

$$E_\mu \;=\; \mathrm{Per}_1(\mu) \cap E \;\cong\; \mathbf{C} \smallsetminus M_\mu$$

is conformally isomorphic to the region $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D}$. In fact we can choose a canonical conformal isomorphism

$$\psi_\mu : \mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D} \;\to\; \mathbf{C} \smallsetminus M_\mu \;,$$

normalized so that the multiplier at infinity, $\lambda(\mu) = 1/\lim_{z\to\infty} \psi'_\mu(z)$ is real and positive. We must show that ψ_μ depends continuously on μ , using the topology of locally uniform convergence, so that the correspondence

$$(\mu\,,\,z) \;\mapsto\; (\mu\,,\,\psi_\mu(z)) \;\in\; \mu \times E_\mu$$

yields the required homeomorphism between $D \times (\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D})$ and the escape locus E .

Note that this multiplier $\lambda(\mu)$ provides an invariant measure of the "size" of the open set $E_\mu = \psi_\mu(\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D})$. More generally, if $U_1 \subset U_2$ are simply-connected neighborhoods of infinity in $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ and if λ_1 and λ_2 are the multipliers at infinity of the corresponding Riemann maps $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D} \to U_i$, then it follows easily from the Schwarz Lemma that $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2$, with equality if and only if $U_1 = U_2$.

If this correspondence $\mu \mapsto \psi_\mu$ were not continuous, then we could choose a sequence of points $\mu_i \in D$ converging to a limit $\hat{\mu} \in D$ so that the corresponding sequence of functions ψ_{μ_i} on $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \bar{D}$ did not converge locally uniformly to $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}$. However, since the ψ_{μ_i} belong to a normal family, we may assume after passing to a subsequence that these functions do converge locally uniformly to some univalent limit $\hat{\psi} \neq \psi_{\hat{\mu}}$. It is not hard to check that the image $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\bar{D})$ must be a proper subset of the open set $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}(\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\bar{D})$. Therefore, as noted above, the multiplier $\hat{\lambda}$ of $\hat{\psi}$ at infinity must be strictly less than the multiplier $\lambda(\hat{\mu})$ of $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}$, say $\hat{\lambda} < \lambda(\hat{\mu})/(1+\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon > 0$. Now the circle of radius $1+\epsilon$ in $\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\bar{D}$ corresponds under $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}$ to a loop which encloses a small neighborhood of the compact set $M_{\hat{\mu}}$. For μ_i sufficiently close to $\hat{\mu}$ the compact set M_{μ_i} must be contained in this neighborhood, and it follows easily that

$$\lambda(\mu_i) \;\geq\; \lambda(\hat{\mu})/(1+\epsilon)\ .$$

Passing to the locally uniform limit as $i \to \infty$, since $\lambda(\mu_i) \to \hat{\lambda}$, we obtain a contradiction. □

Remark 8.6: The escape locus in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$. If we work with the compactified moduli space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ of §4, then the appropriate "hyperbolic escape locus" $E^* \subset \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is a topological 4-cell with a preferred center point, just like all other hyperbolic components. In fact, let E^* consist of E together with the 2-cell consisting of all ideal points $\{\mu\,,\,\mu^{-1}\,,\,\infty\}$ for which $|\mu| < 1$. Then E^* fibers over the open disk with an open disk as fiber; and each fiber contains one and only one ideal point. By definition, the "center" of this filled in component is the ideal point $\langle 0\,,\,\infty\,,\,\infty\rangle$. This center point can perhaps be identified with the improper map $\langle z \mapsto z^2 + \infty\rangle$, or with the limit of a sequence of quadratic maps "tending" to a constant map.

Next let us study the escape locus $E^{\rm cm} \subset \mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$, with marked critical points. We will prove the following. (For a more precise description of $E^{\rm cm}$, see [R3].)

Lemma 8.7. The critically marked escape locus $E^{\rm cm}$ contains a Klein bottle as retract. Hence the fundamental group of $E^{\rm cm}$ is non-abelian.

This space $E^{\rm cm}$ can be described as a 2-fold branched covering of E, branched along the symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}\cap E$, which consists of all pairs $(\mu\,,\,\tau)$ with $\mu \in D\smallsetminus\{0\}$ and $\tau = (\frac{2-\mu}{\mu})^2$. However, it seems somewhat easier to take a different approach. We will first work with fixed point markings, and describe the corresponding escape locus $E^{\rm fm} \subset \mathcal{M}_2^{\rm fm}$. Recall that a point in $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm fm}$ is specified by a 3-tuple $(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\mu_3)$ satisfying the cubic equation (1). For a map in the escape locus, one of the three fixed points must be attracting and the other two must be repelling. Thus $E^{\rm fm}$ actually splits into three distinct components, depending on which of the three marked fixed points is attracting. To fix our ideas, suppose that $|\mu_1|, |\mu_2| > 1 > |\mu_3|$. We will take the pair $(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2) \in (\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\bar{D}) \times (\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\bar{D})$ as independent parameters, solving for μ_3 by equation (2). Of course not every such pair determines a map in the escape locus, or even a map with $|\mu_3| < 1$. We first prove the following.

Lemma 8.8. *If $|\mu_1| > 6$ and $|\mu_2| > 6$, then the associated map f belongs to the escape locus.*

This estimate is probably far from sharp. (The largest $|\mu_1|$ and $|\mu_2|$ which I know, for f outside the escape locus, is given by $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = -3$, for $f(z) = -(z + z^{-1})$.)

Proof of 8.8. We will use the normal form

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{z\,(z+\mu_1)}{\mu_2\,z+1} \;=\; \frac{\mu_1+z}{\mu_2+z^{-1}}$$

of equation (3). (Compare Appendix C.) If $|\mu_1|>6$ and $|\mu_2|>6$, then a brief computation shows that f maps the annulus $2/|\mu_2| < |z| < |\mu_1|/2$ into a compact subset of itself. Furthermore, the polynomial $\mu_2\,z^2+2z+\mu_1$, whose roots are the critical points of f, is strictly non-zero outside of this annulus, hence both critical points are contained in the annulus. Using the Poincaré metric for this annulus, we see that both critical orbits must converge to a common attracting fixed point. The conclusion then follows by 8.2. □

Proof of 8.7. We can now easily construct a mapping from our preferred component of $E^{\rm fm}$ to the torus by the correspondence

$$(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2) \;\mapsto\; (\arg(\mu_1)\,,\,\arg(\mu_2))\;.$$

The subset $|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=7$ maps homeomorphically to the torus, and hence is embedded in $E^{\rm fm}$ as a retract.

Now let us also mark the critical points, and hence pass to the 2-sheeted covering manifold $E^{\rm tm}$. (The single ramification point for the map $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm tm}\to\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm fm}$ does not belong to the escape locus, and hence causes no difficulty.) It is not difficult to show that a choice of critical point for f is equivalent to a choice of sign for $\pm\sqrt{1-\mu_1\mu_2}$. (Compare the discussion following (22) in Appendix C.) Since the ratio $(1-\mu_1\mu_2)/(\mu_1\mu_2)$ always lies in the left half-plane when $|\mu_1\mu_2|>1$, this is equivalent to making a choice of sign for the geometric mean $\eta=\pm\sqrt{\mu_1\mu_2}$. In other words, our component of $E^{\rm tm}$ can be identified with an open subset of the manifold consisting of all $(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\eta)\in(\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\bar{D})^3$ for which $\eta^2=\mu_1\mu_2$. In particular, we obtain an explicit retraction onto the torus consisting of all

$$(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\eta)\;\in\;\mathbf{C}^3 \quad\text{for which}\quad |\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=|\eta|=7 \quad\text{and}\quad \eta^2=\mu_1\mu_2\;. \tag{16}$$

In order to obtain the hyperbolic component $E^{\rm cm}$, as described by Rees, we must pass to a quotient space by identifying under the involution of $E^{\rm tm}$ which interchanges the role of the two repelling fixed points. A brief computation shows that this corresponds to the fixed point free involution

$$(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2\,,\,\eta) \;\leftrightarrow\; (\mu_2\,,\,\mu_1\,,\,-\eta)\;.$$

The quotient space $E^{\rm cm}$ is still a smooth manifold. If we collapse the torus (18) under this involution, we obtain a Klein bottle. Thus this argument proves that $E^{\rm cm}$ contains a Klein bottle as retract, and hence that $\pi_1(E^{\rm cm})$ retracts onto the fundamental group of a Klein bottle. □

(If we try to carry out the same argument for the unmarked escape locus $E\subset\mathcal{M}_2$, then we must simply work with the torus $|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=7$ and the orientation reversing involution $(\mu_1\,,\,\mu_2)\mapsto(\mu_2\,,\,\mu_1)$. In this case, the quotient space is a Möbius band, which has the homotopy type of a circle. Thus we could conclude only that E contains a circle as retract.)

Recall that $\mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ has the homotopy type of a 2-sphere. It is conjectured that the inclusion map $E^{\rm cm} \to \mathcal{M}_2^{\rm cm}$ is homologically non-trivial, or more explicitly that it induces an isomorphism of 2-dimensional homology with mod 2 coefficients.

Proof of 8.2. To conclude this section, let us prove that every quadratic Julia set is either connected or totally disconnected. Note that J is connected if and only if every component of the Fatou set $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus J$ is simply-connected. According to Sullivan, every component of $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus J$ is eventually periodic, and every periodic component is either a Siegel disk, a Herman ring, or an immediate basin for some attracting or parabolic point. According to Shishikura [Sh1], there are no Herman rings in the quadratic case. We will make frequent use of the fact the a ramified covering of a simply-connected region which has only one ramification point must again be simply-connected.

First suppose that every periodic Fatou component is simply-connected. Any cycle of Fatou components must either contain a critical point (in the attracting or parabolic cases), or have a critical orbit which is dense in its boundary (in the Siegel case). Thus there is at most one critical point left over. It follows inductively that every Fatou component is simply-connected.

Now suppose that some periodic Fatou component is not simply-connected. Evidently it must be an immediate basin for some attracting or parabolic periodic point. Such an immediate basin can be reconstructed from a simply-connected neighborhood of the fixed point in the attracting case, or from a simply-connected petal in the parabolic case, by taking a direct limit of successive ramified coverings, ramified only at the critical values. The result will again be simply-connected, unless both critical points belong to the same connected component. But in that case, it follows from Lemma 8.1 that $J(f)$ is totally disconnected. (Compare [R3] and Appendix E.)

If both critical orbits converge to the same attracting or multiplicity two fixed point, then at least one critical point must belong to the immediate basin U . Hence the map f restricted to U is two-to-one. Since f is quadratic, and since U is fixed under f , this implies that U is fully invariant: $U = f^{-1}(U)$. Hence both critical points belong to U , and again we can apply 8.1.

Finally, we must prove that a totally disconnected J is homeomorphic to a one-sided two-shift. In the hyperbolic case, this is proved in [GK]. The key step is the construction of an embedded disk Δ which contains both critical values and is forward invariant, $f(\Delta) \subset \Delta$. The two components of $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus f^{-1}(\Delta)$ then cover the Julia set, and form the required Bernoulli partition. In the parabolic case, we modify this argument by constructing a simply connected open petal P which contains both critical values and satisfies $f(P) \subset P$. Again the two components of $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus f^{-1}(P)$ cover the Julia set and form the required Bernoulli partition. The proof that a point in the Julia set is uniquely determined by its symbol sequence with respect to this partition is now more delicate. However, since a completely analogous argument is carried out in Appendix E, details will be left to the reader. □

§9. Complex 1-Dimensional Slices.

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$ can be thought of as a kind of table of contents, with each point $\langle f\rangle \in \mathcal{M}_2$ corresponding to a different form of dynamic behavior. Since this space is a complex 2-manifold, it is difficult to visualize all of it it directly. Hence it may be helpful to try to describe what kinds of behavior occur for $\langle f\rangle$ belonging to some 1-dimensional slice through M.

As a first example of a dynamically interesting 1-dimensional slice, suppose that we choose some fixed integer $n \geq 1$ and some fixed complex number μ and consider the curve $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ consisting of all conjugacy classes of maps which possess a periodic point of period n and multiplier μ. (See 3.4, 4.2.) The case $\mu = 0$ is of particular interest. (Compare [R4], [R5], [M3], [M5], and see Figures 7, 9.) Evidently the center point of every hyperbolic component must belong to at least one of these curves $\mathrm{Per}_n(0)$. For any μ in the closed disk $|\mu| \leq 1$, the fact that $\langle f\rangle$ belongs to $\mathrm{Per}_n(\mu)$ imposes very strong restrictions on the dynamics of f. The cases where μ is a root of unity are noteworthy, since these curves contain faces where two or more hyperbolic components of $\mathcal{M}_2$ come together along a common boundary. (Figures 5, 6, 8.)

We can also consider curves for which one critical orbit is eventually periodic, say $f^{\circ t}(\omega_1) = f^{\circ t+n}(\omega_1)$. See Figure 11 for the case $t = 2$, $n = 1$. These curves also contain common boundary faces between two different hyperbolic components. The symmetry locus of §5 is another curve of interest. (Figure 12.)

As a final interesting family of curves, for each integer $t \geq 1$ we can consider all f such that the t-th forward image of one critical point is equal to the other critical point, $f^{\circ t}(\omega_1) = \omega_2$. See Figure 13 for the case $t = 1$. Note that the center point of every hyperbolic component of type B or C must belong to at least one of these curves.

Here is a more detailed discussion of some sample curves.

Period 1. As noted in 3.4, the curves $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ are particularly easy to describe: Each locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ is a straight line of slope $\mu + \mu^{-1}$ in the (σ_1, σ_2)-coordinate plane, given for example by the equation

$$\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu): \qquad \mu^3 - \sigma_1\,\mu^2 + \sigma_2\,\mu + 2 - \sigma_1 \;=\; 0\,.$$

First consider the case $\mu = 0$. Putting the fixed critical point at infinity, we can use the normal form $z \mapsto z^2 + c$. (Here $\sigma_1 = 2$ and $\sigma_2 = 4c$.) The corresponding bifurcation locus[1] in the c-plane is the boundary of the familiar Mandelbrot set. The hyperbolic components which intersect $\mathrm{Per}_1(0)$ all have type D or E. (Remark: If we lift to the critically marked moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{cm}}$, then the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(0)$ lifts to a union of two lines which intersect transversally. In fact, using coordinates A, B, C as in 6.1, the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1^{\mathrm{cm}}(\mu)$ splits as the union of a line $A = B = 0$ for which the first critical point is fixed and a line $A = C = 0$ for which the second critical point is fixed. These two lines

[1] By the *bifurcation locus* for a parametrized family of maps $\{f_a\}$ we mean the closed set consisting of parameter values a for which the associated Julia set $J(f_a)$ does not vary continuously under deformation of a. Compare [MSS], [Ly].



Figure 5. The bifurcation locus in $\mathrm{Per}_1(1)$ *seems to be a homeomorphic copy of the Mandelbrot set boundary, with the cusp point straightened out. Here* $\mathrm{Per}_1(1)$ *is represented as the* $-a^2$*-plane for the family of maps* $f_a(z) = z + a + 1/z$ *, having a double fixed point of multiplier* $+1$ *at infinity, and having critical points at* ± 1 *. (Compare Appendix C.) The other fixed point has multiplier* $1 - a^2$ *, and the period 2 orbit has multiplier* $9 - 4a^2$ *. Curves of the form*

$$\mathrm{Re}(f_a^{\circ n}(\pm 1)/a) - n = \mathrm{constant}$$

for large n *are also shown.*

intersect transversally at the point $A = B = C = 0$, corresponding to the map $z \mapsto z^2$ with both critical points fixed.)

As noted in the proof of 8.5, the bifurcation locus in the line $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ varies by a continuous isotopy as μ varies within the open unit disk. As shown in Figure 5, it even seems to retain the same topology as μ tends non-tangentially to $+1$. On the other hand, as $\mu \to -1$ non-tangentially, the central region of the Mandelbrot set opens out so as to contain a full half-plane, and the entire $1/2$-limb of the Mandelbrot set disappears to infinity. Also, a number of the tentacles of the Mandelbrot set join together, so as to enclose new regions. Compare Figure 6.

Similarly, as μ tends to $e^{-2\pi ip/q}$ non-tangentially, the entire p/q-limb disappears to infinity. The case $p/q = 2/3$ is illustrated in Figure 8. This disappearance is one aspect of Tan Lei's observation that mating between two quadratic polynomials is never possible when the two belong to complex conjugate limbs of the Mandelbrot set.

A more precise statement has recently been given by Petersen [Pe]. If $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ has an attracting fixed point of multiplier $\mu = \mu_1$ and a repelling fixed point with combinatorial rotation number p/q and multiplier μ_2 , then Petersen shows that a suitable choice of $\log(\mu_2)$ is contained in the disk which is tangent to the imaginary axis at $2\pi ip/q$, and which contains $\log(1/\mu_1)$ as boundary point. Hence, as μ_1 tends to $e^{-2\pi ip/q}$ non-tangentially, it follows that μ_2 tends to $e^{2\pi ip/q}$. If $0 < p/q < 1$, then it follows from (2) that the multiplier μ_3 at the third fixed point tends to infinity. *Thus, the entire* p/q*-limb of the Mandelbrot set must be missing in the locus* $\mathrm{Per}_1(e^{-2\pi ip/q})$.



Figure 6. The bifurcation locus in $\mathrm{Per}_1(-1) = \mathrm{Per}_2(1)$ *is a distorted copy of the Mandelbrot set boundary with the* $1/2$*-limb missing (collapsed to the point at infinity). This picture shows part of the* $-a^2$*-plane for the family of maps* $z \mapsto -(z + a + z^{-1})$ *, having a fixed point of multiplier* -1 *. The notation* p/q *indicates that the map also has a fixed point of multiplier* $e^{2\pi i p/q}$ *. (The case* $p/q = 1/2$ *would correspond to the point at infinity.)*



Figure 7. Bifurcation locus in $\mathrm{Per}_2(0)$ *(part of the* $-a^3$*-plane for the family of maps* $z \mapsto a/z + 1/z^2$ *, with a critical point of period 2 at* $z = 0$ *). This appears to be a homeomorphic copy of Figure 6. The types of some of the more conspicuous hyperbolic components are indicated.*



Figure 8. Bifurcation locus in $\mathrm{Per}_1(e^{2\pi i/3})$ *. Here the* $2/3$*-limb of the Mandelbrot set has disappeared to infinity. Again various tentacles of the Mandelbrot set have come together to enclose new regions. Under a slight perturbation, the fixed point of multiplier* $e^{2\pi i/3}$ *will become repelling but split off an attracting period three orbit, yielding hyperbolic components of type B, C, and D, as indicated. (This picture looks rather different from Figure 6 since a different algorithm was used.) The intersection point of this line with the line* $\mathrm{Per}_2(-3)$ *of Figure 10 is indicated by a heavy dot (upper right).*

Period 2. According to Lemma 3.6, the curve $\mathrm{Per}_2(\mu)$ is also a straight line in the $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$-coordinate plane, given by the equation $2\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\mu$. For $\mu=+1$, this locus $\mathrm{Per}_2(1)$ coincides with the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(-1)$ of Figure 6. As μ varies from $+1$ to zero, the right hand region of Figure 6 opens up even further, as shown in Figure 7. There is some difficulty in finding a good normal form in the case $\mu=0$, since the curve $\mathrm{Per}_2(0)$ includes the special point $\langle z\mapsto 1/z^2\rangle$, which has an anomalously large group of automorphisms. If we place the period 2 critical point at the origin with its image at infinity, and normalize by an appropriate scale change, then we get the formula

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{a}{z}+\frac{1}{z^2}\;.$$

This normal form is not unique, since for any cube root of unity λ the map $f(\lambda\,z)/\lambda$ will have the same form, but with the parameter a replaced by $\lambda\,a$. Thus to obtain an invariant we must pass to a^3 , which ranges over $\mathbf{C}$. In fact it turns out that $a^3-6=\sigma_1$.



Figure 9. Picture in $\mathrm{Per}_3(0)$ *, showing the* $\log(c)$*-plane for the family of maps*

$$z \;\mapsto\; 1-(1+c)/z+c/z^2$$

with a critical point of period 3 at $z = 0$ *. (The top and bottom are to be identified, with a little bit of overlap.) There are only two components of type B in the cylinder* $\mathbf{C}/2\pi i\mathbf{Z}$ *, but many components of type C and D. For the three most prominent components of type D, corresponding to the three period 3 components in the Mandelbrot set, internal angles have been indicated. Note that the internal angles* $1/3$ *respectively* $2/3$ *respectively* $1/2$ *correspond to points at infinity.*

Period 3. The curve $\mathrm{Per}_3(0)$ also has genus zero, being conformally isomorphic to a punctured plane or cylinder. We may put the periodic critical point at the origin, with orbit $0 \mapsto \infty \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$. This yields the unique normal form

$$z \;\mapsto\; 1 \;-\; \frac{1+c}{z} \;+\; \frac{c}{z^2} \;\;,$$

where now the parameter c ranges over $\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$. Compare Figure 9, which shows the $\log(c)$-plane. The lower left hand portion of this picture can be understood as a perturbation of Figure 8, and similarly the upper left portion can be understood as a perturbation of a complex conjugate figure. In fact, as μ varies from 0 to $1-\epsilon$ the bifurcation locus in $\mathrm{Per}_3(\mu)$ varies continuously. However, in the limiting case as $\mu \to 1$ the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ degenerates into a union of three straight lines. (Compare 4.3.) Two of these lines correspond to the locus $\mathrm{Per}_1(e^{2\pi i/3})$ and its complex conjugate, while the third line can be identified with the locus $\mathrm{Per}_2(-3)$. Thus, in order to understand the right half of Figure 9, we must also study the bifurcation locus in $\mathrm{Per}_2(-3)$, as shown in Figure 10. Unfortunately, it seems to require considerable imagination to see how to cut and paste Figure 8, its complex conjugate, and Figure 10 so as to obtain Figure 9.

For more detailed studies of the curve $\mathrm{Per}_3(0)$ and of the associated dynamics, see [R4], [R5], [W].



Figure 10. Bifurcation locus in the line $\mathrm{Per}_2(-3)$ *, which coincides with the locus of* $\langle f\rangle$ *for which* f *has a non-degenerate orbit of period* 3 *and multiplier* $+1$ *. (Caution: The algorithm used to draw this Figure is not too satisfactory. In fact, the boundary of the outer region looks rather ragged because of very slow convergence.)*

Period 4. The curve $\mathrm{Per}_4(0)$ is isomorphic to a three times punctured plane. Again we place the periodic critical point at the origin, and now suppose that it has orbit $0 \mapsto \infty \mapsto 1 \mapsto \rho \mapsto 0$. Here the parameter ρ can be described as the cross-ratio of the points in this orbit. It is not difficult to check that this invariant ρ determines the quadratic map uniquely, and that ρ ranges over the set $\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\,,\,1/2\,,\,1\}$. The value $1/2$ is excluded since as $\rho \to 1/2$ the associated quadratic map degenerates to a fractional linear map $z \mapsto 1-(2z)^{-1}$, and the class $\langle f\rangle$ tends to the ideal point $\{i\,,\,-i\,,\,\infty\}$.



Figure 11. Picture for the λ-plane for the family of maps $z \mapsto \lambda(z+2+z^{-1})$ with pre-periodic critical orbit $-1 \mapsto 0 \mapsto \infty \mapsto \infty$. In the more prominent regions with an attracting orbit, the period is indicated.

Pre-periodic critical orbits. Another important class of parameter slices are those for which one critical point is pre-periodic. Since a non-periodic critical point cannot map directly to a periodic point when the degree is 2, the simplest possibility is that the second forward image $f^{\circ 2}(\omega_1)$ is fixed. If we place the critical points at ± 1 and this fixed point at infinity, this leads to the normal form

$$w \;\mapsto\; \lambda\,(w+2+w^{-1})\;,$$

with $-1 \mapsto 0 \mapsto \infty$. Note that λ^{-1} is the multiplier of the fixed point at infinity. A corresponding picture in the λ-plane is shown in Figure 11. Here values of λ outside of the unit disk correspond to maps in the escape locus E. However points within the unit disk correspond to non-hyperbolic maps, since one critical orbit lands on a repelling fixed point.

Maps with $J = \hat{\mathbf{C}}$. All of our previous curves have included only maps with at least one attracting or parabolic orbit. Hence the associated Julia set has always been a proper subset of the Riemann sphere. However, a map in the present family $\{w \mapsto \lambda(w+2+w^{-1}\}$ need not have any attracting or parabolic fixed point. In fact the orbits of *both* critical points ± 1 may eventually land on repelling cycles. This happens for example for the value $\lambda_0 = -1/4$, with $1 \mapsto -1 \mapsto 0 \mapsto \infty$. The Julia set for such a map is necessarily the entire sphere. In fact, as an immediate consequence of a Theorem of Rees [R2] we have the following much sharper statement: *Every neighborhood of such a point λ_0 contains a set of parameter values λ of positive area (2-dimensional Lebesgue measure) for which the associated Julia set is the entire Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$.*



Figure 12. Picture of the symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}$, represented as the k-plane for the family of maps $z \mapsto k(z+z^{-1})$. The component of type D on the right is centered at $k=1/2$, with both critical points fixed, while the component of type B on the left is centered at $k=-1/2$, with critical points $-1 \leftrightarrow 1$.

The symmetry locus. An apparently quite different example is provided by the *branch locus* or *symmetry locus*, consisting of all conjugacy classes $\langle f\rangle$ for which f has a non-trivial automorphism. By Lemma 5.1 this locus can be described parametrically as the set of $\langle f_k\rangle$ with

$$f_k(z) \;=\; k(z+z^{-1}) ,$$

where the parameter k ranges over $\mathbf{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$. A picture of the k-plane is shown in Figure 12. (Compare [HP].) Note that this figure is centrally symmetric. In fact, since $f_k(-z)=-f_k(z)=f_{-k}(z)$ it follows that $f_k\circ f_k=f_{-k}\circ f_{-k}$. Hence the Julia set $J(f_k)$ is precisely equal to $J(f_{-k})$, and the bifurcation diagram in the k-plane, describing different forms of dynamical behavior, is essentially invariant under the involution $k\mapsto -k$. This does not mean that f_k has precisely the same dynamics as f_{-k}. For example f_1 has a triple fixed point while f_{-1} has three distinct fixed points.) As in the previous example, this symmetry locus contains maps like $z\mapsto i(z+z^{-1})/2$ for which both critical orbits eventually land on repelling cycles $(\pm 1\mapsto \pm i\mapsto 0\mapsto\infty)$.

C. Petersen has pointed out to me that this family of maps is closely related to the locus of Figure 11. In fact the two-to-one substitution $w=z^2$ semi-conjugates the family $z\mapsto k(z+z^{-1})$ to the family

$$w \;\mapsto\; \left(k\left(\sqrt{w}+\sqrt{w}^{-1}\right)\right)^2 \;=\; \lambda(w+2+w^{-1}) ,$$

with $\lambda = k^2$. Note that symmetric pairs of hyperbolic components in the k-plane of Figure 12 correspond to "sub-hyperbolic components", where only one critical orbit converges to a periodic attractor, in the λ-plane of Figure 11.



Figure 13. a^3-plane for the family of maps $z \mapsto a + 1/z^2$, with one critical point mapping directly to the other. In the more conspicuous hyperbolic components (type B or E), the period of the attractor is indicated.

Maps for which one critical point maps eventually to the other. The simplest possibility here is that one critical point maps directly to the other. Taking the critical points to be $0 \to \infty$, we obtain the normal form $z \mapsto a + 1/z^2$ of Figure 13.

As in the previous two examples, this family contains maps for which the critical orbits eventually land on a repelling cycle. This happens for example if $f(z) = a + 1/z^2$ with $a^3 = -0.5$, so that $0 \mapsto \infty \mapsto a \mapsto -a \mapsto -a$. Again, it follows from [R2] that there is a set of parameter values of positive area for which the associated Julia set is the entire Riemann sphere.

§10. Real Quadratic Maps.

Consider a quadratic rational map which has real coefficients, and hence induces a map from the circle $\mathbf{R}\cup\infty$ into itself. We will distinguish seven different cases, depending on the topology of this map from the circle to itself.

First suppose that the two critical points of f are conjugate complex, then it is easy to check that f induces a two-to-one covering map from $\mathbf{R}\cup\infty$ onto itself. In this case, we must must distinguish between the positive derivative case, where f maps this circle with degree $+2$, and the negative derivative case with degree -2 .

On the other hand, suppose that the two critical points are both real. Then f maps the entire circle $\mathbf{R}\cup\infty$ onto a closed interval $I = f(\mathbf{R}\cup\infty)$ which is bounded by the two critical values. Evidently, in order to study both critical orbits, we need only study the dynamics of f restricted to this interval I .

Definition. We will say that a real quadratic map with real critical points is either *monotone* or *unimodal* or *bimodal* according as the interior of this interval $I = f(\mathbf{R}\cup\infty)$ contains either no critical points, one critical point, or both critical points. In the monotone case, we must distinguish between monotone increasing and monotone decreasing. Similarly, in the bimodal case we distinguish between $(+-+)$-bimodal and $(-+-)$-bimodal according to the pattern of increasing and decreasing laps.

The unimodal case is illustrated in Figure 14, where just one critical point $\omega_1 = 0$ belongs to the interior of the interval $I = [-1\,,\,1]$. (Since the other critical point $\omega_2 = 1$ belongs to the boundary of I , we see that this particular map lies on the boundary between the unimodal and the $(+-+)$-bimodal regions of the "real moduli space".)



Figure 14. Graph of a borderline "unimodal" real quadratic map

$$f_0(x) \;=\; (1-2x-x^2)/(1-2x+3x^2)$$

with $f_0(\mathbf{R}\cup\infty) = [-1\,,\,1]$. *In this example, both critical orbits land on the repelling fixed point* $1/3$, *hence the (complex) Julia set* $J(f_0)$ *is the entire Riemann sphere.*

It is not difficult to check that a conjugacy class $\langle f\rangle \in \mathcal{M}_2$ possesses a representative with real coefficients if and only if the two invariants σ_1 and σ_2 of §3 are both real.



Figure 15. Rectangle $[-12, 10\times[-10, 22]$ *in the real* $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$*-plane, showing the seven regions corresponding to different topological descriptions of* f *on* $\mathbf{R}\cup\infty$ *. (Drawn to the same scale as Figures 1 and 16.) These seven regions are bounded by the symmetry locus* $\mathcal{S}$ *together with the vertical lines* $\sigma_1 = -6$ *and* $\sigma_1 = 2$ *. The loci* $\mathrm{Per}_1(\pm 1)$ *have been drawn in as dotted lines.*

Hence we define the *real moduli space* to be simply the real $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$-plane. In general, the real representative for $\langle f\rangle \in \mathcal{M}_2$ is unique up to real conjugacy. However, in the special case where $\langle f\rangle$ belongs to the real part of the symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}$ of §5 this is not true. In fact, for the conjugacy class of $f(x) = \lambda\,(x + x^{-1})$ where $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}\smallsetminus\{0\}$, we can choose f itself as real representative, with critical points ± 1 and degree zero. But we can also take $f(ix)/i = \lambda(x - x^{-1})$ as representative, with critical points $\pm i$ and with degree equal to $2\,\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)$.

The classification of real quadratic maps into seven different topological types corresponds to a partition of real moduli space into seven different regions, as indicated in Figure 15. More precisely, the real $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$-plane is cut up into seven pieces by the two real branches of the symmetry locus $\mathcal{S}$, and by the vertical lines $\sigma_1 = 2$ and $\sigma_1 = -6$ which correspond to the classes $\langle f\rangle$ for which $f(\omega_1) = \omega_1$ respectively $f(\omega_1) = \omega_2$.

The bifurcation locus in the real $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$-plane is plotted in Figures 16 and 17. Figure 16 shows the same region as Figures 1 and 15. Note that the vertical line $\sigma_1 = 2$ parametrizes the family of real quadratic polynomials $x \mapsto x^2 + \text{constant}$, while the line $\sigma_1 = -6$ parametrizes the family of maps which carry one critical point to the other — that is, the real axis of Figure 13. As in Figure 13, the numbers label hyperbolic components of type B, with attracting period as indicated. Figure 17 shows a much larger region, so that we can begin to see the limiting behavior as $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$ tends to the line at infinity $\mathrm{Per}_1(\infty)$.



Figure 16. Picture of the rectangle $[-12, 10] \times [-10, 22]$ *in the real* $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$*-plane, emphasizing dynamic behavior. (Horizontal scale exaggerated.) The periods of (possibly complex) attracting orbits for some of the more prominent hyperbolic components are indicated. As we traverse any vertical line with* $-6 \le \sigma_1 \le 2$ *, we follow a full family of unimodal maps. For maps within the top component of the escape locus, there are no real periodic orbits other than the attracting fixed point. However, for maps in the bottom component, the entire Julia set is real.*

Significant features of both Figures are the following. The two straight lines $\mathrm{Per}_1(1)$ of slope $+2$ and $\mathrm{Per}_1(-1)$ of slope -2 cut the plane into four quadrants:

Top quadrant. This is part of the escape locus. If we restrict attention to maps in this quadrant with two real critical points, then the real dynamics is completely trivial: the successive images of $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ converge uniformly to the real fixed point.

Right hand quadrant. These are the maps with two attracting fixed points. They form the real part of the hyperbolic component $\mathrm{D}_{1,1}$ consisting of all maps with two attracting fixed points. It is centered at the point $\langle z \mapsto z^2 \rangle$.

Bottom quadrant. As we pass from the right hand quadrant to the bottom quadrant, one fixed point bifurcates to a period two orbit, then to period four, and so on, in the usual Sharkovskii pattern, until we again reach the escape locus. (Note in fact that the standard quadratic polynomial family is just the section of this picture with the vertical line $\sigma_1 = 2$.) However, this lower component of the real escape locus has much more complicated real dynamics, since the entire Julia Cantor set is contained in $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$.

Left hand quadrant. Here we evidently have a much more complicated situation. There are conspicuous hyperbolic components of type B, bordered by hyperbolic com-



Figure 17. Picture of the much larger rectangle $[-25,10]\times[-55,55]$ *in the real* $(\sigma_1\,,\,\sigma_2)$*-plane. For* σ_1 *very negative, with* $\sigma_2/\sigma_1\approx 2\cos(2\pi i p/q)$ *we are in a component of type B with attracting orbit of period* q *. Some representative values of* p/q *are indicated along the left margin.*

ponents of type C. There are also hyperbolic components of type D, at least within the bimodal region $\sigma_1 < -6$ (compare Appendix F), but these are very tiny, and are not visible in the pictures. For σ_1 very negative, with $\sigma_2/\sigma_1 \approx 2\cos(2\pi i p/q)$ we are in a component of type B with attracting orbit of period q . Some representative values of p/q are indicated along the left margin. For $p = 1$ note that these are exactly the same as the components which occur in Figure 13. In fact, the real axis in Figure 13 corresponds exactly to the vertical line $\sigma_1 = -6$ in Figures 16, 17.

The real topological classification of Figure 15 can be compared with the complex dynamic classification of Figures 16, 17 as follows.

Monotone case: If f is $+$monotone, clearly the two critical orbits must converge, either to a single fixed point or to two distinct fixed points. If neither fixed point is parabolic, this means that $\langle f \rangle$ must belong either to the hyperbolic component of type $\mathrm{D}_{1,1}$ with two attracting fixed points, or to the escape component E . Similarly, in the $-$monotone case the two critical orbits must converge to a common fixed point or period two orbit. In the non-parabolic case, this means that $\langle f \rangle$ belongs either to the escape component or to the period two hyperbolic component of type B .

Unimodal case: Here there is a wide range of possible dynamic behavior. In the hyperbolic case, $\langle f \rangle$ may belong to a component of any one of the four types B, C, D, or E. One essential restriction is that there cannot be two attracting orbits of period greater than one.

Bimodal case: Not all bimodal maps can arise as quadratic maps, since the condition that each point has at most two pre-images imposes an essential restriction. In particular, the topological entropy of the map $I \to I$ can be at most $\log 2$. In the $(-+-)$ case, there is another quite strong restriction:

> **Lemma 10.1.** *Every* $(-+-)$*-bimodal quadratic map must have an attracting fixed point.*

Proof. Clearly such a map must have three fixed points on the interval $I = f(\mathbf{R} \cup \infty)$, and two of the three must have negative multipliers, say $\mu_1 , \mu_3 < 0$. If these two fixed points were both repelling or parabolic, $\mu_1 , \mu_3 \le -1$, then the formula $\mu_2 = (2 - \mu_1 - \mu_3)/(1 - \mu_1 \mu_3)$ would imply that the third fixed point also had negative (or infinite) multiplier, which is impossible. □

Degree ± 2 case: Here the dynamics is again sharply restricted.

> **Lemma 10.2.** *If the real quadratic map* f *has complex conjugate critical points, so that it induces a covering mapping of degree* ± 2 *from the circle* $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ *onto itself, then the (complex) Julia set* $J(f)$ *is either the circle* $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ *, or a Cantor set contained in* $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ *. Both critical orbits converge to attracting orbits of period* ≤ 2 *, or to a parabolic fixed point.*

The proof is not difficult, and will be omitted. □

Appendix A. Resultant and Discriminant.

This will be a brief summary of standard material. Proofs may be found, for example, in [vW]. Fix two integers $m\,,\,n\ge 0$. Let p range over the vector space V_m consisting of all complex polynomial functions

$$p(z)=a_0\,z^m+\cdots+a_m$$

of degree $\le m$, and let q range over the space V_n of polynomials

$$q(z)=b_0\,z^n+\cdots+b_n$$

of degree $\le n$. The ***resultant*** $\mathrm{result}_{m,n}(p,q)$, can be characterized as the unique function from $V_m\times V_n$ to $\mathbf{C}$ which is bimultiplicative

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{result}_{m_1+m_2,n}(p_1\cdot p_2\,,\,q) &= \mathrm{result}_{m_1,n}(p_1\,,\,q)\cdot\mathrm{result}_{m_2,n}(p_2\,,\,q)\\ \mathrm{result}_{m,n_1+n_2}(p\,,\,q_1\cdot q_2) &= \mathrm{result}_{m,n_1}(p\,,\,q_1)\cdot\mathrm{result}_{m,n_2}(p\,,\,q_2)\end{aligned}$$

and which coincides with the determinant in the degree $1,1$ case

$$\mathrm{result}_{1,1}(az+b\,,\,cz+d) \;=\; ad-bc\;.$$

This function is $(-1)^{mn}$-symmetric and bihomogeneous of degree (n,m) , that is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{result}_{m,n}(p,q) &= (-1)^{mn}\,\mathrm{result}_{n,m}(q,p)\\ \mathrm{result}_{m,n}(\lambda p\,,\,\mu q) &= \lambda^n\mu^m\,\mathrm{result}_{m,n}(p,q)\;.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the subscripts m,n are essential, since we sometimes consider polynomials with leading coefficient zero. However, in practice we will suppress these subscripts whenever they are clear from the context. The resultant can be expressed as an $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ determinant, for example

$$\mathrm{result}(az^2+bz+c\,,\;pz^2+qz+r) \;=\; \det\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & 0\\ 0 & a & b & c\\ p & q & r & 0\\ 0 & p & q & r\end{bmatrix}\;.$$

In the special case $m=0$ note that $\mathrm{result}(a_0\,,\,q)=a_0^n$ is completely independent of q , while for $m=1$, if $p(z)=z-\xi$ then $\mathrm{result}(z-\xi\,,\,q)\;=\;q(\xi)$. More generally, whenever the leading coefficient a_0 is non-zero, we can factor p as $p(z)\;=\;a_0\,(z-\xi_1)\,\cdots\,(z-\xi_m)$, and it follows that

$$\mathrm{result}(p,q) \;=\; a_0^n\,\prod_1^m q(\xi_i)\;.$$

(On the other hand, if $a_0\;=\;0$, then $\mathrm{result}_{m,n}(p,q)\;=\;(-1)^n\,b_0\,\mathrm{result}_{m-1,n}(p,q)$.) Similarly, if $b_0\ne 0$ then we can set $q(z)=b_0\,(z-\eta_1)\cdots(z-\eta_n)$ and write

$$\mathrm{result}(p,q) \;=\; (-1)^{mn}\,b_0^m\,\prod_1^n p(\eta_j) \;=\; a_0^n\,b_0^m\,\prod_1^m\prod_1^n(\xi_i-\eta_j)\;.$$

The resultant may well be non-zero even if one of the leading coefficients is zero. However, if both $a_0=0$ and $b_0=0$ so that $\deg(p)<m$ and $\deg(q)<n$, then $\mathrm{result}_{m,n}(p,q)=0$.

In fact the resultant $\text{result}_{m,n}(p,q)$ is zero if and only if either:

(1) the two polynomials p and q have a root in common, or

(2) both $\deg(p) < m$ and $\deg(q) < n$.

Closely related is the ***discriminant*** of a polynomial of degree m or less. This is a polynomial function $\text{discr}_m : V_m \to \mathbf{C}$, which is homogeneous of degree $2m-2$,

$$\text{discr}_m(\lambda p) \;=\; \lambda^{2m-2}\,\text{discr}(p)\ .$$

If the leading coefficient a_0 is non-zero, the discriminant can be defined by the formula

$$\text{discr}_m(p) \;=\; (-1)^{m(m-1)/2}\,\text{result}_{m,m-1}(p,\,p')/a_0\ ,$$

where p' is the derivative. Alternatively, setting $p(z) \;=\; a_0\,(z-\xi_1)\,\cdots\,(z-\xi_m)$, it can be defined by the formula

$$\text{discr}(p) \;=\; a_0^{2m-2}\,\prod_{i<h}(\xi_i-\xi_h)^2\ .$$

(We omit the subscript m whenever it is clear from the context.) Evidently, for such a polynomial with $a_0 \ne 0$, the discriminant is non-zero if and only if p has m distinct roots. On the other hand, if $a_0 = 0$ then $\text{discr}_m(p) \;=\; a_1^2\,\text{discr}_{m-1}(p)$. In particular, for any $m \ge 2$, if both of the leading coefficients a_0 and a_1 are zero, then the discriminant $\text{discr}_m(p)$ is zero. Here are explicit formulas, for the cases $m \le 3$ which will be of interest.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{discr}_1(az+b) &= 1\ ,\\
\text{discr}_2(az^2+bz+c) &= b^2-4ac\ ,\\
\text{discr}_3(az^3+bz^2+cz+d) &= b^2c^2-4ac^3-4b^3d-27a^2d^2+18abcd\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Appendix B. The Space Rat_d of Degree d Rational Maps.

This appendix will describe results from Segal [Se], as well as some consequences of his work. For each integer $d \ge 0$ we can form the space Rat_d consisting of all rational maps $f(z) = p(z)/q(z)$ where

$$p(z) = a_0\,z^d + \cdots + a_d \quad\text{and}\quad q(z) = b_0\,z^d + \cdots + b_0 \tag{18}$$

are complex polynomials with no common root, and with leading coefficients a_0 and b_0 which are not both zero. Evidently Rat_d is a Zariski open subset of the complex projective space $\mathbf{CP}^{2d+1}$ consisting of ratios $(a_0 : \ldots : a_d : b_0 : \ldots : b_d)$. We will write such ratios briefly as $(p:q) \in \mathbf{CP}^{2d+1}$. More explicitly, this open set can be described as the complement

$$\text{Rat}_d \;\cong\; \mathbf{CP}^{2d+1} \smallsetminus W_d$$

where W_d is the algebraic variety consisting of all ratios $(p : q)$ whose resultant $\text{result}(p,q) = \text{result}_{d,d}(p,q)$ is equal to zero. (See Appendix A.) *In particular, it follows easily that* Rat_d *is a smooth connected complex manifold of complex dimension* $2d+1$.

If we map each rational map $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_d$ to the image $f(\infty) = a_0/b_0$ in the Riemann sphere $S^2 = \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$, then we obtain a fibration

$$\mathrm{Rat}_d^0 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rat}_d \twoheadrightarrow S^2$$

where Rat_d^0 is the fiber, consisting of rational maps which fix the point at infinity in S^2 . **Definition.** Let Map_d be the infinite dimensional space consisting of *all* continuous maps from S^2 to itself of degree d , and let Map_d^0 be the subspace consisting of maps which fix some base point. Then according to Segal [Se]:

Assertion B.1. *The inclusions* $\mathrm{Rat}_d \subset \mathrm{Map}_d$ *and* $\mathrm{Rat}_d^0 \subset \mathrm{Map}_d^0$ *induce homotopy equivalences through dimension* d *.*

As model for the fiber Rat_d^0 , Segal uses the space of rational maps $f(z) = p(z)/q(z)$ where p and q are *monic* polynomials of degree d , so that $f(\infty) = +1$. With this normalization, using an argument due to J. D. S. Jones, he proves the following.

Assertion B.2. *For* $d \geq 1$ *the fundamental group* $\pi_1(\mathrm{Rat}_d^0)$ *is free cyclic. In fact let* (p,q) *range over pairs of monic degree* d *polynomials with no common root. Then the correspondence* $p/q \mapsto \mathrm{result}(p,q) \in \mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ *defines a fibration*

$$\mathrm{Rat}_d^0 \to \mathbf{C} \smallsetminus \{0\} , \qquad (19)$$

which induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups.

The fiber F_d of this fibration consists of all pairs (p,q) of monic degree d polynomials which have resultant $\mathrm{result}(p,q)$ equal to 1 . Thus F_d can be considered as an algebraic hypersurface in the coordinate space $\mathbf{C}^{2d}$. As an immediate consequence:

Corollary. *This fiber* F_d *is simply connected. Furthermore, the universal covering space* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Rat}}_d^0$ *is homeomorphic to* $F_d \times \mathbf{C}$ *.*

Developing these ideas further, we have the following statement. Let

$$E_d = \{(p,q) \ : \ \mathrm{result}(p,q) = 1\}$$

be the affine hypersurface in $\mathbf{C}^{2d+2}$ consisting of *all* pairs of polynomials (p,q) of the form (18) which have resultant $\mathrm{result}(p,q)$ equal to 1 . Note that there is a natural fibration

$$F_d \hookrightarrow E_d \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{C}^2 \smallsetminus \{(0,0)\} , \qquad (20)$$

where the projection map from E_d to $\mathbf{C}^2 \smallsetminus \{(0,0)\}$ carries each pair (p,q) of polynomials with resultant 1 to the pair $(a_0 \, , \, b_0)$ of leading coefficients. In fact each matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ in the group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ acts on the total space E_d , carrying each (p,q) with resultant 1 to $(ap+bq \, , \, cp+dq)$. Using the fact that $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ is generated by elementary matrices, we see easily that this action preserves the resultant. Clearly this same group acts on the base space, and the projection map is equivariant. It follows that we do indeed have a fibration.

Assertion B.3. *The fundamental group* $\pi_1(\mathrm{Rat}_d)$ *is cyclic of order* $2d$ *. Furthermore, the universal covering* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Rat}}_d$ *can be identified with this affine hypersurface* E_d *.*

Proof. Since the resultant of p and q is bi-homogeneous of degree (d,d) in the coefficients of p and q , we have the identity

$$\mathrm{result}(\lambda p\,,\,\lambda q) \;=\; \lambda^{2d}\,\mathrm{result}(p,q)\,.$$

Thus whenever the resultant of $p\,,\,q$ is non-zero, so that p/q is rational of degree d , there are exactly $2d$ choices of λ for which this expression $\lambda^{2d}\,\mathrm{result}(p,q)$ is equal to $+1$. Evidently the group of $2d$-th roots of unity operates freely on the locus E_d , with quotient space isomorphic to Rat_d . Thus E_d is a $2d$-fold covering manifold of Rat_d . But E_d is simply connected, since it is the total space of a fibration (20) which has simply connected fiber and simply connected base. □

Proof of 2.1. Now let us specialize to the case $d=2$, and prove Theorem 2.1, as stated in §2. Every quadratic rational map has two distinct critical points $\omega_1 \ne \omega_2$ in the Riemann sphere S^2 . We will use the notation $\mathcal{DS}_2(S^2)$ for the ***deleted symmetric product***, consisting of all unordered pairs of distinct elements in S^2 . Evidently the correspondence $f \mapsto \{\omega_1\,,\,\omega_2\}$, which assigns to each $f \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ its set of critical points, defines a continuous map $\mathrm{Rat}_2 \to \mathcal{DS}_2(S^2)$.

On the other hand, the group $\mathrm{Rat}_1 \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ of all Möbius transformations of S^2 acts freely on Rat_2 by left composition. That is, we have

$$\mathrm{Rat}_1\times\mathrm{Rat}_2 \;\to\; \mathrm{Rat}_2 \qquad \text{defined by} \qquad (g,f)\;\mapsto\; g\circ f\;.$$

It is easy to check that two maps in Rat_2 belong to the same orbit under this action if and only if they have the same critical points. *Thus our correspondence* $f\mapsto\{\omega_1\,,\,\omega_2\}$ *is the projection map of a principal fiber bundle, having the group* $\mathrm{Rat}_1\cong\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ *as fiber.*

If we are interested only in the homotopy theory of this bundle, then we may as well pass to a compact principal sub-bundle

$$\mathrm{SO}(3)\;\hookrightarrow\; M^5\;\twoheadrightarrow\;\mathbf{RP}^2 \tag{21}$$

which is embedded as a deformation retract. To see this, note that the projective unitary group $\mathrm{PSU}(2)\cong\mathrm{SO}(3)$ is embedded in the Möbius group Rat_1 as a deformation retract, and that the projective plane $\mathbf{RP}^2$ consisting of pairs of antipodal points in S^2 is embedded in $\mathcal{DS}_2(S^2)$ as a deformation retract. It is not difficult to check that the corresponding total space M^5 is indeed a smooth manifold, embedded in Rat_2 as a deformation retract.

Such $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundles over $\mathbf{RP}^2$ are classified by homotopy classes of mappings $\mathbf{RP}^2\to\mathrm{BSO}(3)$, or (using obstruction theory or Hopf's Theorem) by cohomology classes in the group

$$H^2\big(\mathbf{RP}^2\,;\;\pi_1(\mathrm{SO}(3))\big)\;\cong\;\mathbf{Z}/2\,.$$

In fact the bundle (21) must be the non-trivial $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundles over $\mathbf{RP}^2$. For if M^5

split as a product, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(M^5) \cong \pi_1(\mathrm{Rat}_2)$ would be the direct sum of two cyclic groups of order 2. But according to B.3 this group is actually cyclic of order 4. □

As one immediate consequence of 2.1, we see that Rat_2 has the rational homology of a 3-sphere. As another easy consequence:

> **Corollary B.4.** *The 2-fold orientable covering manifold of M^5 is homeomorphic to the product $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times S^2$. Hence the universal covering of M^5 is homeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^2$.*

Proof. Geometrically, this 2-fold covering can be described as the space of triples $(f\,,\omega_1\,,\omega_2)$ consisting of a quadratic map in M^5 with *marked critical points*. (Compare §6.) The statement that the lifted $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundle over S^2 splits as a product means that there exists some cross-section $\omega \mapsto f_\omega$ which assigns to each point $\omega \in S^2$ a quadratic rational map having ω as critical point. This follows from an easy cohomology argument, or can be constructed explicitly as follows. For each ω in the finite plane $\mathbf{C}$, consider the Möbius transformation $g_\omega(z) = (\bar\omega z + 1)/(-z + \omega)$. This belongs to the compact subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset \mathrm{Rat}_1$. It carries ω to ∞ and carries the antipodal point $-1/\bar\omega$ to zero. The composition $f_\omega(z) = \phi^{-1}_{\omega^2}(\phi_\omega(z)^2)$ is then a quadratic rational map in M^5 having ω and $-1/\bar\omega$ as critical points. This construction has been chosen so that $f_\omega(z)$ tends to a well behaved limiting value $f_\infty(z) = z^2$ as $\omega \to \infty$. □

Remark. Of course such a correspondence $\omega \mapsto f_\omega$, which assigns to each point $\omega \in S^2$ a rational map $f_\omega \in \mathrm{Rat}_2$ having ω as critical point, cannot possibly be holomorphic. For the associated correspondence $\omega \mapsto \omega'$ which assigns to ω the *other* critical point of f_ω has degree -1 , and hence is non-holomorphic.

Appendix C. Normal Forms and Relations Between Conjugacy Invariants.

This section will study three convenient normal forms for quadratic rational maps. First, as in the proof of 3.1, we consider the following.

Fixed Point Normal Form. Let

$$f(z) = z\,\frac{z+\mu_1}{\mu_2 z + 1}\ , \qquad (22)$$

with $\mu_1\mu_2 \ne 1$. Here the origin is a fixed point of multiplicity μ_1 , and infinity is a fixed point of multiplicity μ_2 . The third fixed point lies at $(1-\mu_1)/(1-\mu_2)$, and has multiplier $\mu_3 = (2-\mu_1-\mu_2)/(1-\mu_1\mu_2)$. The critical points for this map are the two roots $\omega = (-1 \pm \sqrt{1-\mu_1\mu_2})/\mu_2$ of the equation

$$\mu_2\,\omega^2 + 2\,\omega + \mu_1 \;=\; 0\ ,$$

(with one critical point at infinity when $\mu_2 = 0$). A brief computation shows that the corresponding critical values are given by the equation

$$f(\omega) \;=\; -\omega^2\ .$$

Mixed Normal Form. Now consider the normal form

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{z+z^{-1}}{\mu}+a \tag{23}$$

with critical points ± 1 and with a fixed point of multiplier $\mu \neq 0$ at infinity. (Compare 5.1 and 8.3, as well as Figures 5, 6, 12, 13.) Here the critical values are $f(\pm 1) = a \pm 2/\mu$. The two finite fixed points z_1 and z_2 can be described as the roots of the equation

$$(1-\mu)\,z_i^2 + a\,\mu\,z_i + 1 \;=\; 0\ .$$

Either by direct computation, or using C.1 below, one finds that the corresponding multipliers $\mu_i = f'(z_i) = (1-z_i^{-2})/\mu$ satisfy the relation

$$\sigma_1 \;=\; \mu_1+\mu_2+\mu \;=\; \mu(1-a^2) - 2 + 4/\mu\ .$$

As in 3.2, the symmetric function $\sigma_2 = \mu_1\mu_2 + \mu_1\mu_3 + \mu_2\mu_3$ can then be computed from the equation $0 = \mu^3 - \sigma_1\mu^2 + \sigma_2\mu - \sigma_3 = \mu^3 - \sigma_1\mu^2 + \sigma_2\mu - \sigma_1 + 2$, hence

$$\sigma_2 = (\mu+\mu^{-1})\sigma_1 - (\mu^2 + 2/\mu)\ .$$

The parameter $\tau = \mu_1\mu_2$ along the line $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ is equal to $\sigma_3/\mu = (\sigma_1 - 2)/\mu$, hence

$$\tau \;=\; \left(\frac{2-\mu}{\mu}\right)^2 - a^2\ . \tag{24}$$

(Compare 6.8.) *Thus, for* $\mu \neq 0$ *, the parameter* τ *along the line* $\mathrm{Per}_1(\mu)$ *coincides with* $-a^2$ *, up to translation by a constant depending only on* μ *.*

Critical Point Normal Form. If we put the critical points at zero and infinity, so that $f(z) = f(-z)$, then

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{\alpha z^2+\beta}{\gamma z^2+\delta}\ . \tag{25}$$

This normal form is particularly convenient for computations and for Julia set pictures. (See Figures 2, 3, 4. In fact Figures 8, 10, 16, 17 were made by first translating into these coordinates, using C.4.) As in 6.1, the quantities

$$A = \frac{\alpha\,\delta}{\alpha\,\delta-\beta\,\gamma} = \frac{1+\beta\,\gamma}{\alpha\,\delta-\beta\,\gamma}\ ,\qquad B = \frac{\alpha^3\beta}{(\alpha\,\delta-\beta\,\gamma)^2}\ ,\qquad C = \frac{\gamma\,\delta^3}{(\alpha\,\delta-\beta\,\gamma)^2}$$

are invariant under holomorphic conjugacies which fix the two critical points. Hence A and $\Sigma = B+C$ are holomorphic conjugacy invariants. As noted in 6.3, we can use either the invariants σ_1 , σ_2 of §3 or these invariants A and Σ as coordinates on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2$, in order to show that $\mathcal{M}_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^2$. The coordinates A, Σ are apparently easier to compute, since we need only solve a quadratic equation to find the critical points and reduce to the normal form (25), while we must solve a cubic equation to find the fixed points. In fact we can always compute the invariants without solving any polynomials equations, and it is not too difficult to compute one pair of invariants in terms of the other.

We will first prove the following. Let ω_1 , ω_2 be the critical points of f , and let $v_i = f(\omega_i)$ be the corresponding critical values. The relative position of these four points is determined by the cross-ratio

$$\rho(f) \;=\; \frac{(v_1-\omega_1)\,(v_2-\omega_2)}{(\omega_1-\omega_2)\,(v_1-v_2)}\;, \tag{26}$$

which is always a finite complex number, since the denominator cannot vanish. Note that $\rho=0$ if and only if one of the critical points is fixed by f, so that f is conjugate to a polynomial map. Similarly, it is not hard to check that $\rho=1$ if and only if one critical point maps to the other.

Lemma C.1. *This cross-ratio ρ is related to the invariants σ_i of Lemma 3.1 and to the invariant A of Lemma 6.1 by the linear equation*

$$\rho(f) \;=\; -\sigma_3/8 \;=\; (2-\sigma_1)/8 \;=\; 1-A\;.$$

Proof outline. If we use the normal form (25), then

$$\omega_1=0\;,\quad \omega_2=\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_1=\beta/\delta\;,\quad v_2=\alpha/\gamma\;,$$

so the cross-ratio (26) reduces to the form $v_1/(v_1-v_2)=-\beta\gamma=1-A$. On the other hand, if we use the normal form (4), then computation shows that the critical points and critical values are given by

$$\omega_\pm \;=\; \big(-1\pm\sqrt{1-bc}\;\big)/c \qquad \text{and} \qquad f(\omega_\pm)=-\omega_\pm^2\;, \tag{27}$$

with $b=\mu_1$, $c=\mu_2$. The cross-ratio (26) then works out to be $bc\,(b+c-2)/(8-8bc)$. Using (3) and (2) this reduces to $-\sigma_3/8=(2-\sigma_1)/8$, as required. □

In order to relate the remaining invariants, we will make use of the discriminant of a polynomial, and the resultant of two polynomials. (Compare Appendix A.) Note that the three fixed points of a quadratic rational function $f(z)=p(z)/q(z)$ are the roots of the polynomial equation $z\,q(z)-p(z)=0$. (This equation is understood to have a "root at infinity" if and only if its degree is strictly less than 3.) The *discriminant* of this equation is a homogeneous function of degree 4 in the coefficients of p and q, which vanishes if and only if there is a multiple root. In order to make this discriminant into an invariant of the rational function $p(z)/q(z)$, we must divide by the resultant of p and q, which is also a homogeneous function of degree 4 in the coefficients of p and q. This resultant is never zero, since these two polynomials have no common root.

Lemma C.2. *For any quadratic rational function $f=p/q$, the discriminant ratio*

$$\operatorname{drat}(f) \;=\; \frac{\operatorname{discr}(zq-p)}{\operatorname{result}(p\,,\,q)} \tag{28}$$

is a conjugacy invariant which can be expressed in terms of the invariants σ_i of §3 by the formula

$$\operatorname{drat}(f) \;=\; \prod(\mu_i-1) \;=\; \sigma_3-\sigma_2+\sigma_1-1 \;=\; 2\sigma_1-\sigma_2-3\;,$$

and in terms of the invariants A *and* $\Sigma = B + C$ *of §4 by the formula*

$$\mathrm{drat}(f) \;=\; -8A^2 + 36A - 4\Sigma - 27\ .$$

Proof. Clearly this ratio is a well defined complex number which depends only of the function $f(z) = p(z)/q(z)$. To show that it is a conjugacy invariant, first let us try replacing $f(z)$ by $f(z+c) - c$. Then the polynomials p and q will be replaced by $p(z+c) - cq(z+c)$ and $q(z+c)$ respectively. Similarly the polynomial $F(z) = zq(z) - p(z)$ will be replaced by $F(z+c)$. It is then easy to check that both the numerator $\mathrm{discr}(F)$ and the denominator $\mathrm{result}(p,q)$ remain invariant. Similarly, if we replace $f(z)$ by $1/f(1/z)$, then we must replace p, q and F by $z^2q(1/z)$, $z^2p(1/z)$ and $-z^3F(1/z)$ respectively. Again it is easy to check that both $\mathrm{discr}(F)$ and $\mathrm{result}(p,q)$ remain invariant. Finally, if we change scale, replacing $p(z)$, $q(z)$ and $F(z)$ by $p(\lambda z)$, $\lambda q(\lambda z)$ and $F(\lambda z)$, then it is not hard to check that both numerator and denominator will be multiplied by λ^6. This proves that the ratio (28) is a holomorphic conjugacy invariant.

In both cases, we can now simply make a direct computation. Thus if $p(z) = \alpha z^2 + \beta$ and $q(z) = \gamma z^2 + \delta$ as in (25), then it is easy to check that

$$\mathrm{resul}(p,q) \;=\; (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)^2 \;=\; 1\ .$$

The classical formula (17) for the discriminant of $zq(z) - p(z) = \gamma z^3 - \alpha z^2 + \delta z - \beta$ is

$$\mathrm{discr}(\gamma z^3 - \alpha z^2 + \delta z - \beta) \;=\; -27\beta^2\gamma^2 - 4\delta^3\gamma + 18\alpha\beta\gamma\delta + \alpha^2\delta^2 - 4\alpha^3\beta\ .$$

(See [vW].) In terms of the invariants (13) we can easily reduce this to the expression

$$\mathrm{drat}(f) \;=\; \mathrm{discr}(zq - p) \;=\; -8A^2 + 36A - 4(B + C) - 27\ ,$$

as required.

Similarly, direct computation using the normal form (4) together with (3) shows that the invariant $\mathrm{drat}(f)$ is equal to $\prod(\mu_i - 1)$. □

Remark C.3. Lemma C.1 could also be expressed by an analogous explicit formula

$$\sigma_3 \;=\; \frac{\mathrm{result}(zq - p\,,\, p'q - q'p)}{\mathrm{result}(p,q)^2}\ . \tag{29}$$

Combining Lemmas C.1 and C.2, we obtain the following.

Corollary C.4. *The invariants* σ_i, A *and* $\Sigma = B + C$ *are related by the identities*

$$\sigma_1 \;=\; 8A - 6\ , \qquad \sigma_2 \;=\; 8A^2 - 20A + 4\Sigma + 12\ . \tag{30}$$

Appendix D. The Geometry of Periodic Orbits.

First some elementary number theory. Let $\nu_d(n)$ be the number of periodic points of period n for a generic polynomial map $f:\mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{C}$ of degree $d\ge 2$. Since the fixed points of $f^{\circ n}$, that is the roots of the equation $f^{\circ n}(z)-z=0$ of degree d^n, are precisely the periodic points with period m dividing n, it follows that

$$d^n \;=\; \sum_{m|n} \nu_d(m)\;,$$

to be summed over all numbers $m\ge 1$ which divide n. We can easily solve inductively for $\nu_d(n)$. For example

$$\nu_d(1)=d\;,\quad \nu_d(2)=d^2-d\;,\quad \nu_d(3)=d^3-d\;,\quad \nu_4(d)=d^4-d^2\;.$$

(Using the "Möbius Inversion Formula", the solution can be written as

$$\nu_d(n) \;=\; \sum_{m|n} \mu(n/m)\, d^m\;,$$

where the Möbius function $\mu(\;)$ is defined by $\mu(p_1\cdots p_k)=(-1)^k$ for a product of k distinct primes with $k\ge 0$, and $\mu(m)=0$ if m is not a product of distinct primes. See for example [HW].) It is easy to check that this number $\nu_d(n)$ is always divisible by both d and n. Henceforth, let us specialize to the case $d=2$. Thus the number of period n orbits for a generic quadratic polynomial map is $\nu_2(n)/n$. According to 4.2, the number of period n hyperbolic components in the Mandelbrot set is $\nu_2(n)/2$. Here is a table.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\nu_2(n)/n$	2	1	2	3	6	9	18	30	56	99	186	335
$\nu_2(n)/2$	1	1	3	6	15	27	63	120	252	495	1023	2010

Now let us return to the study of quadratic rational maps. To simplify the discussion, let us choose some normal form for which the point at infinity cannot be periodic. For example, any conjugacy class contains a representative of the form

$$f(z) \;=\; \frac{1}{a(z+z^{-1})+b}\;,$$

with $\infty\mapsto 0\mapsto 0$, so that ∞ is not periodic. Writing $f^{\circ n}(z)$ as a quotient $p_n(z)/q_n(z)$ of two polynomials, where degree$(q)=2^n\ge$ degree(p), it follows that the equation

$$z\,q_n(z)-p_n(z) \;=\; 0$$

for fixed points of $f^{\circ n}$ has degree exactly 2^n+1. Using an argument similar to the proof of 4.2, we see that there are unique polynomials $\Phi_n(z)$ so that

$$z\,q_n(z)-p_n(z) \;=\; \prod_{m|n}\Phi_m(z) \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad \Phi_n(z) \;=\; \prod_{m|n}\left(z\,q_n(z)-p_n(z)\right)^{\mu(n/m)}\;.$$

Here $\Phi_n(z)$ has degree $\nu_2(n)$ for $n>1$, and $\Phi_1(z)$ has degree 3. To simplify the notation, let us write $N=\nu_2(n)/n$ provided that $n>1$, and $N=3$ if $n=1$. By definition, the Nn roots of $\Phi_n(z)$, counted with multiplicity, will be called the (formal) collection of periodic points of period n. In the generic case, these period n points will all be distinct, but in special cases it may happen either that two period n orbits come together, or that a period n orbit degenerates to an orbit of lower period.

In any case, since the map f carries this collection of Nn points (counted with multiplicity) into itself, we can group these Nn points into N orbits of formal period n (and actual period dividing n), and form the collection of multipliers $\{\eta_1^{(n)}, \cdots, \eta_N{}^{(n)}\}$ of these period n orbits. Let

$$\sigma_1^{(n)}, \cdots, \sigma_N^{(n)}$$

be the elementary symmetric functions of these multipliers.

Lemma D.1. *Each* $\sigma_i^{(n)}$ *can be expressed as a polynomial function of the two invariants* σ_1 *and* σ_2 *of §3.*

Proof outline. Since σ_1 and σ_2 form a complete set of conjugacy invariants, we can express $\sigma_i^{(n)}$ as a single valued function of (σ_1, σ_2). This function is continuous, since the collection of roots of a polynomial depends continuously on the polynomial. It is algebraic (that is its graph is an affine variety in $\mathbf{C}^3$) since the construction is purely algebraic. But a continuous algebraic function from $\mathbf{C}^2$ to $\mathbf{C}$ is necessarily a polynomial. □

Corollary D.2. *Each locus* $\mathrm{Per}_n(\eta) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ *is an affine algebraic variety, defined by the polynomial equation*

$$\eta^N - \sigma_1^{(n)}\eta^{N-1} + - \cdots \pm \sigma_N^{(n)} = 0 .$$

The proof is immediate. □

[Replace bottom of p. 53 by the following]

Examples. For $n = 2$ there is just one period 2 orbit. According to 3.6, its multiplier $\eta_1^{(2)}$ is equal to

$$\sigma_1^{(2)} = 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 .$$

For $n = 3$ there are two period 3 orbits. The sum and product of their multipliers are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^{(3)} &= \sigma_1(2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) + 3\sigma_1 + 3 , \\ \sigma_2^{(3)} &= (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2(2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) - \sigma_1(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2) + 12\sigma_1 + 28 \end{aligned} .$$

In particular, $\sigma_1^{(3)}$ is a quadratic polynomial in (σ_1, σ_2), and $\sigma_2^{(3)}$ is a cubic polynomial. I don't know any neat proof of these formulas. However, they can be verified by first studying the two multipliers $\eta_i^{(3)}$ asymptotically as $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \to \infty$ in order to determine the degree and the highest degree terms of these polynomials, and then computing enough explicit examples to uniquely determine the lower degree terms. Here are six easily computed examples, which can be used to complete this computation. Let $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3} = (-1 + i\sqrt{3})/2$.

$f(z)$	$\{\mu_i\}$	σ_1	σ_2	$\{\eta_i^{(3)}\}$	$\sigma_1^{(3)}$	$\sigma_2^{(3)}$
z^2	$\{0,\ 0,\ 2\}$	2	0	$\{8,\ 8\}$	16	64
$z^2 - 1.75$	$\{0, 1 \pm \sqrt{8}\}$	2	-7	$\{1,\ 1\}$	2	1
$z^2 - 2$	$\{0, -2,\ 4\}$	2	-8	$\{8, -8\}$	0	-64
$1/z^2$	$\{-2, -2, -2\}$	-6	12	$\{-8, -8\}$	-16	64
$z(z+\omega)/(\omega z+1)$	$\{\omega,\ \omega, -2\omega\}$	0	$-3\bar{\omega}$	$\{1,\ 1\}$	2	1
$z(z+\bar{\omega})/(\bar{\omega} z+1)$	$\{\bar{\omega},\ \bar{\omega}, -2\bar{\omega}\}$	0	-3ω	$\{1,\ 1\}$	2	1

Appendix E. Totally disconnected Julia sets in Degree d.

Let f be a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$. This Appendix will prove the following two statements.

> **Lemma E.1.** *If all critical values of f belong to a single Fatou component, then the Julia set J of f is totally disconnected.*

Remark. Both Przytycki [Pr2] and Makienko [Mak] have announced the sharper result that such a totally disconnected Julia set containing no critical points is homeomorphic, with its dynamics, to the one-sided shift on d symbols. (For the polynomial case compare [BDK], and for the quadratic case compare [GK].) For a study of totally disconnected Julia sets which do contain critical points, see [BH].

> **Lemma E.2.** *Whenever the Julia set J is totally disconnected, all orbits in the Fatou set $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus J$ converge, either:*
>
> (1) *to an attracting fixed point, or*
>
> (2) *to a parabolic fixed point of multiplicity two.*

Remark. A fixed point $z_0 \neq \infty$ has multiplicity equal to two if and only if the first two derivatives satisfy $f'(z_0) = 1$ and $f''(z_0) \neq 0$, so that there is exactly one attracting petal in the associated Leau-Fatou flower.

Combining these two statements, we evidently obtain Lemma 8.1, as stated in §8.

Proof of E.1 (modeled on [R3]). Suppose that all critical values belong to the Fatou component U_1. Then U_1 must be an immediate basin for an attracting or parabolic periodic point, and must belong to a cycle

$$U_1 \to U_2 \to \cdots \to U_p \to U_1$$

of Fatou components with period $p \geq 1$. Evidently every Fatou component must eventually fall onto this cycle. (Compare [Su].)

Hyperbolic case: If f is hyperbolic, then the closure of the post-critical set X splits as a disjoint union $\overline{X} = \overline{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \overline{X}_p$ where $\overline{X}_i$ is a compact subset of U_i. Choose a closed topological disk $D \subset U_1$ with $\overline{X}_1$ contained in the interior of D, and let Γ be the boundary of D. Note that every critical value of f is contained in $X_1 \subset D$, and note that Γ separates X_1 from the Julia set.

Step 1. We prove that no component Γ_n of $f^{-n}(\Gamma)$ can separate points of any X_i. If Γ_n is not contained in U_i, then Γ_n certainly cannot separate X_i. For $\Gamma_n \subset U_i$, we will prove this statement by induction on n. If $1 \le i < p$, then since f induces a homeomorphism from the pair (U_i, X_i) onto (U_{i+1}, X_{i+1}), the statement follows by induction. Thus we may suppose that $i = p$, so that $\Gamma_n \subset U_p$ with $f(\Gamma_n) = \Gamma_{n-1} \subset U_1$. Suppose inductively that Γ_{n-1} does not separate X_1. Choose a small disk $\Delta \subset U_1$ containing X_1 and disjoint from Γ_{n-1}, and let Δ' be the closure of the complementary disk $\hat{C} \smallsetminus \Delta$. Since Δ' contains no critical values, it follows that $f^{-1}(\Delta')$ is a union of d disjoint disks, and hence that its complement $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected. Therefore the loop $\Gamma_n \subset f^{-1}(\Delta')$ cannot separate points of $X_p \subset f^{-1}(\Delta)$. This proves Step 1.

In particular, since Γ_{n-1} cannot separate two critical values, it follows that each Γ_n maps homeomorphically onto $f(\Gamma_n) = \Gamma_{n-1}$.

Step 2. We use the Poincaré metric on the complement of $\overline{X}$. Since the iterated pre-images of Γ remain bounded away from $\overline{X}$, and since each component of $f^{-n}(\Gamma)$ maps homeomorphically onto Γ, it follows that the Poincaré diameters of the various components of $f^{-n}(\Gamma)$ shrink uniformly to zero as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, the diameters of these components in the spherical metric shrink to zero also.

Step 3. If $n \equiv 0 \bmod p$, so that $f^{\circ n}(X_1) \subset X_1$, then $f^{-n}(\Gamma)$ separates X_1 from the Julia set. For otherwise, if there were a path π from a point of X_1 to a point of J in the complement of $f^{-n}(\Gamma)$, then $f^{\circ n}(\pi)$ would be a path from X_1 to J in the complement of Γ, which is impossible.

Step 4. Proof that J is totally disconnected, and that $U_1 = \hat{C} \smallsetminus J$. Using the spherical metric, choose any ϵ smaller than the diameter of X_1, and choose $n \equiv 0 \bmod p$ so large that each component of $f^{-n}(\Gamma)$ has diameter less than ϵ. Since X_1 cannot fit inside any of these loops, it follows that every component of J must fit inside one of them. Similarly, any other Fatou component U' must fit inside one of these loops. Since ϵ can be arbitrarily small, this proves that J is totally disconnected, and that U_1 is the unique Fatou component. In particular, $p = 1$.

Parabolic case: Here the argument is more delicate, since the post-critical closure $\overline{X}$ intersects the Julia set in a parabolic cycle. Again we can choose a disk D which contains X_1, the set of post-critical points of U_1, in its interior, but now this disk D must have the parabolic point of $\overline{X}_1$ on its boundary. Steps 1, 3, 4 go through very much as in the hyperbolic case, but Step 2 requires more work. Again we use the Poincare metric on the complement of $\overline{X}$. This decreases under every branch of f^{-1}, and decreases by a definite factor less than 1 throughout any region bounded away from $\overline{X}$. Let P be the union of suitably chosen repelling petals at the points of our parabolic cycle. Then there is a unique branch of f^{-1} which maps P into itself. For any compact curve segment in P, the spherical lengths of the successive images under this branch of f^{-1} shrink to zero. Now consider an arbitrary sequence of pre-images

$$f : \cdots \overset{\cong}{\to} \Gamma_2 \overset{\cong}{\to} \Gamma_1 \overset{\cong}{\to} \Gamma_0 = \Gamma ,$$

where each Γ_n is a component of $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. We must prove that the diameter of Γ_n

(in the spherical metric) shrinks to zero as $n \to \infty$. Let $g_n : \Gamma \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \Gamma_n$ be the branch of f^{-n} which maps Γ to Γ_n .

For any point $z \in \Gamma$ there are two possibilities. If $g_n(z) \in P$ for all $n \ge n_0$, then the same is true for all points in some neighborhood N of z . (Here n_0 is constant throughout this neighborhood.) It follows that the spherical length of $g_n(N)$ shrinks to zero as $n \to \infty$. In this way, we control the points belonging to some open subset of Γ .

For points z in the complementary closed subset $Y \subset \Gamma$, there are infinitely many images $g_n(z)$ which do not lie in P , and hence are bounded away from the post-critical closure $\overline{X}$. It is easy to check that the Poincaré arclength of $g_n(Y)$ is finite for large n . Thus the Poincaré length of $g_n(Y)$ can be expressed as the integral over Y of a function which decreases monotonically to zero as $n \to \infty$. Hence this length shrinks to zero as $n \to \infty$, and the spherical arc length of $g_n(Y)$ must also shrink to zero. The rest of the proof proceeds as before. □

Proof of E.2. If J is totally disconnected and contains a parabolic periodic point z_0 , then z_0 must be a fixed point must have multiplicity two. For otherwise there would be more than one parabolic basin; but if J is totally disconnected, then the Fatou set must be connected. □

Appendix F. A "Sierpinski carpet" as Julia set.

written in collaboration with Tan Lei[1]

By definition, a compact subset of the plane is called a *Sierpinski carpet*[2] if it is connected, locally connected, nowhere dense, and has the property that the boundaries of the various complementary components are pairwise disjoint Jordan curves. Any two Sierpinski carpets are homeomorphic to each other. (See [Wh]). The standard example is obtained from the unit square by subdividing into nine subsquares, removing the interior of the middle subsquare, then removing the middle ninth of each of the remaining eight subsquares, and continuing inductively.

It seems to be widely known among experts that such a Siepinski carpet can occur as the Julia set for a rational function, but we are not aware of any explicit example in the literature.

Wittner [W] noted that there is one and only one real quadratic rational map, up to conjugacy, such that the two critical orbits have periods three and four respectively. He showed that this example cannot be obtained by mating two quadratic polynomials. *We will show that the Julia set for this rational map is homeomorphic to a Sierpinski carpet.*



Figure 18. The Julia set $J(g)$.

The example. Consider a real quadratic map $f(z) = a(z + z^{-1}) + b$, normalized so that the critical points are at ± 1 , and so that there is a fixed point of multiplier

[1] Address: Laboratoire Math., École Norm. Sup., 69364 Lyon, France.

[2] The term "Sierpinski curve" is commonly used in the literature. However Mandelbrot's term "Sierpinski carpet" seems more descriptive, and serves to distinguish this object from other examples of fractals due to Sierpinski.

$1/a$ at infinity. Computation shows that there are unique values $a = -.138115091$, $b = -.303108805$ so that the critical point $x_0 = 1$ has period 4 and so that the critical point $y_0 = -1$ has period 3. (The critical orbits are arranged along the real line as $x_3 < y_0 < x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < x_0 < y_2$, where for example $x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_0$.) It will be convenient to conjugate f by the Moebius transformation $z \leftrightarrow (z+i)/(iz+1)$ which interchanges the real axis $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ and the unit circle S^1. The critical orbits for the resulting map g are shown in Figure 19, and the corresponding Julia set, drawn to the same scale, is shown in Figure 18. Note that the critical values x_1 and y_1 divide the unit circle S^1 into a shorter arc I and a longer arc $J = S^1 \smallsetminus \text{interior}\, I$. The map g carries both the interval $[-1, 1]$ and the complementary interval $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty \smallsetminus (-1, 1)$ homeomorphically onto I, and carries both the upper and lower unit semi-circles homeomorphically onto J. It has a repelling fixed point at the bottom point $-i$ of the lower semi-circle.



Figure 19. Critical orbits for g.

It follows from Lemma 8.2 that the Julia set $J = J(g)$ it connected, so that each Fatou component is simply-connected. Since g is hyperbolic on J, it follows from 7.1 that J is locally connected. In order to prove that each Fatou component is bounded by a Jordan curve[1] we proceed as follows.

We will first construct a simply connected neighborhood U_4 of the point x_3 so that the 4-fold composition $g^{\circ 4}$ maps U_4 by a polynomial-like map of degree 4 onto the lower

[1] The property that every Fatou component is bounded by a Jordan curve seems very common among hyperbolic rational maps with J connected. It would be interesting to decide just when it is satisfied. Note however that the boundary of a fixed fully invariant Fatou component (for example the component containing infinity for a polynomial map) is usually not a Jordan curve.

half-space H_- . (For definitions, see [DH3].) In fact, for $0 \le j \le 4$, let U_j be the component of $g^{-j}(H_-)$ which contains the point x_{3-j} (where $x_{-1} = x_3$), so that

$$U_4 \;\to\; U_3 \;\to\; U_2 \;\to\; U_1 \;\to\; U_0 = H_- \;.$$

Note that the set $U_0 = H_-$ is invariant under the inversion $z \mapsto 1/\bar{z}$ in the circle S^1 . Since the map g commutes with this inversion, and since each U_j contains a point x_{3-j} of the circle, it follows inductively that each U_j is invariant under inversion. Furthermore, since $g(z) = g(1/z)$, it follows that each $g^{-1}(U_j)$ is invariant under $z \mapsto 1/z$, or equivalently under complex conjugation. We will also show inductively that each U_j is simply-connected. We can distinguish two cases. If U_j contains one critical value x_1 or y_1 , then $U_{j+1} = g^{-1}(U_j)$ is a ramified 2-fold covering of U_j . In this case, U_{j+1} must contain the real point x_0 or y_0 , and must be invariant under complex conjugation. On the other hand, if U_j contains no critical value, then $g^{-1}(U_j)$ splits into two simply-connected components. Neither can intersect the real axis, so one must lie in the upper half-plane and the other must be its complex conjugate in the lower half-plane. Just one of these two is the component U_{j+1} containing $x_{3-(j+1)}$. (If U_j contained both critical values, then $g^{-1}(U_j)$ would not be simply connected; however, this case does not occur.) It is now easy to check that the sets U_j are situated as illustrated in Figure 19, containing post-critical points as shown, and that the proper maps $U_4 \to U_3 \to U_2 \to U_1 \to U_0$ have degrees 1, 2, 2, 1 respectively. Thus the composition is proper of degree 4.

Proof that the region U_4 is compactly contained in $U_0 = H_-$. Note that the successive images of $\mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ under g are intervals around the unit circle as follows:

$$\mathbf{R} \cup \infty \;\;\to\;\; I = [y_1\,,\,x_1] \;\;\overset{\cong}{\to}\;\; [y_2\,,\,x_2] \;\;\to\;\; [x_1\,,\,x_3] \;\;\to\;\; [x_0\,,\,y_1]\;,$$

where $[\alpha\,,\,\beta]$ stands for the interval from α to β in the counter-clockwise direction around S^1 . Suppose that the closure $\bar{U}_4$ contains some point u belonging to $\partial H_- = \mathbf{R} \cup \infty$. Then the third forward image $g^{\circ 3}(u)$ must belong to the interval $[x_1\,,\,x_3] \subset S^1$. But it must also belong to $g^{\circ 3}(\bar{U}_4) = \bar{U}_1$, which is contained in the upper half-space, hence it must belong to the smaller interval $[x_1\,,\,y_0]$. Hence $g^{\circ 4}(u) \in \bar{U}_0$ must belong to $g[x_1\,,\,y_0] = [x_2\,,\,y_1] \subset H_+$, which is impossible. Thus $g^{\circ 4} : U_4 \to U_0$ is polynomial-like.

Let X_j be the immediate attractive basin for the super-attracting point x_j (or equivalently let X_j be the Fatou component containing the point x_j). Note that each X_j is invariant under the inversion $z \mapsto 1/\bar{z}$. Note also that X_1 and X_2 are contained in the upper half-plane H_+ , while X_3 is contained in the lower half-plane H_- . For if one of these sets intersected the real axis, then its image X_2 or X_3 or X_0 would intersect the interval $g(\mathbf{R}) = I$. Hence this image, which is simply-connected and invariant under inversion, would have a non-connected intersection with the unit circle; which is impossible. Since $X_3 \subset H_- = U_0$, it follows by induction on i that $X_{3-i} \subset U_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (taking the subscript $3 - i$ modulo 4). In particular, $X_3 \subset U_4$.

Let $K = K(g^{\circ 4}|U_4)$ be the filled Julia set for the polynomial-like mapping $g^{\circ 4} : U_4 \to U_0$. By definition, K consists of those points whose successive images under $g^{\circ 4}$ remain within the set U_4 . Since $X_3 \subset U_4$, and since $g^{\circ 4}$ maps the basin X_3 onto itself, it follows that $X_3 \subset K \subset U_4$.

(In fact X_3 is precisely equal to that component of the interior of K which contains the super-attractive point x_3. Interior points of K certainly always belong to the Fatou set $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus J(g)$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that boundary points of K belong to the Julia set $J = J(g)$. It follows that each component of the interior of K is a Fatou component for g, that is a connected component of $\mathbf{C} \smallsetminus J(g)$.)

Proof that X_3 is bounded by a Jordan curve. Since J is locally connected, it follows from a Theorem of Caratheodory that the various internal rays from x_3 land at well defined points of ∂X_3, which depend continuously on the internal angle. (Compare the discussion in [DH2, p. 20].) Thus, to show that ∂X_3 is a Jordan curve, we need only show that no two internal rays land at a common point. But two rays from x_3 landing at a common point $z \in \partial X_3$ would form a simple closed curve Γ within the closure $\bar{X}_3 \subset K \subset U_4$. Since K is a full set (that is, since $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus K$ is connected), it would follow that Γ must bound a region $W \subset K$, which is necessarily contained in the Fatou set $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus J$. Now some intermediate ray from x_3 must land at a distinct point $z' \neq z$ of ∂X_3. (It follows from the reflection principle that there cannot be an entire interval of internal angles with a common landing point.) Evidently this landing point z' must belong both to the region $W \subset \hat{\mathbf{C}} \smallsetminus J$, and to the boundary $\partial X_3 \subset J$, which is impossible.

It follows easily that every iterated pre-image of X_3 is also bounded by a Jordan curve. Now let Y_i be the Fatou component containing y_i. The proof that Y_2 is bounded by a Jordan curve is completely analogous. For this proof, we construct a neighborhood V_6 of y_2 so that the map $g^{\circ 6} : V_6 \to V_0 = H_-$ is polynomial-like of degree 8. In fact let V_i be the component of $g^{-i}(H_-)$ which contains y_{5-i} (taking the subscript $5-i$ modulo 3). Then $V_i = U_i$ for $i \leq 3$ However V_4 is the complex conjugate (and the reciprocal) of U_4. The pre-image $g^{-1}(V_4) = V_5$ is a neighborhood of y_0 containing no critical values, so its pre-image is the union of a component V_6 containing y_2 and a complex conjugate component. A similar argument shows that V_6 is compactly contained in $V_0 = H_-$, and it follows that $Y_2 \subset V_6$ is bounded by a Jordan curve. Since every Fatou component is an iterated pre-image of either X_3 or Y_2, this proves that every Fatou component is bounded by a Jordan curve.

Proof that the various regions X_i and Y_j have no boundary points in common. We noted above that the regions X_1 and X_2 are contained in the upper half-plane H_+, and a similar argument shows that $Y_1 \subset H_+$. On the other hand, X_3 and Y_2 are compactly contained in H_-. This proves that the closures $\bar{X}_3$ and $\bar{Y}_2$ are disjoint from $\bar{X}_1$ and $\bar{X}_2$ and $\bar{Y}_1$. It then follows easily that all of the sets $\bar{X}_i$ and $\bar{Y}_j$ are pair-wise disjoint. For example, if $\bar{X}_2$ intersected $\bar{Y}_1$ then $g^{\circ 4}(\bar{X}_2) = \bar{X}_2$ would have to intersect $g^{\circ 4}(\bar{Y}_1) = \bar{Y}_2$.

Now suppose that any pair of Fatou components F and F' had a boundary point in common. We claim first that $g(F) \neq g(F')$. For otherwise, if $g(F) = g(F')$, then two different internal rays from the center point of $g(F)$ would land on a common boundary point. Evidently it would follow that the boundary of $g(F)$ is not a Jordan curve, contradicting our previous conclusion. Thus $g(F) \neq g(F')$ must be distinct Fatou components, with a boundary point in common. Taking successive forward images under g, we must eventually find that two of the X_i and Y_j have a boundary point in common, which is impossible. This completes the proof that $J(g)$ is a Sierpinski carpet. □

References.

[Bie], B. Bielefeld, Questions in quasiconformal surgery, in "Problems in holomorphic dynamics", ed. Bielefeld and Lyubich, Stony Brook IMS preprint 1992/7 (see also 1990/1); to appear in "Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book", ed. Havin and Nikolskii, Springer Verlag.

[BDK], P. Blanchard, R. Devaney and L. Keen, The dynamics of complex polynomials and automorphisms of the shift, Invent. Math **104** (1991) 545-580.

[BH] B. Branner and J. H. Hubbard, The iteration of cubic polynomials, Part I: the global topology of parameter space, Acta Math. **160** (1988) 143-206; Part II: patterns and parapatterns, Acta Math., to appear.

[Bou] T. Bousch, Sur quelques problèmes de dynamique holomorphe, Thèse, Univ. Paris-Sud Orsay 1992.

[CCMM] F. Cohen, R. Cohen, B. Mann, R. J. Milgram, The topology of rational functions and divisors of surfaces, Acta Math. **166** (1991) 163-221.

[DH1] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, Itération des polynômes quadratiques complexes, CRAS Paris **294** (1982) 123-126.

[DH2] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes I & II, Publ. Math. Orsay (1984-85).

[DH3] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (Paris) **18** (1985), 287-343.

[DM] P. Doyle and C. McMullen, Solving the quintic by iteration, Acta Math. **163** (1989) 151-180.

[GK] L. Goldberg and L. Keen, The mapping class group of a generic quadratic rational map and automorphisms of the 2-shift, Invent. Math. **101** (1990) 335-372.

[HP] F. v. Haeseler and H.-O. Peitgen, Newton's method and complex dynamical systems, Acta Appl. Math. **13** (1988) 3-58.

[HW] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Clarendon Press 1938, 1945, 1954.

[La] P. Lavaurs, Systèmes dynamiques holomorphes: explosion de points périodiques paraboliques, Thèse, Univ. Paris-Sud Orsay 1989.

[Ly] M. Lyubich, An analysis of the stability of the dynamics of rational functions, Funk. Anal. i. Pril. **42** 1984), 72-91; Selecta Math. Sovietica **9** (1990) 69-90.

[Mak] P. Makienko, in preparation.

[Man] B. Mandelbrot, The Fractal Geometry of Nature, Freeman 1982.

[Mc] C. McMullen, Automorphisms of rational maps, in "Holomorphic Functions and Moduli", edit. Drasin et al., Springer 1988.

[M1] J. Milnor, On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds $M(p,q,r)$, pp. 175-225 of "Knots, Groups, and 3-Manifolds", ed. Neuwirth, Annals Stud. **84**, Princeton U. Press 1975.

[M2] ——, Dynamics in one complex variable: Introductory lectures, Stony Brook IMS preprint 1990/5.

[M3] ——, Remarks on iterated cubic maps, Stony Brook IMS preprint 1990/6; to appear in "Experimental Mathematics".

[M4] ——, Hyperbolic components in spaces of polynomial maps, Stony Brook IMS preprint 1992/3.

[M5] ——, On cubic polynomials with periodic critical point, in preparation.

[MSS] R. Mañé, P. Sad and D. Sullivan, On the dynamics of rational maps, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. Paris (4) **16** (1983) 193-217.

[Pe] C. Petersen, No elliptic limits, in preparation.

[Pr1] F. Przytycki, Remarks on the simple connectedness of basins of sinks for iteration of rational maps, Dyn. Sys. & Erg. Th., Banach Center Pub. **23** (1989) 229-235.

[Pr2] ——, Polynomials in the hyperbolic components, in preparation.

[R1] M. Rees, Ergodic rational maps with dense critical point forward orbit, Erg. Th. & Dy. Sy. **4** (1984) 311-322.

[R2] ——, Positive measure sets of ergodic rational maps, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986) 383-407.

[R3] ——, Components of degree two hyperbolic rational maps, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), 357-382.

[R4] ——, Combinatorial models illustrating variation of dynamics in families of rational maps, Proc. Int. Congr. Math. Kyoto 1990.

[R5] ——, A partial description of parameter space of rational maps of degree two, Part I: Acta Math. **168** (1992) 11-87; Part II: Stony Brook IMS preprint 1991/4.

[Se] G. Segal, The topology of spaces of rational functions, Acta Math. **143** (1979) 39-72.

[Sh1] M. Shishikura, On the quasiconformal surgery of rational functions, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **20** (1987) 1-29.

[Sh2] M. Shishikura, On a theorem of M. Rees for the matings of polynomials, preprint, IHES 1990.

[Su] D. Sullivan, Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics I, solution of the Fatou-Julia problem on wandering domains, Ann. Math. **122** (1985) 401-418.

[Ta] Tan Lei, Accouplements des polynômes complexes, Thèse, Orsay 1987; Mating of quadratic polynomials, to appear.

[Th] W. Thurston, Three-dimensional Geometry and Topology, to appear.

[vW] B. L. van der Waerden, Modern Algebra I (various editions).

[Wh] G. T. Whyburn, Topological characterization of the Sierpinski curve, Fundamenta Math. **45** (1958) 320-324.

[W] B. Wittner, On the bifurcation loci of rational maps of degree two, Thesis, Cornell University 1988.

[Y] Yin Yongcheng, On the Julia sets of quadratic rational maps, Complex Variables **18** (1992) 141-147.