SAMPLING SEQUENCES FOR BERGMAN SPACES FOR p < 1

ALEXANDER P. SCHUSTER AND DROR VAROLIN

ABSTRACT. We provide a proof of the sufficiency direction of Seip's characterization of sampling sequences for Bergman spaces for p < 1 based on the methods of Berndtsson and Ortega-Cerdà.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $0 and <math>\phi$ a function subharmonic in $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, define F^p_{ϕ} to be the set of functions analytic in \mathbb{D} satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\phi,p} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1 - |z|^2} dA(z) \right\}^{1/p} < \infty$$

where dA denotes Lebesgue area measure.

We say that a sequence $\Gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ of distinct points in the disk is a sampling sequence for F_{ϕ}^{p} if there exist positive constants K_{1} and K_{2} such that

$$K_1 \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p \le \sum_n |f(\gamma_n)|^p e^{-\phi(\gamma_n)} (1 - |\gamma_n|^2) \le K_2 \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p$$

for all $f \in F_{\phi}^{p}$. Letting $\phi(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}$, we obtain the standard Bergman space A^{p} and the corresponding sampling sequences, which were characterized by Seip [5] for p = 2using methods that were extended to the case $1 \leq p < \infty$ by the first named author [4]. Berndtsson and Ortega-Cerdà [1] showed, using an altogether different proof, that a variation of Seip's density condition from [5] is actually sufficient to give sampling sequences in F_{ϕ}^2 . While it does not appear that the arguments of Seip can be modified to work for A^p when 0 , the techniques of [1], aswas conjectured in [2], can be adapted to F_{ϕ}^{p} (and hence A^{p}) for 0 . Thepurpose of this note is to show how this can be done.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\!\mathcal{M}}\!\mathcal{S}\text{-}T_{\!E}\!X$

1

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30H05, 46E15.

Key words and phrases. Bergman space, sampling.

We introduce the definitions necessary for the statement of the theorem we will prove.

The sequence $\Gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ is said to be *uniformly discrete* if

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \inf_{n \neq m} |\phi_{\gamma_n}(\gamma_m)| > 0,$$

where

$$\phi_{\zeta}(z) = \frac{\zeta - z}{1 - \overline{\zeta} z}$$

is the standard involutive Möbius transformation. The disk of centre ζ and radius r in this metric will be denoted by $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

In the disk it is useful to consider the invariant Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta} = (1-|z|^2)^2 \partial^2 / \partial z \partial \overline{z}$, and for a measure μ and a function g, the invariant convolution $\mu * g$, defined by

$$(\mu * g)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(\phi_z(\zeta)) \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}.$$

Consider now the measure $\nu = \pi \sum_n (1 - |\gamma_n|^2)^2 \delta_{\gamma_n}$, where δ_z is the Dirac-delta measure at the point z, and for 1/2 < r < 1 the function

$$\xi_r(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_r} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} & \text{if } 1/2 < |\zeta| < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where c_r is such that $\int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\zeta) \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} = 1$. We are now in a position to state the main theorem of this note.

Main Theorem. Suppose a sequence Γ is uniformly discrete, and ϕ is a C^2 subharmonic function with uniformly bounded invariant Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}\phi$. If there exists r < 1 and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(\nu * \xi_r)(z) > \frac{2}{p} \tilde{\Delta}\phi(z) + \delta$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then Γ is a sampling sequence for F_{ϕ}^p .

Seip [5] introduces the following definitions. For Γ uniformly discrete, $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and 1/2 < r < 1, let

$$D(\Gamma, r) = \frac{\sum_{1/2 < |\gamma_n| < r} \log \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|}}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}$$

and

$$D^{-}(\Gamma) = \liminf_{r \to 1} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} D(\phi_{z}(\Gamma), r).$$

We then have the following theorem, as stated in [4].

Theorem A. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A uniformly discrete sequence Γ is a sampling sequence for A^p if and only if $D^-(\Gamma) > 1/p$.

A calculation shows that

$$\frac{D(\phi_z(\Gamma), r)}{(\nu * \xi_r)(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \to \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{as } r \to 1$$

Moreover, since $\tilde{\Delta}\phi(z) = 1$ if $\phi(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$, the sufficiency direction of Theorem A will follow from the Main Theorem, for 0 .

As mentioned above, the proof is based on the techniques used in [1]. Our main interest lies in proving the Main Theorem when $0 , thus completing Theorem A, but the proof works, without modification, when <math>1 \le p < \infty$. With the reader of the paper [1] in mind, we will employ the same notation as in that article.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we recall some of the notation from [1] that is necessary to prove the Main Theorem, and we then prove the main theorem given a collection of technical lemmas. Finally, we complete these technicalities, some of which were claimed without proof in [1], so we have included details here for the convenience of the reader.

2. Proof of the Main Theorem

For $0 < t, \epsilon < 1$, consider the functions

$$\chi_{\epsilon} = \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \chi_{\Delta(0,\epsilon)}$$
 and $\nu_{\epsilon} = \nu * \chi_{\epsilon}$.

Note that

$$\nu_{\epsilon} dA * \xi_r - \nu * \xi_r = (\nu * \xi_r) dA * \chi_{\epsilon} - \nu * \xi_r$$

which approaches 0 as $\epsilon \to 0$ and $t \to 1$. Here we have used the fact that fdA * g = gdA * f and

$$\mu * (hdA * g) = (\mu * h)dA * g \tag{1}$$

whenever h is radial. We can therefore choose r and t close to 1 and ϵ close to 0 so that

$$\nu_{\epsilon} dA * \xi_r(z) > \frac{2}{p} \tilde{\Delta} \phi(z) + \frac{\delta}{2}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider now the function

$$v = \frac{p}{2}(\nu_{\epsilon} - \nu_{\epsilon} dA * \xi_r) dA * E,$$

where $E(z) = \log |z|^2$. Since E is the fundamental solution of the invariant Laplacian (with respect to the invariant convolution), we see that

$$\tilde{\Delta}v = \frac{p}{2}(\nu_{\epsilon} - \nu_{\epsilon}dA * \xi_r),$$

so that the function $\psi = \phi + v$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\Delta}\psi \leq \frac{p}{2}\nu_{\epsilon} - \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

We require the following four lemmas to complete the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1. There are positive constants C_r and C_{ϵ} such that

$$-C_{\epsilon} \le v(z) \le 0 \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (2)

Moreover,

$$|v(z) - t\log\epsilon^p| \le C_r \tag{3}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\rho(z, \gamma_n) < \epsilon$ for some n.

Lemma 2.

$$\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{(1-|z|^2)} dA(z) \le \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \sum_n \int_{\Delta(\gamma_n,\epsilon)} |h(z)|^p \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{(1-|z|^2)} dA(z) \tag{4}$$

for all $h \in F^p_{\phi}$.

Lemma 3. There is a constant C > 0 such that for each $h \in F_{\phi}^{p}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists $\tilde{h}_{a} \in F_{\phi}^{p}$ such that $\tilde{h}_{a}(a) = h(a)$ and

$$\frac{1}{C}e^{-\phi(a)}|\tilde{h}_a(z)|^p \le |h(z)|^p e^{-\phi(z)} \le Ce^{-\phi(a)}|\tilde{h}_a(z)|^p$$

for all $z \in \Delta(a, 1/2)$.

Lemma 4. There is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(a,\epsilon)} |g(z)|^p dA(z) \le C |g(a)|^p (1-|a|^2)^2 + C\epsilon^p \int_{\Delta(a,1/2)} |g(z)|^p dA(z) dA(z) \le C |g(a)|^p dA(z) \le C$$

for all $g \in F_{\phi}^p$.

We take these lemmas as given and proceed with the proof. Suppose that $h \in F_{\phi}^{p}$.

Then

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(z)|^{p} \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{1-|z|^{2}} dA(z) \leq \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{n} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |h(z)|^{p} \frac{e^{-\psi(z)}}{1-|z|^{2}} dA(z) \\ &= \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{n} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |h(z)|^{p} \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}e^{-v(z)}}{1-|z|^{2}} dA(z) \\ &\leq Ct \epsilon^{-pt-2} \sum_{n} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |h(z)|^{p} \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1-|z|^{2}} dA(z) \\ &\leq Ct \epsilon^{-pt-2} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2}} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |h(z)|^{p} e^{-\phi(z)} dA(z) \\ &\leq Ct \epsilon^{-pt-2} \sum_{n} \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_{n})}}{1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2}} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |\tilde{h}_{n}(z)|^{p} dA(z) \\ &= Ct \epsilon^{-pt} \sum_{n} \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_{n})}}{1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2}} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |\tilde{h}_{n}(z)|^{p} dA(z) \\ &\leq Ct \epsilon^{-pt} \sum_{n} \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_{n})}}{1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2}} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},\epsilon)} |\tilde{h}_{n}(z)|^{p} dA(z) \\ &\leq Ct \epsilon^{-pt} \sum_{n} \frac{e^{-\phi(\gamma_{n})}}{1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2}} \left\{ C |\tilde{h}_{n}(\gamma_{n})|^{p} (1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2})^{2} + Ct \epsilon^{p} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},1/2)} |\tilde{h}_{n}(z)|^{p} dA(z) \right\} \\ &\leq Ct \epsilon^{-pt} \sum_{n} e^{-\phi(\gamma_{n})} (1-|\gamma_{n}|^{2}) |h(\gamma_{n})|^{p} + Ct \epsilon^{p-pt} \sum_{n} \int_{\Delta(\gamma_{n},1/2)} |h(z)|^{p} \frac{e^{-\phi(z)}}{1-|z|^{2}} dA(z). \end{split}$$

The first line is Lemma 2, the third follows from Lemma 1, while the fourth follows from the fact that

$$\frac{1-|\gamma_n|^2}{1-|z|^2} \le \frac{4}{1-\epsilon^2}$$

for all $z \in \Delta(\gamma_n, \epsilon)$. The fifth line is a consequence of Lemma 3, where $\tilde{h}_n = \tilde{h}_{\gamma_n}$, while the seventh follows from Lemma 4 and the eighth from Lemma 3.

If we take ϵ small enough, we arrive at the lower sampling inequality. The upper inequality follows from the fact that Γ is uniformly discrete.

3. Technicalities

We consider now the proofs of the four lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 1. We enumerate Γ once and for all, and let Γ_N be the first N terms of Γ . We then set

$$\nu_N = \pi \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} (1 - |\gamma|^2)^2 \delta_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad w_N = \nu_N * E - (\nu_N * E) dA * \xi_r.$$
5

Several applications of (1) yield

$$w_N(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} \left(\log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \right).$$

Our first claim is that for each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{D}$ there exists an integer N = N(K) such that for all $z \in K$ and M > N,

$$w_M(z) = w_N(z).$$

Indeed, fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi_{\gamma}(z)| > r$. The function $\zeta \mapsto \log |\varphi_{\gamma}(\zeta)|^2$ is harmonic in the domain $V_r(\gamma) = \{\zeta : \frac{1}{2} < |\varphi_{\gamma}(\zeta)| < r\}$, and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_{\zeta}(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}$$

=
$$\int_{V_r(\gamma)} \xi_r(\varphi_{\zeta}(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}$$

=
$$\int_{1/2<|u|< r} \xi_r(u) \log |\varphi_z \circ \varphi_{\gamma}(u)|^2 \frac{dA(u)}{\pi(1-|u|^2)^2} = \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2.$$

The second equality follows from the invariance of the measure $dA(\zeta)/(\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2)$, while the third equality comes from the mean value property for harmonic functions and the fact that $\xi_r(\zeta) dA(\zeta)/(\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2)$ is a radial probability measure. We therefore have that

$$w_N(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \cap \Delta(z,r)} \left(\log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \right)$$

The claim thus follows from the fact that for a given compact set K, there is only a finite number N of points $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that for some $z \in K$, $|\varphi_{\gamma}(z)| \leq r$.

We set

$$w = \lim_{N \to \infty} w_N,$$

where the limit is taken in the locally uniform topology. In other words, \boldsymbol{w} is the ordered sum

$$w(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \right).$$

Since $\tilde{\Delta}(\nu_N * E) = \nu_N$ is a positive measure, $\nu_N * E$ is subharmonic. Therefore, again since $\xi_r(\zeta) dA(\zeta)/(\pi(1-|\zeta|^2)^2)$ is a radial probability measure, $\nu_N * E \leq \nu_N * E * \xi_r$, i.e., $w_N \leq 0$. It follows that $w \leq 0$. Since $v = \frac{p}{2}(wdA * \chi_{\epsilon})$, this implies the right hand side of (2).

 $\mathbf{6}$

Turning our attention now to (3), we wish to show first that there exists a constant $E_r > 0$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\left|w(z) - \log|\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2\right| \le E_r$$

whenever $z \in \Delta(\gamma, \sigma)$, where $\sigma = \delta(\Gamma)/2$. By the above remarks, and since Γ is uniformly discrete, there exists an integer N, depending only on r, such that

$$w(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left(\log |\varphi_z(\gamma_j)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma_j)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \right),$$

where $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ and $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_N$ are the members of Γ in $\Delta(\gamma, \frac{r+\sigma}{1+r\sigma})$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} w(z) - \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^N \log |\varphi_z(\gamma_j)|^2 \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_\zeta(\gamma_j)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}. \end{split}$$

Now, for any $t \in \Gamma$ the integral

$$I_t = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_{\zeta}(t)) \log |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^{-2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}$$

may be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{split} I_t &\leq \frac{1}{c_r} \int_{\frac{1}{2} <|\varphi_{\zeta}(t)| < r} \log |\varphi_{\zeta}(t)|^{-2} \log |\varphi_{z}(\zeta)|^{-2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_r} \log 4 \int_{\Delta(z, 1/2)} \log |\varphi_{z}(\zeta)|^{-2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} + \log 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi_r(\varphi_{\zeta}(t)) \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{c_r} \log 4 \int_0^{1/2} \frac{s \log s^{-2}}{(1 - s^2)^2} ds + \log 4 =: D_r. \end{split}$$

We thus obtain that

$$|w(z) - \log |\varphi_z(\gamma)|^2| \le |I_{\gamma}| + \sum_{j=1}^N \log |\varphi_z(\gamma_j)|^{-2} + \sum_{j=1}^N |I_{\gamma_j}| \le D_r(N+1) + N \log \sigma^{-2} =: E_r.$$

Next, we need to estimate the convolution product

$$F_{\epsilon}(z) = \left(\log|\varphi_{\gamma}(\cdot)|^{2} dA * \chi_{\epsilon}\right)(z) = \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Delta(0,\epsilon)} \log|\varphi_{\gamma} \circ \varphi_{z}(\zeta)|^{2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1-|\zeta|^{2})^{2}}$$

It is easy to verify that, with $u = \varphi_z(\gamma)$,

$$\varphi_{\gamma} \circ \varphi_z(\zeta) = \lambda \varphi_u(\zeta),$$

where $|\lambda| = 1$. Thus, changing variables, we have

$$F_{\epsilon}(z) = \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log |\zeta|^2 \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}.$$

Then

$$F_{\epsilon}(z) - t\log\epsilon^{2} = \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log\left|\frac{\zeta}{2\epsilon}\right|^{2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1 - |\zeta|^{2})^{2}} + \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2}}\log 4\epsilon^{2} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1 - |\zeta|^{2})^{2}} - t\log\epsilon^{2}$$

$$= 4t \int_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log|\zeta|^{2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1 - 4\epsilon^{2}|\zeta|^{2})^{2}} + \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2}}\log 4\epsilon^{2} \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{1 - \epsilon^{2}} - t\log\epsilon^{2}$$

$$= 4t \int_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\Delta(u,\epsilon)} \log|\zeta|^{2} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{\pi(1 - 4\epsilon^{2}|\zeta|^{2})^{2}} + t\frac{\log 4}{1 - \epsilon^{2}} + t\frac{\epsilon^{2}\log\epsilon^{2}}{1 - \epsilon^{2}}.$$

The second line follows from a change of variables and the fact that the hyperbolic area of a disk of radius ϵ is $\frac{\epsilon^2}{1-\epsilon^2}$. Since $|u| < \epsilon$, $\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\Delta(u,\epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, and so the absolute value of the integral in the last line is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{1-4\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta),$$

which is seen to converge. We thus have a constant C such that for sufficiently small ϵ ,

$$|\log |\phi_{\gamma}(\cdot)|^2 dA * \chi_{\epsilon}(z) - t \log \epsilon^2| \le C, \qquad \text{whenever } |\phi_{\gamma}(z)| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, we have that for $|\varphi_{\gamma}(z)| < \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| wdA * \chi_{\epsilon}(z) - t \log \epsilon^{2} \right| &\leq \left| wdA * \chi_{\epsilon}(z) - \log |\varphi_{\gamma}(\cdot)|^{2} dA * \chi_{\epsilon}(z) \right| \\ &+ \left| \log |\varphi_{\gamma}(\cdot)|^{2} dA * \chi_{\epsilon}(z) - t \log \epsilon^{2} \right| \\ &\leq D_{r} + M =: C_{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v = \frac{p}{2}(wdA * \chi_{\epsilon})$, the proof of (3) is complete.

Finally, we wish to prove the left inequality of (2). First, if $|\varphi_z(\gamma)| < \delta(\Gamma)/2$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then (3) gives a universal lower bound for v(z). On the other hand, if z is isolated away from Γ , then a look at the way w was estimated above shows that v(z) is bounded from below by a negative number of even smaller modulus. This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2. Let us note that the right hand side of (4) is

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|h(z)|^p e^{-\psi(z)} \nu_{\epsilon}(z)}{1-|z|^2} dA(z)$$

We set $U = |h|^p e^{-\psi}$. Then $\log U + \psi = p \log |h|$ is a subharmonic function. Thus

$$0 \le \Delta \log U + \Delta \psi = \frac{1}{U} \Delta U - \frac{1}{U^2} |U_z|^2 + \Delta \psi \le \frac{1}{U} \Delta U + \Delta \psi.$$

It follows from the nonnegativity of U and the estimate (1) on $\tilde{\Delta}\psi$ above, that

$$\tilde{\Delta}U \ge -U\tilde{\Delta}\psi \ge -\frac{p}{2}U\nu_{\epsilon} + U\frac{\delta}{2}$$

Dividing by $1 - |z|^2$ and integrating yields

$$\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{U(z)}{1 - |z|^2} dA(z) \le \frac{p}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{U(z)}{1 - |z|^2} \nu_{\epsilon}(z) dA(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \Delta U(z) dA(z).$$

We would like to show that the second integral on the right is nonpositive. If U were compactly supported, we could use integration by parts to shift the Laplacian to $1 - |z|^2$. Since $\Delta(1 - |z|^2) = -1$ and $U \ge 0$, we would be done. So instead, one "cuts things off" as follows. Let $\chi_t \ge 0$, $0 \ll t \ll 1$ be a function which is identically one on [0, t], supported compactly in [0, 1), with additional properties to be described shortly. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)\chi_t(|z|)\Delta U(z)dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta\left((1-|z|^2)\chi_t(|z|)\right)U(z)dA(z).$$

Recalling that on radial functions,

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{4}(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r),$$

one computes that

$$\Delta\left((1-|z|^2)\chi_t(|z|)\right) = -\chi_t(|z|) - |z|\chi_t'(|z|) + (1-|z|^2)\Delta\chi_t(|z|).$$

One then has

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)\chi_t(|z|)\Delta U(z)dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} -\chi_t(|z|)U(z)dA + I_t,$$
9

where

$$J_t = -\pi \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left((1 - |z|^2)^2 |z| \chi'_t(|z|) U(z) + (1 - |z|^2) \tilde{\Delta} \chi_t(|z|) \right) \frac{dA(z)}{\pi (1 - |z|^2)^2}$$

Now, by Lemma 1 and the hypotheses on h, U is integrable with respect to the Poincaré area, and thus with respect to Euclidean area. Hence as $t \to 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \chi_t(|z|) U(z) dA(z) \to \int_{\mathbb{D}} U(z) dA(z) \ge 0.$$

We claim that with a good choice of χ_t , the integral $J_t \to 0$ as $t \to 1$. To see this, simply choose χ_t so that it has bounded invariant Laplacian, uniformly in t. (Examples of this are easy enough to construct. For instance, let f be a smooth function on the nonnegative real line, which is supported on [0, 1/2] and is identically 1 on [0, 1/4]. Then just take

$$\chi_t(|z|) := f\left(\frac{|z|^2 - t}{1 - \frac{1+t}{2}}\right).$$

The boundedness of the invariant Laplacian is easy to check.) Because χ_t is radial, this will also give a bound on the gradient. One can then apply the dominated convergence theorem. This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 3. Since ϕ is subharmonic with bounded invariant Laplacian, we may apply the Riesz decomposition theorem. Thus, if G is a fixed Green operator, one has

$$\phi = G(\tilde{\Delta}\phi) + f_a$$

for some harmonic function f_a in a neighborhood of the closed disc $\overline{\Delta(a, 1/2)}$. Let g_a be a holomorphic function whose real part is f_a , and set $q_a = g_a - g_a(a)$. Then

$$|\phi - \phi(a) - 2\Re(q_a)| \le K$$

Now, let $\tilde{h}_a(z) = h(z)e^{-2p^{-1}q_a(z)}$. Then

$$|h(z)|^{p}e^{-\phi(z)} = |\tilde{h}_{a}(z)|^{p}e^{-\phi(z)+2\Re(q_{a}(z))} \le Ce^{-\phi(a)}|\tilde{h}_{a}(z)|^{p},$$

where $C = e^{K}$. The other inequality in the lemma follows similarly. *Proof of Lemma 4.* Let $z \in \Delta(a, \epsilon)$ and write $g(z) - g(a) = \int_{a}^{z} g'(u) du$, so that

$$|g(z)| \le |g(a)| + \left| \int_{a}^{z} g'(u) du \right|$$

$$\le |g(a)| + |z - a| \sup_{u \in \Delta(a,\epsilon)} |g'(u)|$$

10

which implies that

$$\begin{split} |g(z)|^p &\leq 2^p \left\{ |g(a)|^p + |z-a|^p \sup_{u \in \Delta(a,\epsilon)} |g'(u)|^p \right\} \\ &\leq 2^p \left\{ |g(a)|^p + C|z-a|^p \sup_{u \in \Delta(a,\epsilon)} (1-|u|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \right\} \\ &\leq 2^p \left\{ |g(a)|^p + C|z-a|^p (1-|a|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(a,1/2)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \right\}. \end{split}$$

The second line follows from the standard estimate (see [3], for example)

$$|g'(u)|^{p} \leq C(1-|u|^{2})^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(u,\epsilon)} |g(\zeta)|^{p} dA(\zeta).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Delta(a,\epsilon)} |g(z)|^p dA(z) \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} |g(a)|^p \int_{\Delta(a,\epsilon)} dA(z) \\ &+ C \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1-|a|^2)^{-2-p} \int_{\Delta(a,1/2)} |g(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \int_{\Delta(a,\epsilon)} |a-z|^p A(z). \end{split}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Delta(a,\epsilon)} |a-z|^p A(z) &\leq \epsilon^p \int_{\Delta(a,\epsilon)} |1-\overline{a}z|^p dA(z) \\ &\leq \epsilon^{p+2} (1-|a|^2)^{p+2} \int_{\Delta(0,\epsilon)} |1-\overline{a}z|^{-4-p} dA(z) \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{p+2} (1-|a|^2)^{p+2}, \end{split}$$

the result follows.

References

- B. Berndtsson and J. Ortega-Cerdà, On interpolation and sampling in Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 464 (1995), 109–128.
- 2. H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- 3. D. Luecking, Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivatives, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 85–111.
- 4. A. Schuster, On Seip's description of sampling sequences for Bergman spaces, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 42, 347-367.

11

5. K. Seip, Beurling type density theorems in the unit disk, Invent. Math. 113 (1994), 21-39.

Department of Mathematics, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA94132

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{schuster@sfsu.edu}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109 *E-mail address*: varolin@math.lsa.umich.edu

12