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## Notes

### 78.1 A (very) short proof of Fermat's little theorem

Fermat's little theorem states that if $a$ and $p$ are positive integers, $p$ a prime which does not divide $a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. The standard textbook proofs rely on complicated divisibility results or ring theory. A little combinatorics makes the proof very simple, and emphasises the hypotheses. The key is the following lemma, whose straightforward proof is left to the reader.
Lemma. If $w$ is a string of arbitrary symbols of length $p$, a prime, and $w$ is not a single symbol repeated $p$ times, then the cyclic permutations of $p$ are distinct.

For example, if $w$ is the string $a b b a b$, then $w$ and its cyclic permutations $b b a b a, b a b a b, a b a b b$, and $b a b b a$ are distinct. On the other hand, the string abab and its cyclic permutations $b a b a, a b a b$, and $b a b a$ are not distinct. All strings with non-distinct cyclic permutations are of this form - the concatenation of some number of copies of a shorter substring. Notice that the length of the repeated substring must then divide the length of the original string.
Theorem. If $a$ and $p$ are positive integers and $p$ is prime, then $p$ divides $a^{p}-a$.

Let $A=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{a}\right\}$ be a set of arbitrary symbols. Form all possible strings of length $p$ of elements of $A$, with repetition allowed. There are $a^{p}$ such strings. Some of them are special - the strings which consist of a single symbol repeated $p$ times, e.g. $x_{1} x_{1} x_{1} \ldots x_{1}$. There are $a$ such trivial strings, and, hence, $a^{p}-a$ other strings. Each of these nontrivial strings has length $p$, a prime, and therefore has $p$ distinct cyclic permutations. Partition the set of non-trivial strings into cyclic permutation classes. each class contains $p$ elements, and each element is in a unique class. Therefore, $p$ must divide $a^{p}-a$.

Fermat's little theorem follows by dividing both sides of the congruence $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$, by $a$. It is a pleasure to acknowledge helpful conversations with Matthew Stafford on this topic.

