

MATHEMATICAL ASSOCIATION



supporting mathematics in education

3126. A Prime Number Conjecture

Author(s): T. Mitsopoulos

Source: *The Mathematical Gazette*, Vol. 49, No. 369 (Oct., 1965), pp. 299-300

Published by: The Mathematical Association

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3612860>

Accessed: 28/03/2010 09:21

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=mathas>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Mathematical Association is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Mathematical Gazette*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

we have

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\{p - c - \sqrt{(-3c^2 + 2cp + p^2 - 4q)}\},$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2}\{p - c + \sqrt{(-3c^2 + 2cp + p^2 - 4q)}\}.$$

For these values to be real, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$3c^2 - 2cp - p^2 + 4q \leq 0,$$

so that $D \geq 0$ and

$$c \in [\frac{1}{3}(p - 2\sqrt{D}), \frac{1}{3}(p + 2\sqrt{D})]. \tag{iv}$$

Noting that a, b, c appear symmetrically in (iii), we deduce that

$$a, b, c \in [\frac{1}{3}(p - 2\sqrt{D}), \frac{1}{3}(p + 2\sqrt{D})]. \tag{v}$$

The cubic equation with roots a, b, c has the form

$$t^3 - pt^2 + qt - abc = 0.$$

The roots of the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t^3 - pt^2 + qt - abc) \equiv 3t^2 - 2pt + q = 0$$

are

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{3}(p - \sqrt{D}), \quad t_2 = \frac{1}{3}(p + \sqrt{D}).$$

From Rolle's theorem, the inequalities $a < b < c$, and the relation (v) we obtain the required inequalities (ii).

This method may also be applied to more general cases.

Professor R. L. Goodstein, in his book *The uniform calculus and its applications* (Oxford, 1948, p. 356) has considered the system (i) when $p = 2, q = 1$. Goodstein's procedure is more complicated than that used here.

D. S. MITRINVIĆ

Smiljanićeva 38,
Belgrade,
Yugoslavia

3126. A prime number conjecture

Let P_n denote the n th prime, and let a number N be said to be of type n if N is expressible as the sum or difference of two relatively prime numbers A_1, A_2 such that

- (1) P_n is the largest prime which divides A_1 or A_2
- (2) every prime $P_r, 1 \leq r \leq n$, is a factor of the product $A_1 \cdot A_2$.

I conjecture that every prime is of type n for some n . The following table exhibits some numerical evidence which supports the conjecture. (The number 1 is counted as prime.)

Table giving types of Primes up to type 5.

Prime Numbers.	$P_2 = 3$	$P_3 = 5$	$P_4 = 7$	$P_5 = 11$
3	1 + 2			
5	2 + 3			
7	2 ² + 3	3.5 - 2 ³		
11	2 ³ + 3	3.5 - 2 ²	3.7 - 2.5	
13	2 ² + 3 ²	3.5 - 2	2 ² .7 - 3.5	5.11 - 2.3.7.
17	2 ³ + 3 ²	2 + 3.5	5.7 - 2.3 ²	7.11 - 2 ² .3.5
19	2 ⁴ + 3	2 ² + 3.5	2 ³ .5 - 3.7	2.3.7 ² - 5 ² .11
23	3 ³ - 2 ²	2 ³ + 3.5	5.7 - 2 ² .3	2.3 ² .11 - 5 ² .7
29	3 ³ + 2	3 ² .5 - 2 ⁴	5.7 - 2.3	2 ² .3.7 - 5.11
31	2 ² + 3 ³	2 ⁴ + 3.5	2.5 + 3.7	2.3.11 - 5.7
37	2 ² .3 ² + 1	3 ² .5 - 2 ³	2.3.5 + 7	2.5.7. - 3.11
41	2 ⁵ + 3 ³	3 ² .5 - 2 ³	2 ² .5 + 3.7	3.5 ² .7 - 2 ² .11 ²
43	2 ⁴ + 3 ³	3 ² .5 - 2	3.5 + 2 ² .7	2 ³ .3.5 - 7.11
47	2 ⁴ .3 - 1	2 + 3 ² .5	2 ² .3. + 5.7	7.11 - 2.3.5

1 Prespas St. (302),
Athens,
Greece

T. MITSOPOULOS

3127. On Implication

p, q, \dots are propositions, and $\varphi(p, q)$ is a proposition about p and q , order being significant, i.e., $\varphi(p, q)$ is not necessarily the same as $\varphi(q, p)$. As p and q together give four true-false arrangements (TT, TF, FT, FF), and if we identify φ by its set of truth values for these four arrangements, then there are 16 possible functions φ . One of these is the implication

$$\varphi(p, q) = (p \Rightarrow q)$$

for which the accepted truth table is

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

The purpose of this note is to show that no other table is possible, despite the misgivings suffered by some people regarding the last two entries. For convenience we shall regard the arrangement of the p and q columns as fixed and write the entries in the third