

18.725 SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEM SET 9

**Due date:** Friday, December 3 in lecture. Late work will be accepted only with a medical note or for another Institute-approved reason. You are strongly encouraged to work with others, but the final write-up should be entirely your own and based on your own understanding.

Read through all the problems. Write solutions to the “Required Problems”, 1, 2, 3, and 4 together with 1 more problem to a total of 5.

**Required Problem 1, Intersection Multiplicity:** This problem is essentially (Hartshorne, Exer. I.5.4). Let  $F, G \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  be non-constant, irreducible, homogeneous polynomials, and denote  $C = \mathbb{V}(F), D = \mathbb{V}(G)$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ . Let  $p \in C \cap D$  be an element such that  $\dim(C \cap D, p) = 0$ , i.e.,  $p$  is an isolated point of  $C \cap D$ . The *intersection multiplicity of  $C$  and  $D$  at  $p$* ,  $i(C, D; p)$ , is defined to be,

$$i(C, D; p) = \dim_k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, p} / \langle F_p, G_p \rangle),$$

where  $F_p, G_p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, p}$  are germs of dehomogenizations of  $F$  and  $G$  at  $p$ .

Let  $P \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  be the homogeneous ideal corresponding to  $p$ . Form the graded  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ -module,  $M = \text{Image}(\phi_p)$ , where  $\phi_p$  is the homomorphism of graded modules,

$$\phi_p : k[X_0, X_1, X_2] / \langle F, G \rangle \rightarrow (k[X_0, X_1, X_2] / \langle F, G \rangle)_P.$$

(a) Prove that the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  equals  $i(C, D; p)$ , i.e., for all  $l \gg 0$ ,  $\dim_k M_l = i(C, D; p)$ . **Hint:** You may assume existence of a *Jordan-Hölder filtration of  $M$* : a filtration of  $M$  by graded submodules,  $M = M^0 \supset M^1 \supset \dots \supset M^r = \{0\}$ , such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $M^{i-1}/M^i \cong (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P)(d_i)$  for some integer  $d_i$ . For every  $X \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]_1 - P$ , the dehomogenization of  $M$  with respect to  $X$  equals  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, p} / \langle F_p, G_p \rangle$  and has an induced Jordan-Hölder filtration whose associated graded pieces are the dehomogenizations of the graded modules  $M^{i-1}/M^i$ . Relate the length of the dehomogenization of  $M$ , the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  and the integer  $r$ .

**Solution:** The definition of  $M$  given above is incorrect. The module  $M$  should be the image of the *graded localization*:  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2] / \langle F, G \rangle \rightarrow S^{-1}k[X_0, X_1, X_2] / \langle F, G \rangle$ , where  $S = \cup_{e \geq 0} (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]_e - P_e)$ . In the problem, the existence of a Jordan-Hölder filtration was given as a hypothesis. For completeness, the existence will be proved – this makes the solution a bit longer. The solution of this problem in Hartshorne’s *Algebraic geometry* does not use all of the properties of the filtration (and so is more elementary).

**Lemma 0.1.** For every pair of polynomials  $F, G \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ , for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^2$  with associated homogeneous ideal  $P$ , if  $p \in \mathbb{V}(F, G)$  is an isolated point then

- (i)  $M$  is a  $P$ -primary module, i.e., the only associated prime of  $M$  is  $P$ , and
- (ii) there is a filtration of  $M$  by graded submodules,  $M = M^0 \supset \dots \supset M^r = (0)$ , and a collection of integers  $d_0, \dots, d_{r-1}$  such that for every  $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ ,  $M^i/M^{i+1} \cong (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P)(d_i)$  as graded modules.

*Proof.* (i) Consider the ideal  $J = \langle F, G \rangle$ . This is contained in the prime ideal  $P$ . By the existence of a primary decomposition, there exists a collection of associated primes of  $J$ ,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$ , and a collection of homogeneous ideals,  $J_1, \dots, J_m$ , such that

- (i) for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $J_i$  is  $Q_i$ -primary, i.e., for some integer  $a_i > 0$ ,  $Q_i^{a_i} \subset J_i \subset Q_i$ , and,
- (ii)  $J = J_1 \cap \dots \cap J_m$ .

Because  $J \subset P$  and  $P$  is prime, there exists  $i$  such that  $J_i \subset P$ , which in turn implies  $Q_i \subset P$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{V}(P) \subset \mathbb{V}(Q_i) \subset \mathbb{V}(F, G)$ . By hypothesis  $p$  is an isolated point of  $\mathbb{V}(F, G)$  so that  $\mathbb{V}(Q_i) = \mathbb{V}(P) = \{p\}$ . By the Nullstellensatz,  $Q_i = P$ , i.e.,  $P$  is an associated prime of  $J$ . **Note:** This is just the usual argument that the minimal primes that contain  $J$  are the same as the minimal primes among the associated primes of  $J$ .

The module  $M$  is a quotient of  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/J$ , i.e.,  $M = k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/I$  for a homogeneous ideal  $I$  containing  $J$ . In fact  $I = \{a \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2] \mid \exists s \in S, sa \in J\}$ , i.e.,  $I/J \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/J$  is the submodule of elements annihilated by an element in  $S$ . Because  $P$  is an associated prime of  $J$ ,  $P = \text{ann}(f)$  for some element  $f \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/J$ . The element  $f$  can be chosen homogeneous. The annihilator of the image  $\bar{f} \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/I$  is  $\{a \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2] \mid \exists s \in S, saf = 0\} = \{a \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2] \mid \exists s \in S, sa \in P\}$ . Because  $P$  is a prime and  $S \cap P = \emptyset$ , if  $sa \in P$ , then  $a \in P$ . Therefore the annihilator of  $\bar{f}$  is  $P$ . In particular,  $P$  is an associated prime of  $M$ .

Let  $Q$  be an associated prime of  $M$ . By construction, every element of  $S$  acts as a non-zero-divisor on  $S^{-1}(k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle F, G \rangle)$ , and thus on  $M$  as well. There is a homogeneous element  $m \in M$  such that every homogeneous element of  $Q$  annihilates  $m$ , so the element is not in  $S$  which implies it is a homogeneous element of  $P$ . Because  $M$  is a graded module, every associated prime is a homogeneous ideal. Therefore  $Q \subset P$ . As proved above,  $P$  is a minimal prime containing  $J$ , and  $J \subset Q$  so that  $Q = P$ . Therefore  $P$  is the unique associated prime of  $M$ , i.e.,  $I$  is a  $P$ -primary homogeneous ideal.

(ii) For every integer  $i \geq 0$ , define  $M^i \subset M$  to be the kernel of the homomorphism of graded modules that is the composition,

$$M \rightarrow S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}M/P^i(S^{-1}M).$$

Of course  $PM^i \subset M^{i+1}$ , so  $M^i/M^{i+1}$  is a finitely-generated graded module over  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P \cong k[T]$ . Also, since  $I$  is a  $P$ -primary ideal,  $P^e M = (0)$  for some integer  $e$  so that  $M^e = (0)$ , i.e., the filtration stabilizes to  $(0)$ . Moreover,  $M^i/M^{i+1}$  is a submodule of  $P^i S^{-1}M/P^{i+1}(S^{-1}M) = S^{-1}(P^i M/P^{i+1}M)$ . By construction, every nonzero homogeneous element of  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P$  acts as a non-zero-divisor on  $S^{-1}(P^i M/P^{i+1}M)$ , thus also on  $M^i/M^{i+1}$ . So  $M^i/M^{i+1}$  is a torsion-free finitely-generated  $k[T]$ -module, i.e., it is a finite free  $k[T]$ -module. Every finite free  $k[T]$ -module is free; likewise every graded finite free  $k[T]$ -module is of the form  $k[T](d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus k[T](d_m)$  for a sequence of integers  $d_1, \dots, d_m$ . Thus  $M^i/M^{i+1}$  has a filtration by graded submodules (in fact a direct sum decomposition) where the associated subquotients are of the form  $k[T](d_i)$ .

The induced filtration of each  $M^i/M^{i+1}$  determines a refinement of the original filtration to a filtration by graded submodules,  $M = M^0 \supset \dots \supset M^r = (0)$ , such

that for every  $i = 0, \dots, r - 1$  the associated subquotient of the new filtration,  $M^i/M^{i+1}$ , is isomorphic to  $k[T](d_i)$  for some integer  $d_i$ .  $\square$

By the additivity of Hilbert polynomials, the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  is the sum of the Hilbert polynomials of the associated graded pieces  $M^{i-1}/M^i$ . For every integer  $i = 0, \dots, r - 1$ ,  $M^{i-1}/M^i \cong k[T](d_i)$ . So the Hilbert polynomial of  $M^{i-1}/M^i$  is 1. Therefore the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  is  $r$ .

Consider the functor  $*_{(P)}$  that associates to a graded  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ -module  $N$  the  $(S^{-1}k[X_0, X_1, X_2])_0$ -module,

$$N_{(P)} = (S^{-1}N)_0.$$

Localization is exact, as is the functor assigning to a graded module its degree 0 graded part, thus  $*_{(P)}$  is an exact functor. In particular, there is an induced filtration of  $M_{(P)}$ ,  $(M_{(P)})^i = (M^i)_{(P)}$ . For every  $i = 0, \dots, r - 1$ , the associated subquotient of this filtration is  $(M^i/M^{i+1})_{(P)} \cong (k[t](d_i))_{(t)}$ . Now  $S^1k[t](d_i) \cong k[t, t^{-1}](d_i)$ , and the degree 0 graded summand is just  $k\{t^{d_i}\}$ , the 1-dimensional  $k$ -vector space spanned by the monomial  $t^{d_i}$ . Now  $\dim_k M_{(P)}$  is the sum of the dimensions of the associated subquotients, which is  $r$ .

For every  $X \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]_1 - P_1$ , the ring  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2][1/X])_0$  is the coordinate ring  $k[D_+(X)]$  of the affine neighborhood of  $p$ ,  $D_+(X) \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$ . For every  $s \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]_d - P_d$ , the dehomogenization of  $s$  with respect to  $X$  is an element of  $k[D_+(X)] - \mathfrak{m}_p$ , and vice versa every element of  $k[D_+(X)] - \mathfrak{m}_p$  is the dehomogenization of a homogeneous element in  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2] - P$ . It follows that  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2])_{(P)} \cong k[D_+(X)]_{\mathfrak{m}_p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2, p}$ . Moreover,  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle F, G \rangle)_{(P)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2, p}/\langle F_p, G_p \rangle$ . So the intersection multiplicity  $i(C, D; p)$  equals the dimension of  $M_{(P)}$ . Therefore  $i(C, D; p)$  equals the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$ .

**(b)** This problem is rather difficult. Attempt it, but you don't have to solve it. Denote by  $e(C; p)$ , resp.  $e(D; p)$ , the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of  $C$  at  $p$ , resp. of  $D$  at  $p$ . Prove that  $i(C, D; p) \geq e(C; p)e(D; p)$ . **Hint:** Work in affine coordinates for which  $p = (0, 0)$ . First consider the case that  $C = \mathbb{V}(f), D = \mathbb{V}(g)$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime homogeneous polynomials in  $x, y$ . Next deduce the case where  $f$  and  $g$  are not necessarily homogeneous, but the tangent cones of  $C$  and  $D$  at  $p$  have no common irreducible component. The general case can be deduced from this one by an "semicontinuity" argument.

**Solution:** A more complete, but less elementary, solution than the following is in Chapter 12 of Fulton's *Intersection Theory* (there are also solutions in most textbooks on algebraic curves).

**Lemma 0.2.** Let  $f_1, f_2, g \in k[[x, y]]$  be elements in  $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x, y \rangle$  such that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $f_i$  and  $g$  have no common factor. Then  $\langle f_1, g \rangle$ ,  $\langle f_2, g \rangle$  and  $\langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle$  are  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary, and

$$\dim_k(k[[x, y]]/\langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle) = \dim_k(k[[x, y]]/\langle f_1, g \rangle) + \dim_k(k[[x, y]]/\langle f_2, g \rangle).$$

*Proof.* For  $f = f_1, f_2$  or  $f_1 f_2$ , because  $f$  and  $g$  have no common factor and because  $k[[x, y]]$  is a Unique Factorization Domain,  $f, g$  are a regular sequence. In particular, every prime over  $\langle f, g \rangle$  has height 2. The only prime in  $k[[x, y]]$  of height 2 is  $\mathfrak{m}$ , so  $\langle f, g \rangle$  is a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal.

There is a short exact sequence of  $k$ -vector spaces,

$$0 \longrightarrow \langle f_1, g \rangle / \langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle \longrightarrow k[x, y] / \langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle \longrightarrow k[x, y] / \langle f_1, g \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

So to prove the equation of dimensions, it suffices to prove that  $\langle f_1, g \rangle / \langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $k[x, y] / \langle f_2, g \rangle$  as a module. There is a  $k[x, y]$ -module homomorphism  $\phi : k[x, y] / \langle f_2, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle f_1, g \rangle / \langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle$  by  $\phi(1) = f_1$ . Of course  $\phi$  is surjective. For every  $h \in \ker(\phi)$ ,  $f_1 h \in \langle f_1 f_2, g \rangle$ , i.e.,  $f_1 h = a f_1 f_2 + b g$  for some  $a, b \in k[x, y]$ . This can be rewritten as  $b g = f_1(h - a f_2)$ ; in particular  $f_1$  divides  $b g$ . By hypothesis,  $f_1$  and  $g$  have no common factor. The ring  $k[x, y]$  is a Unique Factorization Domain, thus  $f_1$  divides  $b$ , i.e.,  $b = f_1 c$ . Then  $h = a f_2 + c g$ , which is in  $\langle f_2, g \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.3.** *Let  $F, G \in k[x, y]$  be homogeneous polynomials of degrees  $d$  and  $e$  respectively. If  $F$  and  $G$  have no common factor, then  $k[x, y] / \langle F, G \rangle$  is a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $de$ .*

*Proof.* Both  $F$  and  $G$  factor as products of homogeneous linear polynomials,  $F = L_1 \cdots L_d$ ,  $G = M_1 \cdots M_e$  such that for every  $i, j$ ,  $L_i$  and  $M_j$  are linearly independent. Of course  $\mathbb{V}(F, G) = \cup_{(i,j)} \mathbb{V}(L_i, M_j) = \cup_{(i,j)} \{(0, 0)\} = \{(0, 0)\}$ . By the Nullstellensatz,  $\langle F, G \rangle$  is  $\langle x, y \rangle$ -primary, i.e.,  $\langle x, y \rangle^e \subset \langle F, G \rangle$  for some integer  $e \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$k[x, y] / \langle F, G \rangle \cong (k[x, y] / \langle x, y \rangle^e) / \langle F, G \rangle \cong (k[x, y] / \langle x, y \rangle^e) / \langle F, G \rangle \cong k[x, y] / \langle F, G \rangle.$$

The same goes when  $F$  and  $G$  are replaced by any  $L_i$  and  $M_j$ . Thus Lemma 0.2 applies and gives,

$$\dim_k(k[x, y] / \langle F, G \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^e \dim_k(k[x, y] / \langle L_i, M_j \rangle) = de.$$

$\square$

Now let  $f, g \in k[x, y]$  with  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^d - \mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{m}^e - \mathfrak{m}^{e+1}$ . Let  $F = \bar{f} \in k[x, y]_d$  and  $G = \bar{g} \in k[x, y]_e$ .

**Lemma 0.4.** *If  $F$  and  $G$  have no common factor, then  $k[x, y] / \langle f, g \rangle$  is a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $de$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, by Lemma 0.3,  $k[x, y] / \langle F, G \rangle$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Hence there exists an integer  $r > 0$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle^r k[x, y] \subset \langle F, G \rangle k[x, y]$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}^r \subset \langle f, g \rangle + \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ . By Krull's Intersection Theorem,  $\mathfrak{m}^r \subset \langle f, g \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset k[x, y] \subset k[[x, y]]$  be a collection of homogeneous elements that map to a  $k$ -basis for  $k[x, y] / \langle F, G \rangle$ . The claim is that the images of the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  form a  $k$ -basis for  $k[[x, y]]$ .

**Linear independence:** Suppose given a nontrivial  $k$ -linear relation among the images of the elements  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e., a collection  $(c_b | b \in \mathcal{B})$  of elements of  $k$  such that

$$\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} c_b b = u f + v g.$$

Of course  $u$  and  $v$  can be chosen so that either  $u = 0$  or else  $u \notin \langle g \rangle$ ; if  $u$  is in  $\langle g \rangle$ , replace  $u$  by 0 and replace  $v$  by  $v + (u/g)f$ . Moreover, the factors  $u$  and  $v$  can be chosen so that either  $u = 0$  or else the lowest degree nonzero homogeneous part of  $u$

is not divisible by  $G$ . If  $u = 0$ , this is trivial. If  $u \neq 0$ , then  $u$  is not in  $\langle g \rangle$ , which by Krull's Intersection Theorem equals  $\bigcap_{N>0}(\mathfrak{m}^N + \langle g \rangle)$ . So there exists some integer  $N$  such that  $u \notin \mathfrak{m}^N + \langle g \rangle$ . Let  $n$  be the largest integer such that  $u \in \mathfrak{m}^n + \langle g \rangle$ , i.e.,  $u = u_0g + u_1$  where  $u_1 \in \mathfrak{m}^n$ . If the lowest degree part of  $u_1$  is divisible by  $G$ , say  $u_1 = u_2G + u_3$  where  $u_3 \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ , then  $u = (u_0 + u_2)g + u_2(G - g) + u_3$ , and  $u_2(G - g), u_3 \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  contradicting that  $u \notin \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} + \langle g \rangle$ . Therefore the lowest degree homogeneous part of  $u$  is not in  $\langle g \rangle$ .

Let  $n$  be the least integer such that either  $\deg(b) = n$  for some  $b$  with  $c_b = 0$ , or  $u \in k[[x, y]] - \mathfrak{m}^{n-d+1}$ , or  $v \in k[[x, y]] - \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1}$ . Then the linear relation above gives a  $k$ -linear relation modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  which is a nontrivial  $k$ -linear relation,

$$\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} c'_b b = UF + VG,$$

where  $c'_b = c_b$  if  $\deg(b) = n$  and  $c'_b = 0$  otherwise, and where  $U, V$  are homogeneous polynomials of degrees  $n - d$  and  $n - e$  respectively. By hypothesis,  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent in  $k[[x, y]]/\langle F, G \rangle$ , so every  $c'_b = 0$ . Therefore at least one of  $U$  and  $V$  is nonzero and there is a relation  $UF + VG = 0$ , i.e.,  $UF = -VG$ . Since  $F$  and  $G$  have no common factor,  $G$  divides  $U$ . By construction,  $U$ , the lowest degree graded part of  $u$ , is divisible by  $G$  iff  $u = 0$ . Therefore  $U = 0$ , which implies also  $V = 0$ . This contradicts the construction of  $n$ , proving the only linear relation among  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $k[[x, y]]/\langle f, g \rangle$  is the trivial linear relation, i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent in  $k[[x, y]]/\langle f, g \rangle$ .

**Spanning:** Let  $a$  be an element in  $k[[x, y]]/\langle f, g \rangle$ . The claim is that  $a \in \text{Span}(\mathcal{B})$ . If not then there exist a largest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $a \in \mathfrak{m}^n + \text{Span}(\mathcal{B})$ . Up to adding an element in  $\text{Span}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{m}^n$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} + \text{Span}(\mathcal{B})$ . Consider the associated homogeneous element,

$$A := \bar{a} \in \mathfrak{m}^n k[[X, Y]]/(\mathfrak{m}^n + 1 + \langle f, g \rangle) \cong (k[[X, Y]]/\langle F, G \rangle)_n.$$

Because  $\mathcal{B}$  spans  $k[[X, Y]]/\langle F, G \rangle$ , there exists an expression for  $A$  as the sum of a  $k$ -linear combination of the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  and an element in  $\langle F, G \rangle$ . This gives an expression for  $a$  as the sum of a  $k$ -linear combination of the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and an element in  $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  contrary to hypothesis. Therefore  $\mathcal{B}$  spans  $k[[x, y]]/\langle f, g \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 0.5.** *Let  $S$  be a surface, let  $p \in S$  be a smooth point, and let  $C, D \subset S$  be curves such that  $p \in C \cap D$  is an isolated point of  $C \cap D$ .*

- (i) *If the tangent cone of  $C$  at  $p$  and the tangent cone of  $D$  at  $p$  have no common irreducible component, then  $i(C, D; p)$  equals  $e(C; p)e(D; p)$ .*
- (ii) *In every case,  $i(C, D; p) \geq e(C; p)e(D; p)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\mathbb{I}(C)\mathcal{O}_{S,p} = \langle f \rangle\mathcal{O}_{S,p}$  and  $\mathbb{I}(D)\mathcal{O}_{S,p} = \langle g \rangle\mathcal{O}_{S,p}$ . Because  $p$  is an isolated point of  $C \cap D$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}^r \subset \langle f, g \rangle$  for some integer  $r > 0$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,p}/\langle f, g \rangle \cong (\mathcal{O}_{S,p}/\mathfrak{m}^r)/\langle f, g \rangle \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,p}/\mathfrak{m}^r)/\langle f, g \rangle \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,p}/\langle f, g \rangle.$$

Because  $p$  is a smooth point of  $S$ ,  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,p}$  is isomorphic to  $k[[x, y]]$ . Of course  $e(C; p) = d$  where  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^d - \mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$  and  $e(D; p) = e$  where  $g \in \mathfrak{m}^e - \mathfrak{m}^{e+1}$ . By Lemma 0.4,  $i(C, D; p) = \dim_k(\mathcal{O}_{S,p}/\langle f, g \rangle)$  equals  $e(C; p)e(D; p)$ .

(ii) The notation is as in Lemma 0.4. The hypothesis that  $F, G \in k[x, y]$  are relatively prime is not necessarily satisfied. Let  $K$  denote the algebraic closure of the field  $k(t)$ . Then there exist  $F' \in k[x, y]_d, G' \in k[x, y]_e$  such that  $F + tF' \in K[x, y]_d$

and  $G + tG' \in K[x, y]_e$  are relatively prime elements in  $K[x, y]$ . In  $k[t][x, y]$ , form the ideal  $I = \langle f + tF', g + tG' \rangle$  and denote  $M = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Because  $p \in C \cap D$  is an isolated point, there exists an integer  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^r k[x, y] \subset \langle f, g \rangle k[x, y]$ . Therefore  $(I + M^r)/(I + M^{r+1})$  is a finitely-generated  $k[t]$  module and modulo  $t$  this module is 0. By Nakayama's lemma, there exists a polynomial  $a \in tk[t]$  such that  $(1 + a)$  annihilates this  $k[t]$ -module. Therefore, inverting  $1 + a$ ,  $I + M^{r+1} \subset I + M^r$ . By Krull's intersection theorem,  $M^r \subset I$ . Therefore  $A := k[t][x, y]/\langle I = (k[t][x, y]/M^r)/I \rangle$  is a finitely-generated  $k[t][1/(1 + a)]$ -module. By the structure theorem for finitely-generated modules over a PID, this is the direct sum of a finitely-generated torsion-module and a finite free module of some rank  $i$ . In particular,  $\dim_k(A/tA) \geq i$ . To compute  $i$ , tensor the module with  $K$  over  $k[t][1/(1 + a)]$ . This gives  $K[x, y]/\langle f + tF', g + tG' \rangle$ . By (i), this is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $de$ . Therefore  $i = de$ . Since  $A/tA = k[x, y]/\langle f, g \rangle$ , this gives,

$$i(C, D; p) = \dim_k(k[x, y]/\langle f, g \rangle) \geq de = e(C; p)e(D; p).$$

□

**Remark 0.6.** A geometric interpretation of Lemma 0.2 is that if  $C$  and  $D$  are curves on  $S$ ,  $p \in C \cap D$  is an isolated point, and if the “completion” of  $C$  at  $p$  factors into “branches” with no common irreducible component,  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ , then  $i(C, D; p) = i(C_1, D; p) + i(C_2, D; p)$ . This is “fictitious” since the factorization  $f = f_1 f_2$  may not make sense in  $\mathcal{O}_{S, p}$ , only in  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S, p}$ . However, if  $f = f_1 f_2$  is a factorization in  $\mathcal{O}_{S, p}$ , this does make sense. In any case, it is a useful fiction whose rigorous version is Lemma 0.2.

(c) Let  $X$  be a plane curve and  $p \in X$  an element. Prove that for all but finitely many lines  $L$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  containing  $p$ ,  $i(X, L; p) = e(X; p)$ .

**Solution:** The tangent cone to  $X$  at  $p$  is a union of finitely many lines. Let  $L$  be any line containing  $p$  whose tangent cone is not one of these finitely many lines. By Corollary 0.5(i),  $i(X, L; p) = e(X; p)e(L; p)$ . Of course  $e(L; p) = 1$ , so  $i(X, L; p) = e(X; p)$ .

**Required Problem 2, Bézout's Theorem in the Plane:** This problem continues the previous problem. Let  $d = \deg(F)$  and let  $e = \deg(G)$ . Assume  $C \cap D = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ , i.e.,  $C \cap D$  has no irreducible component of dimension 1. Define  $M = k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle F, G \rangle$  as a graded module. For every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , define  $M_i = \text{Image}(\phi_{P_i})$  where  $P_i$  is the homogeneous ideal of  $p_i$  and where  $\phi_{P_i} : k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle F, G \rangle \rightarrow (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle F, G \rangle)_{P_i}$  is the localization homomorphism.

For the following homomorphism of graded modules, prove both the kernel and cokernel have finite length:

$$\phi : M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_i.$$

**Hint:** This requires more about the Jordan-Hölder filtration and associated primes. For a graded module  $M$ , there exists a filtration of  $M$ ,  $M = M^0 \supset \dots \supset M^r = \{0\}$ , such that for every  $j = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $M^{j-1}/M^j \cong (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/Q_j)(d_j)$  where  $Q_j$  is an associated prime of  $M$ . If  $Q$  is a minimal associated prime, then  $(M^{j-1}/M^j)_P$  is nonzero iff  $P_j = P$ . So the graded pieces in the filtration of  $M_i$  are the associated graded pieces in the filtration of  $M$  such that  $Q_j = P_i$ .

**Solution:** As in the previous problem, the localizations should have been the graded localizations. Again, at the expense of making the solution longer, the Jordan-Hölder filtration will be constructed.

In Problem 1, for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  a Jordan-Hölder filtration is constructed for the module  $M_i$ . Denote  $K^0 = M$  and for every  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , denote by  $K^j \subset M$  the kernel of the projection to the first  $j$  factors,  $K^j = \ker(M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^j M_i)$ . Then  $K^i/K^{i+1}$  is a graded submodule of  $M_i$ . By construction, there exists a filtration of  $M_i$  by graded submodules,  $M_i^0 \supset \dots \supset M_i^{r_i} = (0)$ , such that every term  $M_i^l/M_i^{l+1}$  is isomorphic to  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P_i)(d_l)$  for some integer  $d_l$ . For every  $l = 1, \dots, r_i$ , define  $K^{i,l} \subset K^i$  to be the unique graded submodule containing  $K^{i+1}$  such that  $K^{i,l}/K^{i+1} = (K^i/K^{i+1}) \cap M_i^l$ . Then  $K^{i,l}/K^{i,l+1}$  is a graded submodule of  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P_i)(d_l) \cong k[t](d_l)$ . Such a submodule is clearly either  $(0)$  or else  $k[t](e_l)$  for some integer  $e_l \geq d_l$ . Concatenating these filtrations gives a filtration on  $M$  which stabilizes at  $K^m = \ker(\phi)$  and such that the associated filtration on  $M/K^m$  has the properties mentioned above.

The claim is that  $\ker(\phi)$  has finite length. If  $\ker(\phi) = (0)$ , this is trivial. Therefore assume  $\ker(\phi) \neq (0)$ . Every associated prime of  $\ker(\phi)$  is an associated prime of  $M$ , thus it contains a minimal prime  $P_i$ . On the other hand,  $\phi_{P_i}$  is an isomorphism by construction. Since localization is left exact  $(\ker(\phi))_{P_i} = (0)$ . Therefore the associated prime of  $\ker(\phi)$  is not  $P_i$ , i.e., it properly contains  $P_i$ . Since  $M$  is a graded module, the associated prime is a homogeneous prime that properly contains  $P_i$ . The only such prime is  $\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle$ . Since the only associated prime of  $\ker(\phi)$  is  $\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle$ ,  $\ker(\phi)$  is  $\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle$  primary, i.e.,  $\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle^e \ker(\phi) = (0)$  for some integer  $e$ . Therefore  $\ker(\phi)$  is a finitely generated module over the local, Artinian  $k$ -algebra  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle^e$ . It follows that  $\ker(\phi)$  has finite length. The filtration of  $\ker(\phi)$  by powers of the maximal ideal can be refined to a Jordan-Hölder filtration whose subquotients are all  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle$ . Concatenating with the filtration above gives a Jordan-Hölder filtration on  $M$ .

More is true. As above, there is a filtration on  $\bigoplus_i M_i$ :  $(\bigoplus_i M_i)^0 \supset \dots \supset (\bigoplus_i M_i)^r = (0)$  and the homomorphism  $\phi$  is *strict* for this filtration, i.e.,  $\phi^{-1}(\bigoplus_i M_i)^l = M^l$  for  $l = 0, \dots, r$  (of course  $M^l = \ker(\phi)$  has a further filtration, but this isn't relevant). Because  $\phi$  is strict, the induced homomorphism  $\phi : M^l/M^{l+1} \rightarrow (\bigoplus_i M_i)^l/(\bigoplus_i M_i)^{l+1}$  is injective for every  $l$ . As discussed above, the target is  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P_j)(d_l)$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and some integer  $d_l$ .

The claim is that the image of  $\phi$  is nonzero. To see this, localize both sides by  $P_j$ . There is an induced filtration of the localization. Because localization is exact,  $(M_{P_j})^l/(M_{P_j})^{l+1} \cong (M^l/M^{l+1})_{P_j}$  and

$$((\bigoplus_i M_i)_{P_j})^l/((\bigoplus_i M_i)_{P_j})^{l+1} \cong ((\bigoplus_i M_i)^l/(\bigoplus_i M_i)^{l+1})_{P_j} \cong (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P_j)_{P_j}.$$

By construction,  $\phi_{P_j}$  is an isomorphism, and  $\phi_{P_j}$  is strict, therefore  $\phi_{P_j} : M_{P_j}^l/M_{P_j}^{l+1} \rightarrow (\bigoplus_i M_i)_{P_j}^l/(\bigoplus_i M_i)_{P_j}^{l+1}$  is an isomorphism. It follows that  $(M^l/M^{l+1})_{P_j}$  is nonzero, and therefore  $M^l/M^{l+1}$  is nonzero, proving the claim. In particular,  $M^l/M^{l+1} = (k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P_j)(e_l)$  for some integer  $e_l$ , as a submodule of  $(k[X_0, X_1, X_2]/P_j)(d_l)$ . So the cokernel is isomorphic to  $k[T](d_l)/k[T](e_l) \cong k[T]/\langle T^{e_l-d_l} \rangle$ , which has finite length. Since this holds for every  $l = 1, \dots, r$ , the cokernel of  $\phi$  has finite length.

This proves there exist Jordan-Hölder filtrations for  $M$  and  $\oplus_i M_i$  such that  $\phi$  is a strict map of the filtrations whose kernel and cokernel both have finite length.

**Remark:** It follows that the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  equals the sum over  $i$  of the Hilbert polynomial of  $M_i$ . On the one hand, there is an exact sequence of graded modules,

$$0 \rightarrow k[X_0, X_1, X_2](-d-e) \xrightarrow{(G, -F)^\dagger} k[X_0, X_1, X_2](-d) \oplus k[X_0, X_1, X_2](-e) \xrightarrow{(F, G)} k[X_0, X_1, X_2] \xrightarrow{k} [X_0, X_1, X_2]/\langle F, G \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

from which it easily follows the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  is  $de$ . On the other hand, by Problem 1, the Hilbert polynomial of each  $M_i$  is the intersection multiplicity  $i(C, D; p_i)$ . This gives *Bézout's theorem in the plane*,

$$\deg(C) \cdot \deg(D) = \sum_{p_i \in C \cap D} i(C, D; p_i).$$

**Required Problem 3:** This is essentially (Hartshorne, Exer. I.7.5). Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$  be a plane curve of degree  $d \geq 1$ .

(a) If there exists  $p \in C$  such that  $e(C; p) = d$ , prove  $C$  is a union of lines containing  $p$ .

**Solution:** Consider the projection  $\pi_p : (C - \{p\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $q \in C - \{p\}$  be a point, denote  $q' = \pi_p(q)$ , and let  $L \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$  be the line containing  $p$  corresponding to  $q'$ . Because  $L$  is irreducible, if  $L$  is not contained in  $C$  then  $C \cap L$  is a proper closed subset of  $L$  which is a finite set. Then by Bézout's theorem,  $\deg(C)\deg(L) \geq i(C, L; p) + i(C, L; q)$ . Of course  $\deg(L) = 1$  and  $e(L; p) = e(L; q) = 1$ . By Problem 1(b),  $i(C, L; p) \geq e(C; p) = d$  and  $i(C, L; q) \geq e(C; q) \geq 1$ . So Bézout's theorem gives  $d \geq d + 1$ , which is absurd. Therefore  $L \subset C$ . So  $C$  is a union of lines containing  $p$ .

(b) If  $C$  is irreducible, and  $p \in C$  is a point such that  $e(C; p) = d - 1$ , prove the projection from  $p$  is birational:  $\pi_p : (C - \{p\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ .

**Solution:** First of all,  $C$  is not a line since for every line  $L$  containing  $p$ ,  $e(L; p) = 1 = \deg(L)$  and  $e(C; p) < \deg(C)$ . So, continuing the argument from (a), for every  $q \in C - \{p\}$ ,  $L \cap C$  is a finite set and Bézout's theorem gives

$$d \geq e(C; p) + i(C, L; q) + \sum_{q' \in C \cap L - \{p, q\}} e(C; q') = d - 1 + i(C; q) + \sum_{q' \in C \cap L - \{p, q\}} e(C; q').$$

It follows that the fiber of  $\pi_p$  containing  $q$  equals  $\{q\}$  and  $i(C, L; q) = 1$ . Let  $t$  be a uniformizer for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, q'}$ , let  $s$  be a uniformizer for  $\mathcal{O}_{C, q}$ , and let  $\pi_p^\#(t) = us^i$  for a unit  $u$  and an integer  $i$ . The algebra computing  $i(C, L; q)$ , namely  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, q}/\langle F_q, G_q \rangle$  equals  $\mathcal{O}_{C, q}/\langle G_q \rangle \cong \mathcal{O}_{C, q}\langle s^i \rangle$ , which has length  $i$ . Therefore  $i$  equals  $q$ , i.e.,  $\pi_p^\# t$  is a uniformizer for  $C$  at  $q$ . In particular,  $C - \{p\}$  is smooth and  $\pi_p : C - \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is injective, and more, the derivative  $d\pi_p$  is everywhere an isomorphism. Since  $\pi_p$  is generically finite, there exists a dense open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\pi_p : \pi_p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is finite. Because  $\pi_p$  is injective, the corresponding field extension  $k(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow k(C)$  is purely inseparable. Because  $d\pi_p$  is not identically zero, this field extension is in fact an isomorphism, i.e.,  $\pi_p : C - \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  is birational.



**Required Problem 4:** Find an example of a weakly projective morphism  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  that is not strongly projective. If you are ambitious, find an example where  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasi-compact and separated.

**Solution:** There are elementary examples if  $Y$  is not quasi-compact. For instance, let  $Y$  be the disjoint union  $Y = \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n$  where  $Y_n \cong \mathbb{A}_k^0$ , let  $X = \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$  where  $X_n \cong \mathbb{P}_k^n$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be the locally constant morphism such that  $f(X_n) = Y_n$ . This is weakly projective because for every  $p \in Y$  there exists an  $n$  with  $p \in Y_n \subset Y$ , the subset  $Y_n \subset Y$  is an open affine subset, and  $F : F^{-1}(Y_n) \rightarrow Y_n$  is strongly projective. But  $F$  is not strongly projective. Indeed, if there were a closed immersion  $i : X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}_k^r$ , then every irreducible component  $X_n \cong \mathbb{P}_k^n$  of  $X$  would have a closed immersion into  $Y_n \times \mathbb{P}_k^r \cong \mathbb{P}_k^r$ . For  $n > r$ ,  $\dim(X_n) = n > r = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k^r)$ , so there is no closed immersion  $i : X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^r$ .

A more ambitious example is Hironaka's example, described in lecture. Here is an explicit version of the example from lecture. Denote homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_k^3$  by  $X_0, X_1, X_2, Y$ . For every integer  $n$ , denote by  $X_n$  the variable  $X_a$  where  $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  is the unique integer such that  $n - a$  is divisible by 3. Let  $U = \mathbb{P}_k^3 - \{[0, 0, 0, 1]\}$ . For every integer  $n$ , denote  $U_n = D_+(X_n) \subset U$ , and denote by  $F_n : V_n \rightarrow U_n$  the blowing up of the ideal  $I_n = \langle (Y/X_n)^2, (Y/X_n)(X_{n+1}/X_n), (X_{n+1}/X_n)^2(X_{n+2}/X_n) \rangle$ . Of course identify  $V_n = V_m$  if  $n - m$  is divisible by 3.

Because  $X_{n+1}/X_n$  is invertible on  $U_n \cap U_{n+1}$ ,  $F_n : F_n^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1}) \rightarrow U_n \cap U_{n+1}$  is the blowing up of the ideal  $I'_n = \langle (Y/X_n), (X_{n+2}/X_n) \rangle$ . Similarly,  $F_{n+1} : F_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1}) \rightarrow U_n \cap U_{n+1}$  is the blowing up of the ideal  $\langle (Y/X_{n+1})^2, (Y/X_{n+1})(X_{n+2}/X_{n+1}), (X_{n+2}/X_{n+1})^2 \rangle$ , which is the same as the ideal  $I''_n = \langle (Y/X_n)^2, (Y/X_n)(X_{n+2}/X_n), (X_{n+2}/X_n)^2 \rangle = (I'_n)^2$ . Because the pullback of  $I'_n$  to  $F_n^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1})$  is a locally principal ideal, the pullback of  $(I'_n)^2$  is a locally principal ideal whose generator is the square of the generator of the pullback of  $I'_n$ . By the universal property, there is an induced morphism  $\phi_{n+1,n} : F_n^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1}) \rightarrow F_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1})$ . Similarly, at every point of  $F_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1})$ , because the pullback of  $I''_n$  is a principal ideal  $\langle t \rangle$ , one of the fractions of the consecutive generators of  $I''_n$  is a regular function. Since each such fraction is either  $(Y/X_n)/(X_{n+2}/X_n)$  or  $(X_{n+2}/X_n)/(Y/X_n)$ , it follows that either the pullback of  $I'_n$  is generated by the pullback of  $(X_{n+2}/X_n)$  or it is generated by the pullback of  $(Y/X_n)$ . Thus the pullback of  $I'_n$  is a locally principal ideal. By the universal property, there is an induced morphism  $\phi_{n,n+1} : F_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1}) \rightarrow F_n^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1})$ .

Of course  $F_{n+1} \circ \phi_{n+1,n} = F_n$  and  $F_n \circ \phi_{n,n+1} = F_{n+1}$ . Therefore  $F_{n+1} \circ (\phi_{n+1,n} \circ \phi_{n,n+1}) = F_{n+1}$ . Because  $F_{n+1}$  is birational,  $\phi_{n+1,n} \circ \phi_{n,n+1}$  equals the identity morphism over a dense open subset. Because  $V_n$  is separated,  $\phi_{n+1,n} \circ \phi_{n,n+1}$  is the identity morphism. Similarly  $\phi_{n,n+1} \circ \phi_{n+1,n}$  is the identity morphism, i.e.,  $\phi_{n,n+1}$  and  $\phi_{n+1,n}$  are inverse isomorphisms. For essentially the same reason, the collection  $((V_n)_n, (F_n^{-1}(U_n \cap U_{n+1}))_n, (\phi_{n,n+1}))$  satisfy the gluing lemma for varieties. Denote by  $V$  be the associated variety. And the collection  $(F_n)$  satisfies the gluing lemma for morphisms. Denote by  $F : V \rightarrow U$  the associated morphism.

By construction,  $F : V \rightarrow U$  is weakly projective: for every  $n$ ,  $F_n : V_n \rightarrow U_n$  is a blowing up which is strongly projective. Because  $U$  is quasi-projective, if  $F$  is strongly projective, then  $V$  is also quasi-projective. But by the argument in

lecture comparing the degrees of various irreducible components of  $F$ ,  $V$  is not quasi-projective. Thus  $F$  is weakly projective, but  $F$  is not strongly projective.

**Problem 5:** Assume  $\text{char}(k)$  does not divide 6. Combine Problem 2 with Problem 2 from Problem Set 6 to deduce that every smooth plane curve  $C$  of degree  $d \geq 3$  has at most  $3d(d-2)$  flex lines.

**Problem 6:** If  $\text{char}(k) = 3$ , give an example of a smooth plane curve  $C$  of degree  $d \geq 3$  having infinitely many flex lines. If you get stuck, look up (Hartshorne, Exer. IV.2.4).

**Problem 7:** Find two homogeneous polynomials  $F_2 \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]_2, F_3 \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]_3$  such that  $\mathbb{V}(F_2, F_3)$  is the rational normal curve  $C = \{[s_0^3, s_0^2 s_1, s_0 s_1^2, s_1^3] \in \mathbb{P}_k^3 \mid [s_0, s_1] \in \mathbb{P}_k^1\}$ . Note that  $F_2, F_3$  do *not* generate the homogeneous ideal  $\mathbb{I}(C)$ .

**Problem 8:** For every integer  $n \geq 3$ , find  $n-1$  homogeneous polynomials  $F_i \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n]_i, i = 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $\mathbb{V}(F_2, \dots, F_n)$  is the rational normal curve  $C = \{[s_0^n, s_0^{n-1} s_1, \dots, s_0 s_1^{n-1}, s_1^n] \in \mathbb{P}_k^n \mid [s_0, s_1] \in \mathbb{P}_k^1\}$ .

**Solution:** For every integer  $m = 2, \dots, n$ , define

$$F_m(X_0, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} X_k X_{m-1}^k X_m^{m-1-k}.$$

This is homogeneous of degree  $m$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(s_0^n, s_0^{n-1} s_1, \dots, s_1^n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} (s_0^{n-k} s_1^k) (s_0^{n+1-m} s_1^{m-1})^k (s_0^{n-m} s_1^m)^{m-1-k} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} \right) s_0^{m(n+1-m)} s_1^{m(m-1)} \\ &= (1-1)^{m-1} s_0^{m(n+1-m)} s_1^{m(m-1)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $C \subset \mathbb{V}(F_2, \dots, F_n)$ . Let  $p = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$  be an element of  $\mathbb{V}(F_2, \dots, F_n)$ . First consider the case that  $a_0 = 0$ . The claim is that for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $a_i = 0$ . This is proved by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = 0$ , this is the hypothesis. By way of induction, assume  $i > 0$  and assume  $a_0 = \dots = a_{i-1} = 0$ . Plugging in to  $F_{i+1}$ ,

$$0 = F_{i+1}(p) = 0 + \dots + (-1)^i \binom{i}{i} X_i^{i+1} = (-1)^i X_i^{i+1}.$$

Therefore  $a_i = 0$ , proving the claim by induction. So  $p = [0, \dots, 0, 1]$ , which is in  $C$ .

Next consider the case that  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Define  $b = a_1/a_0$ . The claim is that for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $a_i = a_0 b^i$ . This is proved by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = 1$ , this is the definition of  $b$ . By way of induction, assume  $i > 0$  and assume  $a_j = a_0 b^j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, i-1$ . Plugging in to  $F_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(p) &= \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (-1)^k \binom{i-1}{k} (a_0 b^k) (a_0 b^{i-1})^k a_i^{m-k} = \\ &= a_0 \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (-1)^k \binom{i-1}{k} (a_0 b^i)^k X_i^{m-k} = a_0 (a_i - a_0 b^i)^{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i \geq 1$ , since  $a_0 \neq 0$  and since  $F_i(p) = 0$ , it follows that  $(a_i - a_0 b^i)^{i-1} = 0$ , i.e.,  $a_i = a_0 b^i$ . The claim is proved by induction. So  $p = [a_0, a_0 b, a_0 b^2, \dots, a_0 b^n]$ , which is in  $C$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{V}(F_2, \dots, F_n) \subset C$ , proving that  $C = \mathbb{V}(F_2, \dots, F_n)$ .

**Problem 9:** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be an irreducible curve contained in no hyperplane. Let  $p \in C$  be any point, and let  $\pi_p : C - \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$  be projection from  $p$ . Denote by  $D$  the closure of the image of  $C$ . Prove that  $D$  is contained in no hyperplane and  $\deg(D) \leq \deg(C) - 1$ .

**Problem 10:** This problem continues Problem 9. Prove that the only irreducible curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$  of degree 1 is a line and use this to prove that  $\deg(C) \geq n$  if  $C$  is an irreducible curve contained in no hyperplane.