## Non-Archimedean Methods in Complex Dynamics

#### Araceli Bonifant

University of Rhode Island

Stony Brook University March 7, 2013

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Any cubic polynomial map with marked critical point is affinely conjugate to one of the form

$$F(z) = F_{a,v}(z) = z^3 - 3a^2z + (2a^3 + v)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Any cubic polynomial map with marked critical point is affinely conjugate to one of the form

$$F(z) = F_{a,v}(z) = z^3 - 3a^2z + (2a^3 + v)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where

a is the marked critical point

Any cubic polynomial map with marked critical point is affinely conjugate to one of the form

$$F(z) = F_{a,v}(z) = z^3 - 3a^2z + (2a^3 + v)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where

- a is the marked critical point
- v = F(a) is the marked critical value

Any cubic polynomial map with marked critical point is affinely conjugate to one of the form

$$F(z) = F_{a,v}(z) = z^3 - 3a^2z + (2a^3 + v)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

where

- a is the marked critical point
- v = F(a) is the marked critical value
- -a is the free critical point

Any cubic polynomial map with marked critical point is affinely conjugate to one of the form

$$F(z) = F_{a,v}(z) = z^3 - 3a^2z + (2a^3 + v)$$

where

- a is the marked critical point
- v = F(a) is the marked critical value
- -a is the free critical point

The set of all such maps  $F = F_{a,v}$  will be identified with the parameter space, consisting of all pairs  $(a, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

## The Period *p* Curve, $S_p$

#### **Definition:** The period *p* curve

$$\mathcal{S}_{p} = \left\{ (a, v) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ; F^{\circ p}(a) = a 
ight\}$$
 with  $p$  minimal

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

#### The Period *p* Curve, $S_p$

**Definition:** The period *p* curve

$$\mathcal{S}_{p} = \left\{ (a, v) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ; F^{\circ p}(a) = a 
ight\}$$
 with  $p$  minimal

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

**Theorem (Milnor 1991).**  $S_p$  is a smooth affine algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (conjecturally always connected).

#### The Period *p* Curve, $S_p$

**Definition:** The period *p* curve

$$\mathcal{S}_{p} = \left\{ (a, v) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ; F^{\circ p}(a) = a 
ight\}$$
 with  $p$  minimal

**Theorem (Milnor 1991).**  $S_p$  is a smooth affine algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (conjecturally always connected).

For most periods p,  $S_p$  is a many times punctured surface of high genus.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

### $\mathcal{S}_1$ has genus zero with one puncture ( $\cong \mathbb{C}$ )



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ(?)

## $\mathcal{S}_2~$ has genus zero with two punctures



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 の々で

### $\mathcal{S}_3$ has genus one with eight punctures



Universal covering of  $\overline{S}_3$ .

(ロ)、

#### Escape Regions $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$

**Definition.** An **escape region**  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  is a connected component of the open set consisting of maps  $F \in \mathcal{S}_p$ 



#### Escape Regions $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$

**Definition.** An **escape region**  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  is a connected component of the open set consisting of maps  $F \in \mathcal{S}_p$  for which the orbit of the critical point -a escapes to  $\infty$ .



**Definition.** An **escape region**  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  is a connected component of the open set consisting of maps  $F \in \mathcal{S}_p$  for which the orbit of the critical point -a escapes to  $\infty$ .

**Lemma (Milnor 1991).** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  is canonically diffeomorphic to the  $\mu$ -fold covering of the complement  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ,

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

**Definition.** An **escape region**  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  is a connected component of the open set consisting of maps  $F \in \mathcal{S}_p$  for which the orbit of the critical point -a escapes to  $\infty$ .

**Lemma (Milnor 1991).** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  is canonically diffeomorphic to the  $\mu$ -fold covering of the complement  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , where  $\mu = \mu_h \ge 1$  is an integer called the **multiplicity** of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

**Definition.** An **escape region**  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  is a connected component of the open set consisting of maps  $F \in \mathcal{S}_p$  for which the orbit of the critical point -a escapes to  $\infty$ .

**Lemma (Milnor 1991).** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  is canonically diffeomorphic to the  $\mu$ -fold covering of the complement  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , where  $\mu = \mu_h \ge 1$  is an integer called the **multiplicity** of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

The curve  $S_p$  can be compactified by adding finitely many ideal points  $\infty_h$ ,



The curve  $S_p$  can be compactified by adding finitely many ideal points  $\infty_h$ , one for each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ ,

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The curve  $S_p$  can be compactified by adding finitely many ideal points  $\infty_h$ , one for each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ , thus yielding a smooth complex 1-manifold  $\overline{S}_p$ .

The curve  $S_p$  can be compactified by adding finitely many ideal points  $\infty_h$ , one for each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ , thus yielding a smooth complex 1-manifold  $\overline{S}_p$ .

**Caution.**  $\overline{S}_p$  is NOT the closure of  $S_p$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ . (The closure has singular points at infinity.)

The curve  $S_p$  can be compactified by adding finitely many ideal points  $\infty_h$ , one for each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ , thus yielding a smooth complex 1-manifold  $\overline{S}_p$ .

**Caution.**  $\overline{S}_p$  is NOT the closure of  $S_p$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ . (The closure has singular points at infinity.)

With this compactification, each escape region, together with its ideal point, is conformally isomorphic to the open unit disk.

# Degree and the number $N_p$ of escape regions.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\rho}} ,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\rho}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

 $1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\mathcal{P}}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

$$1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$$
.

Here the degree grows exponentially fast with p,

 $\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) \sim \mathbf{3}^{p-1} \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty \ .$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\mathcal{P}}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

$$1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$$
.

Here the degree grows exponentially fast with p,

 $\deg(\mathcal{S}_p) \sim 3^{p-1} \quad \text{as} \quad p \to \infty \ .$ 

More precisely,  $deg(S_p)$  can be computed from the equation

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_p) = 3^{p-1} - \sum_{n|p, n < p} \deg(\mathcal{S}_n).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\rho}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

$$1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$$
.

Here the degree grows exponentially fast with p,

 $\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) \sim \mathbf{3}^{p-1} \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty \ .$ 

More precisely,  $deg(S_p)$  can be computed from the equation

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_p) = 3^{p-1} - \sum_{n \mid p, n < p} \deg(\mathcal{S}_n).$$

Example.

 $\deg(S_1) = 3^0 - 0 = 1$ 

(ロ)、

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\mathcal{P}}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

$$1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$$
.

Here the degree grows exponentially fast with p,

 $\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) \sim \mathbf{3}^{p-1} \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty \ .$ 

More precisely,  $deg(S_p)$  can be computed from the equation

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_p) = 3^{p-1} - \sum_{n \mid p, n < p} \deg(\mathcal{S}_n).$$

Example.

 $deg(S_1) = 3^0 - 0 = 1$  $deg(S_2) = 3^1 - 1 = 2$ 

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\mathcal{P}}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

$$1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$$
.

Here the degree grows exponentially fast with p,

 $\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) \sim \mathbf{3}^{p-1} \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty \ .$ 

More precisely,  $deg(S_p)$  can be computed from the equation

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_p) = 3^{p-1} - \sum_{n \mid p, n < p} \deg(\mathcal{S}_n).$$

Example.

$$deg(S_1) = 3^0 - 0 = 1$$
  

$$deg(S_2) = 3^1 - 1 = 2$$
  

$$deg(S_3) = 3^2 - 1 = 8$$

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{N_{\mathcal{P}}} ,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . Thus

$$1 \leq N_{p} \leq \deg(S_{p})$$
.

Here the degree grows exponentially fast with p,

 $\deg(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) \sim \mathbf{3}^{p-1} \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty \ .$ 

More precisely,  $deg(S_p)$  can be computed from the equation

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}_p) = 3^{p-1} - \sum_{n \mid p, n < p} \deg(\mathcal{S}_n).$$

Example.

$$deg(S_1) = 3^0 - 0 = 1$$
  

$$deg(S_2) = 3^1 - 1 = 2$$
  

$$deg(S_3) = 3^2 - 1 = 8$$
  

$$deg(S_4) = 3^3 - 2 - 1 = 24.$$

# Local Parametrization of $\mathcal{S}_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_p(a, v) = F^{\circ p}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ .

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by

 $\phi_{p}(a, v) = F^{\circ p}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ .

(日)

This vanishes everywhere on  $S_p$ , with  $d\phi_p \neq 0$  on  $S_p$ .

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_p(a, v) = F^{\circ p}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ . This vanishes everywhere on  $S_p$ , with  $d\phi_p \neq 0$  on  $S_p$ . Let  $t \mapsto (a, v)$  be any solution to the Hamiltonian differential equation  $\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial v}, \qquad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial a}.$ 

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}(a, v) = F^{\circ \mathcal{P}}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ . This vanishes everywhere on  $S_p$ , with  $d\phi_p \neq 0$  on  $S_p$ . Let  $t \mapsto (a, v)$  be any solution to the Hamiltonian differential equation  $\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial v}, \qquad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial a}.$ The local solutions  $t \mapsto (a, v) = (a(t), v(t))$  are holomorphic, with  $\frac{d\phi_p}{dt} = \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial a}\frac{da}{dt} + \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial v}\frac{dv}{dt} \equiv 0.$
# Local Parametrization of $S_p \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}(a, v) = F^{\circ \mathcal{P}}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ . This vanishes everywhere on  $S_p$ , with  $d\phi_p \neq 0$  on  $S_p$ . Let  $t \mapsto (a, v)$  be any solution to the Hamiltonian differential equation  $\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial v}, \qquad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial a}.$ The local solutions  $t \mapsto (a, v) = (a(t), v(t))$  are holomorphic, with  $\frac{d\phi_p}{dt} = \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial a}\frac{da}{dt} + \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial v}\frac{dv}{dt} \equiv 0.$ Hence they lie in curves  $\phi_{p} = \text{constant}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Local Parametrization of $S_p \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}(a, v) = F^{\circ \mathcal{P}}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ . This vanishes everywhere on  $S_p$ , with  $d\phi_p \neq 0$  on  $S_p$ . Let  $t \mapsto (a, v)$  be any solution to the Hamiltonian differential equation  $\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial v}, \qquad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial a}.$ The local solutions  $t \mapsto (a, v) = (a(t), v(t))$  are holomorphic, with  $\frac{d\phi_p}{dt} = \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial a}\frac{da}{dt} + \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial v}\frac{dv}{dt} \equiv 0.$ Hence they lie in curves  $\phi_p = \text{constant}$ .

Those solutions which lie in  $S_p$  provide a local holomorphic parametrization, unique up to a translation,  $t \mapsto t + \text{constant}$ .

# Local Parametrization of $S_p \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Define  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}(a, v) = F^{\circ \mathcal{P}}(a) - a$ , where  $F = F_{a,v}$ . This vanishes everywhere on  $S_p$ , with  $d\phi_p \neq 0$  on  $S_p$ . Let  $t \mapsto (a, v)$  be any solution to the Hamiltonian differential equation  $\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial v}, \qquad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial a}.$ The local solutions  $t \mapsto (a, v) = (a(t), v(t))$  are holomorphic, with  $\frac{d\phi_p}{dt} = \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial a}\frac{da}{dt} + \frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial v}\frac{dv}{dt} \equiv 0.$ Hence they lie in curves  $\phi_{p} = \text{constant}$ .

Those solutions which lie in  $S_p$  provide a local holomorphic parametrization, unique up to a translation,  $t \mapsto t + \text{constant}$ .

Equivalently, the holomorphic 1-form dt is well defined and non-zero everywhere on  $S_p$ .

## Sample parametrization: A small part of $S_4$ .



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

# Sample parametrization: A small part of $S_4$ .



ъ

 $\mathcal{S}_4$  has genus fifteen with twenty punctures

# Sample parametrization: A small part of $S_4$ .



 $S_4$  has genus fifteen with twenty punctures (5 visible here).

◆ロ → ◆聞 → ◆臣 → ◆臣 → ○臣

For each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  the projection map

$$(a, v) \mapsto a$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

has a pole of order  $\mu \ge 1$  at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

For each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  the projection map

$$(a, v) \mapsto a$$

has a pole of order  $\mu \geq 1$  at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

We will work with the variable

$$\xi = \frac{1}{a}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

For each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  the projection map

 $(a, v) \mapsto a$ 

has a pole of order  $\mu \geq 1$  at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

We will work with the variable

$$\xi = \frac{1}{a}$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

which is a bounded holomorphic function throughout a neighborhood of  $\infty_h$  in  $S_p$ .

For each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_p$  the projection map

 $(a, v) \mapsto a$ 

has a pole of order  $\mu \geq 1$  at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

We will work with the variable

$$\xi = \frac{1}{a}$$

which is a bounded holomorphic function throughout a neighborhood of  $\infty_h$  in  $S_p$ .

Since  $\xi$  has a zero of order  $\mu$  at  $\infty_h$ , we can choose some  $\mu$ -th root  $\eta = \xi^{1/\mu}$  as a local uniformizing parameter near the ideal point.

In any escape region, the residue  $\oint dt$  is zero, so that *t* is locally a meromorphic function.

In any escape region, the residue  $\oint dt$  is zero, so that *t* is locally a meromorphic function. After adjusting by an additive constant we can write

$$t = c \eta^{w} + (\text{higher order terms})$$
  
  $\sim c \eta^{w} \quad \text{with} \quad c \neq 0$ 

where  $w = w_h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is a new invariant called the winding number.

In any escape region, the residue  $\oint dt$  is zero, so that *t* is locally a meromorphic function. After adjusting by an additive constant we can write

$$t = c \eta^{w} + (\text{higher order terms})$$
  
  $\sim c \eta^{w} \quad \text{with} \quad c \neq 0$ 

where  $w = w_h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is a new invariant called the winding number. As we wind once around the ideal point in  $S_p$ , we wind *w* times around zero in the *t* plane.

In any escape region, the residue  $\oint dt$  is zero, so that t is locally a meromorphic function. After adjusting by an additive constant we can write

$$t = c \eta^{W} + (\text{higher order terms})$$
  
 $\sim c \eta^{W} \text{ with } c \neq 0$ 

where  $w = w_h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is a new invariant called the winding number. As we wind once around the ideal point in  $S_p$ , we wind *w* times around zero in the *t* plane. Hence,

 $\frac{dt}{d\eta} \sim c' \eta^{w-1} + (\text{higher order terms}).$ 

In any escape region, the residue  $\oint dt$  is zero, so that *t* is locally a meromorphic function. After adjusting by an additive constant we can write

$$t = c \eta^{W} + (\text{higher order terms})$$
  
 $\sim c \eta^{W} \text{ with } c \neq 0$ 

where  $w = w_h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is a new invariant called the winding number. As we wind once around the ideal point in  $S_p$ , we wind *w* times around zero in the *t* plane. Hence,

$$\frac{dt}{d\eta} \sim c' \eta^{w-1} + (\text{higher order terms}).$$
  
Thus, dt has a zero of order  $w - 1$  or a pole of order  $1 - w$  at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

**Proposition.** The Euler characteristic of the compact curve  $\overline{S}_p$  can be expressed as

$$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\rho}) = \sum_{h} (1 - w_{h}),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Here  $w_h$  is the winding number of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

**Proposition.** The Euler characteristic of the compact curve  $\overline{S}_p$  can be expressed as

$$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p) = \sum_h (1 - w_h),$$

Here  $w_h$  is the winding number of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

It follows that the Euler characteristic of the affine curve  $\mathcal{S}_{p}$  is given by

$$\chi(\mathcal{S}_p) = -\sum_h w_h.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

**Proposition.** The Euler characteristic of the compact curve  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p$  can be expressed as

$$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p) = \sum_h (1 - w_h),$$

Here  $w_h$  is the winding number of  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

It follows that the Euler characteristic of the affine curve  $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}$  is given by

$$\chi(\mathcal{S}_p) = -\sum_h w_h.$$

If  $S_p$  is connected, then

genus
$$(\mathcal{S}_{\rho}) = \text{genus}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\rho}) = 1 - \chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\rho})/2$$
.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The Euler characteristic and the degree  $d_p$  of  $S_p$ 

**Main Theorem.** The Euler characteristic of the affine curve  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is given by

 $\chi(\mathcal{S}_p) = (2 - p) d_p.$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The Euler characteristic and the degree  $d_p$  of  $S_p$ 

**Main Theorem.** The Euler characteristic of the affine curve  $S_p$  is given by

 $\chi(\mathcal{S}_p) = (2 - p) d_p.$ 

Hence the Euler characteristic of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}_p}$  is

$$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}_{p}}) = N_{p} + (2 - p) d_{p},$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where  $N_p$  is the number of escape regions ( = number of puncture points) and  $1 \le N_p \le d_p$ .

# Examples $p \le 4$

р	<b>d</b> p	$\chi(S_{p})$	Np	$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p)$
1	1	1 × 1	1	2
2	2	<b>0</b> imes <b>2</b>	2	2
3	8	-1  imes 8	8	0
4	24	$-2 \times 24$	20	-28

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

# Examples $p \le 4$

р	<b>d</b> p	$\chi(S_{p})$	Np	$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p)$
1	1	1 × 1	1	2
2	2	<b>0</b> imes <b>2</b>	2	2
3	8	-1  imes 8	8	0
4	24	-2  imes 24	20	-28

(Using the equation  $\chi(S_p) = (2-p) d_p$ .)

# Some Euler Characteristics of $\overline{S}_{\rho}$ (DeMarco)

- Period 5: -184
- Period 6: -784
- Period 7: -3236
- Period 8: -11848
- Period 9: -42744
- Period 10: -147948
- Period 11: -505876
- Period 12: -1694848
- Period 13: -5630092
- Period 14: -18491088
- Period 15: -60318292
- Period 16: -195372312
- Period 17: -629500300
- Period 18: -2018178780
- Period 19: -6443997852
- Period 20: -20498523320

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

#### Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

In order to understand asymptotic behavior near  $\infty_h$ , we must first study the dynamics of maps  $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

#### Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

In order to understand asymptotic behavior near  $\infty_h$ , we must first study the dynamics of maps  $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Step 2. The Kiwi Puzzle: Non-Archimedean Dynamics.

**Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in**  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . In order to understand asymptotic behavior near  $\infty_h$ , we must first study the dynamics of maps  $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

Step 2. The Kiwi Puzzle: Non-Archimedean Dynamics. This will convert the Branner-Hubbard dynamic information into asymptotic information about the differences  $a - F^{\circ j}(a)$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . In order to understand asymptotic behavior near  $\infty_h$ , we must first study the dynamics of maps  $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

Step 2. The Kiwi Puzzle: Non-Archimedean Dynamics. This will convert the Branner-Hubbard dynamic information into asymptotic information about the differences  $a - F^{\circ j}(a)$ .

**Step 3. Local Computation:** The contribution of each  $\infty_h$  to  $\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p)$ .

**Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in**  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . In order to understand asymptotic behavior near  $\infty_h$ , we must first study the dynamics of maps  $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

**Step 2. The Kiwi Puzzle: Non-Archimedean Dynamics.** This will convert the Branner-Hubbard dynamic information into asymptotic information about the differences  $a - F^{\circ j}(a)$ .

**Step 3. Local Computation:** The contribution of each  $\infty_h$  to  $\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p)$ .

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Step 4. A Global Identity.

**Step 1. The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for maps in**  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . In order to understand asymptotic behavior near  $\infty_h$ , we must first study the dynamics of maps  $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

Step 2. The Kiwi Puzzle: Non-Archimedean Dynamics. This will convert the Branner-Hubbard dynamic information into asymptotic information about the differences  $a - F^{\circ j}(a)$ .

**Step 3. Local Computation:** The contribution of each  $\infty_h$  to  $\chi(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p)$ .

**Step 4. A Global Identity.** This will help piece the complicated local information together into a relatively simple formula.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

# The local computation and the global identity Local computation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

#### Local computation

For each  $\mathcal{E}_h$  there exists  $c_h > 0$  and  $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that, we get the asymptotic formula,

 $|(a-a_1)\cdots(a-a_{p-1})|\sim c_h|a|^{q_h}$  as  $|a| \to \infty$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### Local computation

For each  $\mathcal{E}_h$  there exists  $c_h > 0$  and  $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that, we get the asymptotic formula,

 $|(a-a_1)\cdots(a-a_{p-1})|\sim c_h|a|^{q_h}$  as  $|a|\to\infty$ 

and prove that

 $w_n/\mu_h = p - 2 + q_h.$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Local computation

For each  $\mathcal{E}_h$  there exists  $c_h > 0$  and  $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that, we get the asymptotic formula,

 $|(a-a_1)\cdots(a-a_{p-1})|\sim c_h|a|^{q_h}$  as  $|a|\to\infty$ 

and prove that

$$w_n/\mu_h = p - 2 + q_h.$$

**Global identity** 

$$\sum_{h} \mu_h q_h = 0.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Local computation

For each  $\mathcal{E}_h$  there exists  $c_h > 0$  and  $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that, we get the asymptotic formula,

 $|(a-a_1)\cdots(a-a_{p-1})|\sim c_h|a|^{q_h}$  as  $|a|\to\infty$ 

and prove that

$$w_n/\mu_h = p - 2 + q_h.$$

**Global identity** 

$$\sum_{h} \mu_h q_h = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{h} w_{h} = (p-2) \sum_{h} \mu_{h} = (p-2) d_{p}.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)
## Sketch of the dynamical plane



Here  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is the co-critical angle.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Since

 $a = a_0 \mapsto a_1 = v \mapsto a_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto a_p = a$ 

then each  $a_j$ , can be expressed as a meromorphic function of  $\xi^{1/\mu}$  with a pole at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Since

 $a = a_0 \mapsto a_1 = v \mapsto a_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto a_p = a$ 

then each  $a_j$ , can be expressed as a meromorphic function of  $\xi^{1/\mu}$  with a pole at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The periodic critical orbit elements are "asymptotic" to the periodic critical point +a or to the cocritical point -2a.

Since

 $a = a_0 \mapsto a_1 = v \mapsto a_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto a_p = a$ 

then each  $a_j$ , can be expressed as a meromorphic function of  $\xi^{1/\mu}$  with a pole at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

The periodic critical orbit elements are "asymptotic" to the periodic critical point +a or to the cocritical point -2a. The cocritical point satisfies the property of also being a preimage of the marked critical value i.e.,

$$F_{a,v}(-2a) = v = F_{a,v}(+a).$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Since

 $a = a_0 \mapsto a_1 = v \mapsto a_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto a_p = a$ 

then each  $a_j$ , can be expressed as a meromorphic function of  $\xi^{1/\mu}$  with a pole at the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

The periodic critical orbit elements are "asymptotic" to the periodic critical point +a or to the cocritical point -2a. The cocritical point satisfies the property of also being a preimage of the marked critical value i.e.,

$$F_{a,v}(-2a)=v=F_{a,v}(+a).$$

We have

 $a_j = \begin{cases} a + O(1), & \text{if} \quad a_j \in P_1(a) \quad (\sigma_j = \mathbf{0}) \\ -2a + O(1), & \text{if} \quad a_j \in P_1(-2a) \quad (\sigma_j = \mathbf{1}). \end{cases}$ 

where each O(1) term represents a holomorphic function of  $\xi^{1/\mu}$  which is bounded for small  $|\xi|$ .

# The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle. Let $a_j = F^{\circ j}(a)$



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for a polynomial with kneading sequence  $\overline{010010}$ .

#### The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for a polynomial with kneading sequence

010010.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### The Branner-Hubbard Puzzle for a polynomial with kneading sequence

010010.





If the critical point  $+a \in \mathcal{E}_h$  then it determines a periodic sequence  $\sigma(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ , with  $\sigma_{j+\rho}(a) = \sigma_j(a)$ , and with  $\sigma_0(a) = 0$ .

If the critical point  $+a \in \mathcal{E}_h$  then it determines a periodic sequence  $\sigma(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ , with  $\sigma_{j+\rho}(a) = \sigma_j(a)$ , and with  $\sigma_0(a) = 0$ .

The **kneading sequence** of an orbit  $a_0 \mapsto a_1 \mapsto \cdots$  in  $K_F$  is the sequence

 $\sigma(\mathbf{a}_0) \sigma(\mathbf{a}_1) \sigma(\mathbf{a}_2) \cdots$ 

of zeros and ones, where

$$\sigma(a_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P_1(a_o) = P_1(a_j), \\ 1 & \text{if } P_1(a_o) \neq P_1(a_j). \end{cases}$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

If the critical point  $+a \in \mathcal{E}_h$  then it determines a periodic sequence  $\sigma(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ , with  $\sigma_{j+\rho}(a) = \sigma_j(a)$ , and with  $\sigma_0(a) = 0$ .

The **kneading sequence** of an orbit  $a_0 \mapsto a_1 \mapsto \cdots$  in  $K_F$  is the sequence

 $\sigma(\mathbf{a}_0) \sigma(\mathbf{a}_1) \sigma(\mathbf{a}_2) \cdots$ 

of zeros and ones, where

$$\sigma(a_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P_1(a_0) = P_1(a_j), \\ 1 & \text{if } P_1(a_0) \neq P_1(a_j). \end{cases}$$

The kneading sequence is briefly denoted as  $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p-1} 0$ , where the overline indicates infinite repetition.

If the critical point  $+a \in \mathcal{E}_h$  then it determines a periodic sequence  $\sigma(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ , with  $\sigma_{j+\rho}(a) = \sigma_j(a)$ , and with  $\sigma_0(a) = 0$ .

The **kneading sequence** of an orbit  $a_0 \mapsto a_1 \mapsto \cdots$  in  $K_F$  is the sequence

 $\sigma(\mathbf{a}_0) \sigma(\mathbf{a}_1) \sigma(\mathbf{a}_2) \cdots$ 

of zeros and ones, where

$$\sigma(a_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P_1(a_o) = P_1(a_j), \\ 1 & \text{if } P_1(a_o) \neq P_1(a_j). \end{cases}$$

The kneading sequence is briefly denoted as  $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p-1} 0$ , where the overline indicates infinite repetition.

The (minimal) period q of this kneading sequence is always a divisor of the period p of +a.

To replace the  $a_j$  by locally holomorphic functions on  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p$ , we introduce the new variables

$$u_j = \frac{a-a_j}{3a}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

To replace the  $a_j$  by locally holomorphic functions on  $\overline{S}_p$ , we introduce the new variables

$$u_j = \frac{a-a_j}{3a}$$

More precisely, each  $u_i$  has a power series of the form

$$u_{j} = \sigma_{j} + c_{\mu} \xi + c_{\mu+1} \xi^{1+1/\mu} + c_{\mu+2} \xi^{1+2/\mu} + \cdots$$

which converges for small  $|\xi|$ . Notice that  $\sigma_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

To replace the  $a_j$  by locally holomorphic functions on  $\overline{S}_p$ , we introduce the new variables

$$u_j = \frac{a-a_j}{3a}$$

More precisely, each  $u_i$  has a power series of the form

$$U_{j} = \sigma_{j} + c_{\mu} \xi + c_{\mu+1} \xi^{1+1/\mu} + c_{\mu+2} \xi^{1+2/\mu} + \cdots$$

which converges for small  $|\xi|$ . Notice that  $\sigma_j \in \{0, 1\}$ . We will refer to this as the *Puiseux expansion* of  $u_j$ .

To replace the  $a_j$  by locally holomorphic functions on  $\overline{S}_p$ , we introduce the new variables

$$u_j = \frac{a-a_j}{3a}$$

More precisely, each  $u_j$  has a power series of the form

$$u_{j} = \sigma_{j} + c_{\mu} \xi + c_{\mu+1} \xi^{1+1/\mu} + c_{\mu+2} \xi^{1+2/\mu} + \cdots$$

which converges for small  $|\xi|$ . Notice that  $\sigma_j \in \{0, 1\}$ .

We will refer to this as the **Puiseux expansion** of  $u_i$ .

The Puiseux series depends on the choice of  $\mu$ -th. root of  $\xi$ , but different choices give series which are conjugate to each other by the Galois automorphism

$$\xi^{1/\mu} \mapsto \alpha \; \xi^{1/\mu}$$

where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary  $\mu$ -th root of unity.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ ■ のへで

**Theorem [BKM].** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is characterized by an essentially unique Puiseux series.

**Theorem [BKM].** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is characterized by an essentially unique Puiseux series.

Passing to formal Puiseux series we can rewrite

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{k \ge k_0 \ge 0} c_k \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{k/\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1/\mu}]], \quad \text{with} \quad k_0 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad k \ge \mu.$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

**Theorem [BKM].** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is characterized by an essentially unique Puiseux series.

Passing to formal Puiseux series we can rewrite

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{k \ge k_0 \ge 0} c_k \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{k/\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1/\mu}]], \quad \text{with} \quad k_0 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad k \ge \mu.$$

Assuming that  $c_{k_0} \neq 0$ , define the **leading monomial**  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{u}_j)$  of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  as  $c_{k_0} \xi^{k_0/\mu}$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

**Theorem [BKM].** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is characterized by an essentially unique Puiseux series.

Passing to formal Puiseux series we can rewrite

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{k \geq k_0 \geq 0} c_k \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{k/\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1/\mu}]], \quad \text{with} \quad k_0 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad k \geq \mu.$$

Assuming that  $c_{k_0} \neq 0$ , define the **leading monomial**  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{u}_j)$  of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  as  $c_{k_0} \xi^{k_0/\mu}$ .

We say that  $\vec{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_{p-1}, 0)$  is a vector of Puiseux series associated to the escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

**Theorem [BKM].** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is characterized by an essentially unique Puiseux series.

Passing to formal Puiseux series we can rewrite

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{k \ge k_0 \ge 0} c_k \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{k/\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1/\mu}]], \quad \text{with} \quad k_0 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad k \ge \mu.$$

Assuming that  $c_{k_0} \neq 0$ , define the **leading monomial**  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{u}_j)$  of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  as  $c_{k_0} \xi^{k_0/\mu}$ .

We say that  $\vec{u} = (u_1, ..., u_{p-1}, 0)$  is a vector of Puiseux series associated to the escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ .

The asymptotic behavior of  $a_j - a$  is encoded by

$$\mathbf{m}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}) = (\mathbf{m}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_1), \ldots, \mathbf{m}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{p-1}), \mathbf{0}).$$

**Theorem [BKM].** Each escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of  $\mathcal{S}_p$  is characterized by an essentially unique Puiseux series.

Passing to formal Puiseux series we can rewrite

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{k \ge k_0 \ge 0} c_k \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{k/\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1/\mu}]], \quad \text{with} \quad k_0 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad k \ge \mu.$$

Assuming that  $c_{k_0} \neq 0$ , define the **leading monomial**  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{u}_j)$  of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  as  $c_{k_0} \xi^{k_0/\mu}$ .

We say that  $\vec{\mathbf{u}} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{p-1}, 0)$  is a vector of Puiseux series associated to the escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ . The asymptotic behavior of  $a_i - a$  is encoded by

$$\mathbf{m}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}) = (\mathbf{m}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_1), \ldots, \mathbf{m}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{p-1}), \mathbf{0}).$$

**Theorem [Kiwi].** Let  $\vec{u'}$  and  $\vec{u''}$  be vectors of **Puiseux** series associated to escape regions of  $S_p$ . If  $\mathbf{m}(\vec{u'}) = \mathbf{m}(\vec{u''})$ , then  $\vec{u'} = \vec{u''}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Non-Archimedean fields, --the basics

Let  $\,\mathbb{Q}^a\,$  be the algebraic closure of the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}\,,\,$  and

#### Non-Archimedean fields, --the basics

Let  $\mathbb{Q}^a$  be the algebraic closure of the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}(\xi)$  be the completion of the field of Puiseux series in  $\xi$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}^a$ .

#### Non-Archimedean fields, -the basics

Let  $\mathbb{Q}^a$  be the algebraic closure of the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  be the completion of the field of Puiseux series in  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}^a$ . By definition, this set consists of all finite or infinite series of the form

$$\mathbf{z} = c_0 \boldsymbol{\xi}^{q_0} + c_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}^{q_1} + \cdots \quad \text{with} \quad c_j \in \mathbb{Q}^a \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \ q_j \in \mathbb{Q},$$

and with  $q_0 < q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ , where  $\lim_{j\to\infty} q_j = +\infty$  in the case of an infinite sum.

#### Non-Archimedean fields, -the basics

Let  $\mathbb{Q}^a$  be the algebraic closure of the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  be the completion of the field of Puiseux series in  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}^a$ . By definition, this set consists of all finite or infinite series of the form

$$\mathbf{z} \;=\; \boldsymbol{c_0} \, \boldsymbol{\xi^{q_0}} \;+\; \boldsymbol{c_1} \boldsymbol{\xi^{q_1}} \;+\; \cdots \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{c_j} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \smallsetminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \,, \;\; \boldsymbol{q_j} \in \mathbb{Q} \,,$$

and with  $q_0 < q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ , where  $\lim_{j\to\infty} q_j = +\infty$  in the case of an infinite sum. This field is algebraically closed, and complete under the norm

 $||z|| = e^{-q_0}$ , with  $\log ||z|| = -q_0$ ,

for **z** as above,  $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ; with  $\|\mathbf{0}\| = \mathbf{0}$ .

### Non-Archimedean fields, --the basics

Let  $\mathbb{Q}^a$  be the algebraic closure of the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  be the completion of the field of Puiseux series in  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}^a$ . By definition, this set consists of all finite or infinite series of the form

$$\mathbf{z} \;=\; \boldsymbol{c_0} \, \boldsymbol{\xi^{q_0}} \;+\; \boldsymbol{c_1} \boldsymbol{\xi^{q_1}} \;+\; \cdots \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{c_j} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \smallsetminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \,, \;\; \boldsymbol{q_j} \in \mathbb{Q} \,,$$

and with  $q_0 < q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ , where  $\lim_{j\to\infty} q_j = +\infty$  in the case of an infinite sum. This field is algebraically closed, and complete under the norm

$$||z|| = e^{-q_0}$$
, with  $\log ||z|| = -q_0$ ,

for **z** as above,  $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ; with  $\|\mathbf{0}\| = 0$ . Note the ultrametric inequality

 $\| \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \| \leq \max(\| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|, \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|),$ 

with equality except possibly when  $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\|$ .

## Open balls and annulus

For any  $r \in e^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , a set of the form  $\{z ; ||z - z_0|| < r\}$  is called an **open ball** of radius *r*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Open balls and annulus

For any  $r \in e^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , a set of the form  $\{\mathbf{z} ; \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_0\| < r\}$  is called an **open ball** of radius *r*. If  $r_1 < r_2$  in  $e^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then

$$\{\mathbf{z} ; r_1 < \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_0\| < r_2\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

is called an **annulus** of modulus  $\log(r_2/r_1)$ .

## Open balls and annulus

For any  $r \in e^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , a set of the form  $\{\mathbf{z} ; \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_0\| < r\}$  is called an **open ball** of radius *r*. If  $r_1 < r_2$  in  $e^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then

$$\{z ; r_1 < \|z - z_0\| < r_2\}$$

is called an **annulus** of modulus  $\log(r_2/r_1)$ . Thus all balls and annuli in  $\mathbb{L}$  are round by definition, and all moduli are rational.

Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $\mathbf{a} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|\mathbf{a}\| = +1$ .



Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $\mathbf{a} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|\mathbf{a}\| = +1$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{L}$  we have a polynomial map  $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  defined by

$${f_{\nu}}(z) \;=\; z^3 - 3\,a^2z + (2\,a^3 + \nu)\;,$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

so that  $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{v}$ .

Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $a = \xi^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|a\| = +1$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{L}$  we have a polynomial map  $f_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  defined by

$${\sf f}_{\sf V}({\sf z}) \;=\; {\sf z}^3 - 3\,{\sf a}^2{\sf z} + (2\,{\sf a}^3 + {\sf v})\;,$$

so that  $f_v(a) = v$ . We will assume that the Puiseux series v is chosen so that  $f_v^{\circ p}(a) = a$ .

Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $a = \xi^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|a\| = +1$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{L}$  we have a polynomial map  $f_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  defined by

$${\sf f}_{\sf V}({\sf z}) \;=\; {\sf z}^3 - 3\,{\sf a}^2{\sf z} + (2\,{\sf a}^3 + {\sf v})\;,$$

so that  $f_v(a) = v$ . We will assume that the Puiseux series v is chosen so that  $f_v^{\circ p}(a) = a$ .

The associated **Green's function**  $G : \mathbb{L} \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\circ,n}(\mathbf{z})\|$ 

$$G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ n}(\mathbf{z})\|$$

so that  $G(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{z})) = 3 G(\mathbf{z})$ .
# Dynamics setting in non-Archimedean field

Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $a = \xi^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|a\| = +1$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{L}$  we have a polynomial map  $f_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  defined by

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{z}) \;=\; \mathbf{z}^3 - 3\,\mathbf{a}^2\mathbf{z} + (2\,\mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{v}) \;,$$

so that  $f_v(a) = v$ . We will assume that the Puiseux series v is chosen so that  $f_v^{\circ p}(a) = a$ .

The associated **Green's function**  $G : \mathbb{L} \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ,n}(\mathbf{z})\|$ .

$$G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ n}(\mathbf{z})\|,$$

so that  $G(f_v(z)) = 3 G(z)$ . For example G(z) = 0 whenever z is periodic.

# Dynamics setting in non-Archimedean field

Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $a = \xi^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|a\| = +1$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{L}$  we have a polynomial map  $f_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  defined by

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{z}) \;=\; \mathbf{z}^3 - 3\,\mathbf{a}^2\mathbf{z} + (2\,\mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{v}) \;,$$

so that  $f_v(a) = v$ . We will assume that the Puiseux series v is chosen so that  $f_v^{\circ p}(a) = a$ .

The associated **Green's function**  $G : \mathbb{L} \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\circ,n}(\mathbf{z})\|$ .

$$G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ n}(\mathbf{z})\|,$$

so that  $G(\mathbf{f_v}(\mathbf{z})) = 3 G(\mathbf{z})$ . For example  $G(\mathbf{z}) = 0$  whenever  $\mathbf{z}$  is periodic. Thus  $G(\mathbf{a}) = G(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ , but it is easy to check that  $G(\mathbf{z}) = \log \|\mathbf{z}\|$  whenever  $\log \|\mathbf{z}\| > 1$ .

# Dynamics setting in non-Archimedean field

Identify the marked critical point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with the constant  $a = \xi^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}$ , where  $\log \|a\| = +1$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{L}$  we have a polynomial map  $f_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  defined by

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{z}) \;=\; \mathbf{z}^3 - 3\,\mathbf{a}^2\mathbf{z} + (2\,\mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{v}) \;,$$

so that  $f_v(a) = v$ . We will assume that the Puiseux series v is chosen so that  $f_v^{\circ p}(a) = a$ .

The associated **Green's function**  $G: \mathbb{L} \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}^{\circ n}(\mathbf{z})\|$ 

$$G(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log^+ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ n}(\mathbf{z})\|,$$

so that  $G(\mathbf{f_v}(\mathbf{z})) = 3 G(\mathbf{z})$ . For example  $G(\mathbf{z}) = 0$  whenever  $\mathbf{z}$  is periodic. Thus  $G(\mathbf{a}) = G(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ , but it is easy to check that  $G(\mathbf{z}) = \log ||\mathbf{z}||$  whenever  $\log ||\mathbf{z}|| > 1$ . For example  $G(\mathbf{f_v}(-\mathbf{a})) = 3$ , hence  $G(-\mathbf{a}) = 1$ .

The puzzle piece  $P_0$  is the open ball consisting of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$ with  $G(z) < 3 \iff \log \|z\| < 3$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

The puzzle piece  $P_0$  is the open ball consisting of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$  with  $Q(z) \leq 2$ 

 $G(\mathbf{z}) < 3 \iff \log \|\mathbf{z}\| < 3$ .

Kiwi Lemma. For each n > 0, the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$  such that  $\mathbf{f}^{\circ n}(\mathbf{z}) \in P_0 \iff G(\mathbf{z}) < 3^{1-n}$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

is a union of finitely many disjoint open balls.

The puzzle piece  $P_0$  is the open ball consisting of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$  with  $Q(z) \leq 2$ 

 $G(\mathbf{z}) < 3 \iff \log \|\mathbf{z}\| < 3$ .

Kiwi Lemma. For each n > 0, the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$  such that  $f^{\circ n}(z) \in P_0 \iff G(z) < 3^{1-n}$ 

is a union of finitely many disjoint open balls.

By definition, each of these balls is a **puzzle piece**  $P_n$  of level n.

The puzzle piece  $P_0$  is the open ball consisting of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$  with  $Q(z) \leq 2$ 

 $G(\mathbf{z}) < 3 \iff \log \|\mathbf{z}\| < 3$ .

Kiwi Lemma. For each n > 0, the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{L}$  such that  $f^{\circ n}(z) \in P_0 \iff G(z) < 3^{1-n}$ 

is a union of finitely many disjoint open balls.

By definition, each of these balls is a **puzzle piece**  $P_n$  of level n.

**Kiwi Theorem.** If **v** is the Puiseux series associated with the escape region  $\mathcal{E}_h$ , then the marked grid for the corresponding Kiwi puzzle is identical with the marked grid for the Branner-Hubbard puzzle for any map  $f \in \mathcal{E}_h$ .

**Corollary.** The Branner-Hubbard puzzle determines the norm  $\|\mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{a}\|$  for each point in the periodic orbit  $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}_1 \mapsto \cdots$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

**Corollary.** The Branner-Hubbard puzzle determines the norm  $\|\mathbf{a}_{i} - \mathbf{a}\|$  for each point in the periodic orbit  $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}_{1} \mapsto \cdots$ .

On the other hand, if

 $\log \|\mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{a}\| = q$  so that  $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}_j = c_j \xi^{-q} + (\text{higher order terms})$ , then it follows easily that

$$a-a_j = c_j a^q + o(a^q)$$
 as  $|a| \to \infty$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

**Corollary.** The Branner-Hubbard puzzle determines the norm  $\|\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}\|$  for each point in the periodic orbit  $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}_1 \mapsto \cdots$ .

On the other hand, if

 $\log \|\mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{a}\| = q$  so that  $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}_j = c_j \xi^{-q} + (\text{higher order terms})$ , then it follows easily that

$$a-a_j = c_j a^q + o(a^q)$$
 as  $|a| \to \infty$ .

In particular, it follows that

 $\log |a_j - a| = q \log |a| + O(1)$ 

as  $(a, v) \in \mathcal{E}_h$  tends to the ideal point  $\infty_h$ .

#### References

B. Branner and J.H. Hubbard, *The iteration of cubic polynomials II, patterns and parapatterns*, Acta Math. **169** (1992) 229–325.



J. Kiwi,

 Puiseux series polynomial dynamics and iteration of complex cubic polynomials, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 56 (2006) 1337–1404.

• Leading monomials of escape regions. To appear in: "Frontiers in Complex Dynamics: a volume in honor of John Milnor's 80th birthday", (A. Bonifant, M. Lyubich, S. Sutherland, editors). In press, 2013, Princeton University Press.

Cubic Polynomial Maps with Periodic Critical Orbit:

J. Milnor, *Part I.* In "Complex Dynamics Families and Friends", ed. D. Schleicher, A. K. Peters 2009, pp. 333-411.

A. Bonifant, J. Kiwi and J. Milnor, *Part II: Escape Regions*, Journal of Conformal Geometry and Dynamics **14** (2010) 68–112 and 190–193.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

A. Bonifant and J. Milnor, Part III: External rays. In preparation.