## MAT 531: Topology&Geometry, II Spring 2011

## **Final Exam Solutions**

**Part I** (choose 2 problems from 1,2, and 3)

**1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}P^3 \longrightarrow T^3 \equiv (S^1)^3$  be a smooth map. Show that f is not an immersion. Suppose f is an immersion. Since  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  and  $T^3$  have the same dimension, the differential

$$d_x f: T_x \mathbb{R}P^3 \longrightarrow T_{f(x)}T^3$$

is an isomorphism for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}P^3$ . By the Inverse Function Theorem, f is thus a local diffeomorphism, and so its image is open in  $T^3$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  is compact and  $T^3$  is Hausdorff,  $f(\mathbb{R}P^3)$  is closed in  $T^3$ . Since  $T^3$  is connected, it follows that f is surjective. Since  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  is compact and f is a local diffeomorphism,  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \mathbb{R}P^3$  is finite for every  $y \in T^3$ . Thus, f is a covering projection (the intersection of the images of neighborhoods of elements of  $f^{-1}(y)$  on which f is a diffeomorphism is an evenly covered neighborhood of y), and

$$f_*: \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^3, x_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(T^3, f(x_0))$$

is an injective homomorphism. However, this is impossible, since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^3, x_0) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2$  has torsion, while  $\pi_1(T^3, f(x_0)) \approx \mathbb{Z}^3$  is torsion-free.

**2.** Let X and Y be the vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \,, \qquad Y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

- (a) Compute the flows  $\varphi_s$  and  $\psi_t$  of X and Y (give formulas).
- (b) Do these flows commute?
- (a) The time s-flow of X through  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  is the solution to the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(s) = 1, \ y'(s) = x, \ z'(s) = y, \\ (x(0), y(0), z(0)) = (x_0, y_0, z_0). \end{cases}$$

Solving the first equation, then the second, and finally the third, we find that

$$(x(s), y(s), z(s)) = (x_0 + s, y_0 + x_0 s + \frac{s^2}{2}, z_0 + y_0 s + x_0 \frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^3}{6}).$$

Thus, the time s-flow of X is given by

$$\varphi_s(x,y,z) = \left(x+s,y+sx+\frac{s^2}{2},z+sy+\frac{s^2}{2}x+\frac{s^3}{6}\right).$$

Similarly, the time t-flow of Y is given by

$$\psi_t(x, y, z) = \left(x + ty + \frac{t^2}{2}z + \frac{t^3}{6}, y + tz + \frac{t^2}{2}, z + t\right),$$

as the roles of x and z in X and Y are interchanged.

(b) Since the Lie bracket of coordinate vector fields is 0,

$$\begin{split} [X,Y] &= \left( X(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + X(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + X(1)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) - \left( Y(1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Y(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + Y(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \left( x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 0 \right) - \left( 0 + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{split}$$

Since  $[X, Y] \neq 0$ , the flows of X and Y do not commute by PS4 #5.

Alternatively, the first coordinates of  $\varphi_s \circ \psi_t$  and  $\psi_t \circ \varphi_s$  are given by

$$(x,y,z) \longrightarrow x + ty + \frac{t^2}{2}z + \frac{t^3}{6} + s, (x+s) + t\left(y + sx + \frac{s^2}{2}\right) + \frac{t^2}{2}\left(z + sy + \frac{s^2}{2}x + \frac{s^3}{6}\right) + \frac{t^3}{6},$$

respectively. Since these are not the same (unless s=0 or t=0), the flows do not commute.

**3.** Let M and N be smooth oriented connected manifolds and  $H: M \times [0,1] \longrightarrow N$  a smooth map. For each  $t \in [0,1]$ , define

$$H_t: M \longrightarrow N, \qquad H_t(p) = H(p, t).$$

- (a) Suppose  $H_t$  is a diffeomorphism for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Show that  $H_0$  is orientation-preserving if and only if  $H_1$  is.
- (b) Suppose instead that M is compact and  $H_0, H_1$  are diffeomorphisms. Show that  $H_0$  is orientationpreserving if and only if  $H_1$  is.
- (c) Give an example so that  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are diffeomorphisms, with  $H_0$  orientation-preserving and  $H_1$  orientation-reversing.

It can be assumed that the manifolds M and N have the same dimension n. Let  $\omega_M \in E^n(M)$ and  $\omega_N \in E^n(N)$  be oriented volume forms (nowhere 0 top forms). Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(M \times [0, 1])$  and  $\gamma \in E^{n-1}(M \times [0, 1])$  be such that

$$H^*\omega_N = f \cdot \pi_1^*\omega_M + \gamma \wedge \pi_2^* \mathrm{d}t \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad H_t^*\omega_N = f_t\omega_M \,,$$

where  $f_t \in C^{\infty}(M), f_t(p) = f(p, t).$ 

(a) Since  $H_t$  is a diffeomorphism for all t,  $f(t,p) = f_t(p) \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . Since  $M \times [0,1]$  is connected, either  $f(t,p) \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for all (t,p) or  $f(t,p) \in \mathbb{R}^-$  for all (t,p). Thus,  $H_0$  is orientation-preserving (i.e.  $f_0(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ) if and only if  $H_1$  is (i.e.  $f_1(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ).

(b) Since the maps  $H_0, H_1: M \longrightarrow N$  are smoothly homotopic,

$$[H_0^*\omega_N] = [H_1^*\omega_N] \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \int_M H_0^*\omega_N = \int_M H_1^*\omega_N$$

Since M is connected, either  $f_0(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in M$  or  $f_0(p) < 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ; in the first case

$$\int_M H_0^* \omega_N = \int_M f_0 \omega_M > 0,$$

while in the second case this integral is negative. The same applies to  $f_1$  and  $H_1$ . Since the two integrals are the same,  $H_0$  is orientation-preserving (i.e.  $f_0(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ) if and only if  $H_1$  is (i.e.  $f_1(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ).

(c) Let  $H: \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$H(p,t) = -tp + (1-t)p.$$

Then,  $H_0 = id_{\mathbb{R}}$  is orientation-preserving, while  $H_1 = -id_{\mathbb{R}}$  is orientation-reversing.

*Note:* In this case,  $M = \mathbb{R}$  is not compact and  $H_{1/2}$  is the constant map sending  $\mathbb{R}$  to 0 and so is not a diffeomorphism.

## **Part II** (choose 2 problems from 4,5, and 6)

**4.** Let M be a smooth manifold obtained by identifying two copies of a Mobius Band,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , along their boundary circles. Compute  $H^*_{deB}(M)$ .

Since M is 2-manifold,  $H^k_{deR}(M) = 0$  for  $k \neq 0, 1, 2$ . Since M is connected,  $H^0_{deR}(M) \approx \mathbb{R}$ . Since the interior of  $M_1$  is a non-orientable open subset of M, M is also non-orientable, and so  $H^2_{deR}(M) \approx 0$ . It remains to compute  $H^1_{deR}(M)$ .

This can be done using Mayer-Vietoris. Let  $U \subset M$  be a small tubular neighborhood of  $M_1$  (or the complement of the "equator" in  $M_2$ ) and  $V \subset M$  a small tubular neighborhood of  $M_2$  (or the complement of the "equator" in  $M_1$ ). Thus, U and V are open Mobius Bands, while  $U \cap V$  is an open cylinder. Since all three are homotopic to a circle, by the homotopy invariance of de Rham cohomology,

$$H^k_{\rm deR}(U), H^k_{\rm deR}(V), H^k_{\rm deR}(U \cap V) \approx H^k_{\rm deR}(S^1) \approx \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & \text{if } k = 0, 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The MV long sequence in this case is

$$\begin{split} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(U) \oplus H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(V) \longrightarrow H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(U \cap V) \\ &\stackrel{\delta_0}{\longrightarrow} H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(U) \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(V) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(U \cap V) \longrightarrow H^2_{\mathrm{deR}}(M). \end{split}$$

Plugging in for the known groups, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1_{\operatorname{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus,  $\delta_0$  is the zero homomorphism, and the sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\operatorname{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0$ 

is exact. So,  $H^1_{deR}(M) \approx \mathbb{R}$ .

Alternatively, the manifold M is homeomorphic to the Klein bottle, as can be seen from the following diagram (see Chapter 8 in Munkres):



By the last diagram, Hurewicz Theorem, Universal Coefficient Theorem, and de Rham Theorem,

$$\pi_1(M) = \left\langle a, b | abab^{-1} \right\rangle \implies H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \approx \operatorname{Abel}(\pi_1(M)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\implies H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \approx H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R} \implies H_{\operatorname{deR}}^1(M) \approx H_1(M; \mathbb{R})^* \approx \mathbb{R}.$$

**5.** Let M be a smooth manifold admitting an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1,...,m}$  such that every intersection  $U_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap U_{i_k}$  is either empty or diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that

- (a) if m=2,  $H^p_{deR}(M)=0$  for all  $p\neq 0$ ;
- (b) if  $m \ge 2$ ,  $H^p_{deB}(M) = 0$  for all  $p \ge m-1$ .

(a) By Mayer-Vietoris, there is a long exact

$$\begin{split} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_1) \oplus H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_2) \longrightarrow H^0_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_1 \cap U_2) \\ &\stackrel{\delta_0}{\longrightarrow} H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_1) \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_2) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_1 \cap U_2) \\ &\vdots \\ &\stackrel{\delta_p}{\longrightarrow} H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_1) \oplus H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_2) \longrightarrow H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(U_1 \cap U_2) \end{split}$$

Since  $H_{deR}^p(U_1 \cap U_2)$ ,  $H_{deR}^{p+1}(U_1)$ ,  $H_{deR}^{p+1}(U_2) = 0$  for all  $p \ge 1$ ,  $H_{deR}^{p+1}(M) = 0$  for all  $p \ge 1$ . If  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ , then this statement applies for p = 0 as well. If  $U_1 \cap U_2 \ne \emptyset$ , M is connected, and the sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1_{\text{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow 0$ 

is exact. So,  $\delta_0 = 0$  and  $H^1_{\text{deR}}(M) = 0$ .

(b) Suppose the statement holds for some  $m \ge 2$  (part (a) is the m = 2 case); we use Mayer-Vietoris to show that it holds for m+1. Let

$$U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \ldots \cup U_m, \qquad V = U_{m+1}.$$

By MV, the sequence

$$H^p_{\mathrm{deR}}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\delta_p} H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \longrightarrow H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(U) \oplus H^{p+1}_{\mathrm{deR}}(V)$$

is exact. By the inductive assumption,  $H^p(U), H^p(U \cap V) = 0$  for all  $p \ge m-1$ . Since  $H^p_{deR}(V) = 0$  for  $p \ge 1$ , the outer terms of the above exact sequence vanish if  $p \ge m-1$ . Thus,  $H^{p+1}_{deR}(M) = 0$  if  $p+1 \ge (m+1)-1$ , as needed for the inductive step.

- **6.** (a) Explain why  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^4$  is not orientable.
- (b) Describe the orientable double cover M of  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^4$ .
- (c) Determine the de Rham cohomology of M.

(a) If M and N are smooth nonempty manifolds,  $M \times N$  is orientable if and only if M and N are orientable; see MT06 #5. The even-dimensional projective spaces,  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^4$ , are not orientable.

(b) The universal cover of  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^4$  is  $\tilde{M} = S^2 \times S^4$  (because the latter is connected and simply connected and admits a covering map to the former). The group of deck transformations is

$$G = \{ \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}, a_1 \times \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id} \times a_2, a_1 \times a_2 \} \approx \pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

where  $a_1: S^2 \longrightarrow S^2$  and  $a_2: S^4 \longrightarrow S^4$  are the antipodal maps. The orientable double cover M is the quotient of  $\tilde{M}$  by a subgroup of G of index 2 and thus of order 2. There are three such subgroups. The quotients of  $\tilde{M}$  by  $\{id \times id, a_1 \times id\}$  and  $\{id \times id, id \times a_2\}$  are  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^4$  and  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^4$ ; these are non-orientable manifolds, since one of the components in each product is non-orientable. Thus,

$$M = \tilde{M} / \{ \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}, a_1 \times a_2 \} \equiv S^2 \times S^4, \quad (x, y) \sim (-x, -y).$$

(c) Since M is a 6-manifold,  $H^k_{deR}(M) = 0$  unless  $0 \le k \le 6$ . Since M is compact connected and orientable,  $H^0_{deR}(M), H^6_{deR}(M) \approx \mathbb{R}$ . By PS7 #5,

$$H^k_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \approx H^k_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \equiv \left\{ [\tilde{\alpha}] \in H^k_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M}) \colon \{a_1 \times a_2\}^* [\tilde{\alpha}] = [\tilde{\alpha}] \right\}.$$

By Kunneth's formula, the homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p_{\mathrm{deR}}(S^2) \otimes H^q_{\mathrm{deR}}(S^4) \longrightarrow H^k_{\mathrm{deR}}(S^2 \times S^4), \qquad [\beta] \otimes [\gamma] \longrightarrow \left[\pi_1^* \beta \wedge \pi_2^* \gamma\right],$$

is an isomorphism. In particular,

$$H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M}), H^3_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M}), H^5_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M}) = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad H^1_{\mathrm{deR}}(M), H^3_{\mathrm{deR}}(M), H^5_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) = 0.$$

On the other hand, let  $[\omega_1]$  and  $[\omega_2]$  be the generators of  $H^2_{\text{deR}}(S^2) \approx \mathbb{R}$  and  $H^4_{\text{deR}}(S^4) \approx \mathbb{R}$ , respectively. By the solution to PS6 #6a,  $a_1^*[\omega_1] = (-1)^{2+1}[\omega_1]$  and so

$$\{a_1 \times a_2\}^* \pi_1^*[\omega_1] = \{\pi_1 \circ a_1 \times a_2\}^*[\omega_1] = \{a_1 \circ \pi_1\}^*[\omega_1] = \pi_1^* a_1^*[\omega_1] = -\pi_1^*[\omega_1].$$

Similarly,  $\{a_1 \times a_2\}^* \pi_2^*[\omega_2] = -\pi_2^*[\omega_2]$ . Thus,

$$H^2_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \approx H^2_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0, \qquad H^4_{\mathrm{deR}}(M) \approx H^4_{\mathrm{deR}}(\tilde{M})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0.$$

Part III (choose 1 problem from 7 and 8)

7. Let  $V, W \longrightarrow S^1$  be smooth real vector bundles. Show that at least one of the vector bundles

$$V, W, V \oplus W \longrightarrow S^1$$

is orientable.

This is equivalent to showing that at least one of the real line bundles

$$\Lambda^{\operatorname{top}}V, \Lambda^{\operatorname{top}}W, \Lambda^{\operatorname{top}}(V \oplus W) \approx \Lambda^{\operatorname{top}}V \otimes \Lambda^{\operatorname{top}}W \longrightarrow S^{\operatorname{I}}$$

is trivial; see Lemma 15.1 in *Lecture Notes*. The set of isomorphism classes of real line bundles with the tensor product is an abelian group isomorphic to  $\check{H}^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , with the trivial line bundle corresponding to  $0 \in \check{H}^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ; see PS9 #2a. The latter group is isomorphic to

$$H^{1}(S^{1};\mathbb{Z}_{2}) \approx \operatorname{Hom}(H_{1}(S^{1};\mathbb{Z}_{2}),\mathbb{Z}_{2}) \approx \operatorname{Hom}(H_{1}(S^{1};\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_{2}) \approx \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}_{2}) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2},$$

since  $H_1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \approx \text{Abel}(\pi_1(S^1))$ . Thus, for any  $v, w \in \check{H}^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , at least one of the three elements v, w, v+w is zero.

Here is a direct argument. Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,k}$ , with  $k \ge 4$ , be a cover of  $S^1$  by open intervals such that  $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$  unless i = j or  $i \equiv j \pm 1 \mod n$ ,

$$h_i^V : V|_{U_i} \longrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^l$$
 and  $h_i^W : W|_{U_i} \longrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$ 

trivializations of V and W, and

$$g_{ij}^V : U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_l \mathbb{R}$$
 and  $g_{ij}^W : U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_m \mathbb{R}$ 

the corresponding transition data. The maps

$$g_{i,j}^{V\oplus W} = g_{i,j}^V \oplus g_{i,j}^W :: U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{l+m} \mathbb{R}$$

are then transition data for  $V \oplus W$ . By our assumptions,  $U_i \cap U_j$  is a connected interval and thus det  $g_{ij}$  does not change sign on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . By negating the first component of  $h_{i+1}^V$  and  $h_{i+1}^W$  if necessary, we can assume that

$$\det g_{i,i+1}^V, \det g_{i,i+1}^W > 0 \qquad \forall \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

If det  $g_{n,1}^V > 0$ , then V is orientable; see Lemma 15.1 in Lecture Notes. If det  $g_{n,1}^V$ , det  $g_{n,1}^W < 0$ , then

$$\det g_{i,j}^{V\oplus W} = \det g_{i,j}^V \cdot \det g_{i,j}^W > 0 \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

So, if  $V, W \longrightarrow S^1$  are not orientable, then  $V \oplus W \longrightarrow S^1$  is orientable.

8. Let  $\pi: V \longrightarrow M$  be a smooth vector bundle. A connection in V is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

 $\nabla \colon \Gamma(M;V) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M;T^*M \otimes V) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \nabla(fs) = \mathrm{d}f \otimes s + f \nabla s \quad \forall \ f \in C^{\infty}(M), \ s \in \Gamma(M;V).$ 

- (a) Show that  $\nabla$  is a first-order differential operator.
- (b) What is the symbol of  $\nabla$ ?
- (c) Under what conditions (on M and/or V) is  $\nabla$  elliptic?

(a) First,  $\nabla$  is a local operator, i.e. the value of  $\nabla s$  at a point  $p \in M$  depends only on the restriction of s to any neighborhood U of p. If f is a smooth function on M supported in U such that f(p)=1, then

$$\nabla s\big|_p = \nabla \big(fs\big)\big|_p - \mathrm{d}_p f \otimes s(p),$$

by the product-rule condition. The right-hand side of this expression depends only on  $s|_U$ .

Let  $\varphi \equiv (x_1, \ldots, x_n) : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a chart on M. An isomorphism  $\psi : V|_U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$  of vector bundles covering  $\varphi$  induces such an isomorphism for the bundle  $T^*M \otimes V$ :

$$\Psi: T^*M \otimes V \big|_U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^k)^n, \qquad \eta \longrightarrow \left( p, \eta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \big|_p \right), \dots, \eta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \big|_p \right) \right) \quad \forall \eta \in T_p^*M \otimes V_p, \ p \in U.$$

For each  $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ , define

$$s_i \in \Gamma(U; V)$$
 by  $s_i(p) = \psi^{-1}(\varphi_i(p), e_i) \quad \forall p \in U,$ 

where  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the *i*-th standard coordinate vector. The homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\psi}: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{k}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U; V) \qquad \left\{\tilde{\psi}(f_{1}, \dots, f_{k})\right\}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} f_{i}(\phi(p))s_{i}(p),$$
$$\tilde{\Psi}: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; (\mathbb{R}^{k})^{n}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U; T^{*}M \otimes V) \quad \left\{\tilde{\Psi}((f_{j,l})_{j=1,\dots,n;l=1,2,\dots,k})\right\}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{i=k} \sum_{j=1}^{l=k} f_{j,l}(\phi(p))d_{p}x_{j} \otimes s_{l}(p),$$

are then isomorphisms. By definition of  $\nabla$ , there exist

$$\theta_{j,l}^i \in C^\infty(U) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \nabla s_i \Big|_p = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \sum_{l=1}^{l=k} \theta_{j,l}^i(p) \mathrm{d}_p x_j \otimes s_l(p) \quad \forall p \in U.$$

By the product-rule condition on  $\nabla$ ,

$$\nabla \left( \tilde{\psi}(f_1, \dots, f_k) \right) \Big|_p = \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \mathrm{d}_p(f_i \circ \phi) \otimes s_i(p) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \sum_{j=1}^{l=k} \theta_{j,l}^i(p) f_i(\phi(p)) \mathrm{d}_p x_j \otimes s_l(p)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \sum_{j=1}^{l=k} \left( \frac{\partial (f_l \circ \phi)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_p + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \theta_{j,l}^i(p) f_i(\phi(p)) \right) \mathrm{d}_p x_j \otimes s_l(p).$$

Thus, the operator  $\nabla|_U$  in the local coordinates  $(\varphi, \psi, \Psi)$  on  $(U, V|_U, T^*M \otimes V|_U)$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Psi}^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ \tilde{\psi} \colon C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^k) &\longrightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; (\mathbb{R}^k)^n), \\ (f_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,k} &\longrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \theta^i_{j,l} \circ \varphi^{-1} \cdot f_i\right)_{j=1,2,\dots,n; l=1,2,\dots,k}. \end{split}$$

Since this is a first-order differential operator on functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nabla$  is a first-order differential operator on vector-bundle sections over M.

(b) Let  $p \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in T_p^*M$ ,  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  be such that f(p) = 0 and  $d_p f = \alpha$ , and  $s \in \Gamma(M; V)$ . By the product-rule condition on  $\nabla$ ,

$$\nabla(fs)\big|_p = \mathrm{d}_p f \otimes s + f(p) \otimes (\nabla s)\big|_p = \alpha \otimes s(p).$$

Thus, the symbol of  $\nabla$  is given by

$$\sigma_{\nabla} \colon T^*M \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V, T^*M \otimes V), \qquad \big\{ \sigma_{\nabla}(\alpha) \big\}(v) = \alpha \otimes v \quad \forall \, \alpha \in T_p^*M, \ v \in V_p, \ p \in M.$$

(c) The operator  $\nabla$  is elliptic if and only if the homomorphism

$$\sigma_{\nabla}(\alpha) \colon V_p \longrightarrow T_p^* M \otimes V_p$$

is an isomorphism for all  $\alpha \in T_p^*M - 0$  and  $p \in M$ . If this is the case (and V has positive rank), then

$$\operatorname{rk} V = \operatorname{rk} T^* M \otimes V \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \dim M = 1.$$

Conversely, if dim M = 1,  $\sigma_{\nabla}(\alpha)$  is an isomorphism for all  $\alpha \in T_p^*M - 0$  and  $p \in M$ . Thus,  $\nabla$  is elliptic if and only if dim M = 1 (or rk V = 0).

## **Bonus Problem**

Let  $\gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  be the tautological (complex) line bundle. Compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} c_1(\gamma^*),$$

where  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  has its canonical orientation as a complex manifold and  $c_1(\gamma^*)$  is the image of  $\gamma^*$  under the composition

$$\check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}P^1;\mathfrak{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^*))\longrightarrow \check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})\longrightarrow \check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1;\underline{\mathbb{C}})\longrightarrow H^2_{\mathrm{deR}}(\mathbb{C}P^1;\mathbb{C}),$$

 $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  is the sheaf of germs of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -valued smooth functions, the first homomorphism is induced by the exponential short exact sequence of sheaves, and the last homomorphism is the de Rham isomorphism (using  $\mathbb{C}$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ -coefficients simplifies the computation).

We find a representative  $\omega \in E^2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  for  $c_1(\gamma^*) \in H^2_{deR}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  by unwinding the definitions. Let

$$U_0 = \big\{ [X_0, X_1] \! \in \! \mathbb{C}P^1 \! : \; X_0 \! \neq \! 0 \big\}, \qquad U_1 = \big\{ [X_0, X_1] \! \in \! \mathbb{C}P^1 \! : \; X_1 \! \neq \! 0 \big\},$$

be the usual open subsets isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The bundle maps

$$\begin{split} \gamma|_{U_0} &\xrightarrow{h_0} U_0 \times \mathbb{C}, \qquad \left(\ell, c_0, c_1\right) \longrightarrow c_0, \\ \gamma|_{U_1} &\xrightarrow{h_1} U_1 \times \mathbb{C}, \qquad \left(\ell, c_0, c_1\right) \longrightarrow c_1, \end{split}$$

are the trivializations of  $\gamma$  with the overlap map

$$h_0 \circ h_1^{-1} \colon U_0 \cap U_1 \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow U_0 \cap U_1 \times \mathbb{C}, \qquad ([X_0, X_1], c_1) \longrightarrow ([X_0, X_1], c_0 = (X_0/X_1)c_1).$$

Thus, the corresponding transition data for  $\gamma$  is given by

$$U_0 \cap U_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \qquad [X_0, X_1] \longrightarrow \frac{X_0}{X_1}$$

The induced transition data for  $\gamma^*$  is described by

$$g \in \check{Z}^1(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*)), \qquad g_{01}([X_0, X_1]) = \frac{X_1}{X_0},$$

with  $g_{10} = 1/g_{01}$ ,  $g_{00}, g_{11} \equiv 1$  (as functions on  $U_0 \cap U_0$  and  $U_1 \cap U_1$ ). It determines elements

$$[g] \in \check{H}^1(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*)), \qquad \left[[g]\right] \in \check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*)).$$

The short exact sequence of sheaves inducing the first arrow in the statement of the problem is

$$\underline{0} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*) \longrightarrow \underline{0}$$
$$f \longrightarrow e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} f}$$

In order to find the image of  $\gamma^*$  (or equivalently of [[g]]) in  $\check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ , apply the Snake Lemma construction to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \longrightarrow \check{C}^{2}(\mathfrak{U}';\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \xrightarrow{i_{2}} \check{C}^{2}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\exp_{2}} \check{C}^{2}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^{*})) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \delta & & & & \\ \delta & & & & & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \check{C}^{1}(\mathfrak{U}';\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \xrightarrow{i_{1}} \check{C}^{1}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\exp_{1}} \check{C}^{1}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^{*})) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

for a refinement  $\mathfrak{U}'$  of  $\{U_0, U_1\}$ . Since  $g_{01} \in C^{\infty}(U_0 \cap U_1; \mathbb{C}^*)$  does not have a well-defined logarithm  $(g_{01} \text{ corresponds to } z \longrightarrow z \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^* \text{ under the usual identification of } U_0 \text{ with } \mathbb{C}),$ 

$$g \in \check{Z}^1(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*)) \subset \check{C}^1(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*))$$

is not in the image of the homomorphism  $\exp_1$ . Thus, we need to take a proper refinement  $\mathfrak{U}'$  of  $\{U_0, U_1\}$  and choose a refining map  $\mu$ . Let

$$U'_{0} = \{ [X_{0}, X_{1}] \in \mathbb{C}P^{1} : |X_{0}| > |X_{1}| \},$$
  

$$U'_{+} = U_{1} - \{ [r, 1] \in U_{1} : r \in [1, \infty) \},$$
  

$$U'_{-} = U_{1} - \{ [r, 1] \in U_{1} : r \in (-\infty, -1] \},$$
  

$$\mathcal{U}'_{-} = U_{1} - \{ [r, 1] \in U_{1} : r \in (-\infty, -1] \},$$
  

$$\mathcal{U}'_{-} = U_{1} - \{ [r, 1] \in U_{1} : r \in (-\infty, -1] \},$$

Thus,  $(\mu^*g)_{0\pm} = g_{01}|_{U'_0 \cap U'_{\pm}}, \ (\mu^*g)_{+-} \equiv 1$ , and  $\mu^*g = \exp_1(\tilde{g})$ , with  $\tilde{g} \in \check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}'; \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}))$  described by

$$\tilde{g}_{0\pm}([X_0, X_1]) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln\left(\frac{X_1}{X_0}\right), \quad \text{Im}\,\tilde{g}_{0\pm} \in (0, 1), \quad \text{Im}\,\tilde{g}_{0\pm} \in (-1/2, 1/2),$$
$$\tilde{g}_{\pm 0} = -\tilde{g}_{0\pm}, \qquad \tilde{g}_{00}, \tilde{g}_{\pm \pm} \equiv 0.$$

By the proof of the Snake Lemma, there exists  $h \in \check{Z}^2(\mathfrak{U}';\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $i_2(h) = \delta_1(\tilde{g})$ . By the Snake Lemma construction, the image of  $[[g]] \in \check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^*))$  under the boundary homomorphism in the corresponding long exact sequence of modules is  $[[h]] \in \check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

Via the inclusion  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $[[h]] \in \check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1; \underline{\mathbb{C}})$ . It remains to compute its image in  $H^2_{\text{deR}}(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{C})$ under the de Rham isomorphism. In this case, this involves going through two boundary homomorphisms. The first arises from the Snake Lemma construction for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 \longrightarrow \check{C}^{2}(\mathfrak{U}';\underline{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow \check{C}^{2}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \check{C}^{2}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathcal{Z}^{1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \delta & & & & \\ \delta & & & & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \check{C}^{1}(\mathfrak{U}';\underline{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow \check{C}^{1}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \check{C}^{1}(\mathfrak{U}';\mathcal{Z}^{1}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}^1$  is the sheaf of germs of closed  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued 1-forms. By the previous paragraph, the construction of the Snake Lemma maps the element

$$\alpha \in \check{Z}^1(\mathfrak{U}'; \mathcal{Z}^1) \subset \check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}'; \mathcal{Z}^1), \qquad \alpha_{**} \equiv \mathrm{d}\tilde{g}_{**},$$

to h. Let  $\beta \in \check{Z}^1(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathcal{Z}^1)$  be given by

$$\beta_{01} \in E^1(U_0 \cap U_1), \qquad \beta_{01}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z}, \quad \text{where} \quad z = \frac{X_1}{X_0}$$

Since  $\mu^*\beta = \alpha$ , the boundary homomorphism for the short exact sequence

$$\underline{0} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{Z}^1 \longrightarrow \underline{0}$$

takes  $\left[[\beta]\right] \in \check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathcal{Z}^1)$  to  $\left[[h]\right] \in \check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1; \underline{\mathbb{C}}).$ 

Finally, we need to find a preimage  $\omega \in \check{H}^0(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{Z}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1) = E^2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  of  $[[\beta]]$  under the boundary homomorphism for the short exact sequence

$$\underline{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^1 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \underline{0}$$

of sheaves over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . This involves applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow \check{C}^{1}(\{U_{0}, U_{1}\}; \mathcal{Z}^{1}) \longrightarrow \check{C}^{1}(\{U_{0}, U_{1}\}; \mathcal{E}^{1}) \xrightarrow{d} \check{C}^{1}(\{U_{0}, U_{1}\}; \mathcal{Z}^{2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\delta^{\uparrow} \qquad \delta^{\uparrow} \qquad \delta^{\uparrow} \qquad \delta^{\uparrow} \qquad \delta^{\uparrow} \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \check{C}^{0}(\{U_{0}, U_{1}\}; \mathcal{Z}^{1}) \longrightarrow \check{C}^{0}(\{U_{0}, U_{1}\}; \mathcal{E}^{1}) \xrightarrow{d} \check{C}^{0}(\{U_{0}, U_{1}\}; \mathcal{Z}^{2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  be such that  $\phi([X_0, X_1]) = 1$  if  $|X_0| < |X_1|$  and  $\phi([X_0, X_1]) = 0$  if  $|X_0| > 2|X_1|$ . Thus,

$$\eta \in \check{C}^0(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathcal{E}^1), \qquad \eta_0 = -\phi\beta_{01} \in E^1(U_0), \quad \eta_1 = (1-\phi)\beta_{01} \in E^1(U_1),$$

is well-defined. Since  $d\eta_0 = d\eta_1$  on  $U_0 \cap U_1$ , there is a unique 2-form  $\omega \in E^2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  such  $\omega|_{U_i} = d\eta_i$  on  $U_i$ . Since

$$(\delta\eta)_{01} \equiv \eta_1 \big|_{U_0 \cap U_1} - \eta_0 \big|_{U_0 \cap U_1} = \beta_{01},$$

 $\omega \in \check{Z}^0(\{U_0, U_1\}; \mathcal{Z}^2)$  is mapped to  $\beta$  by the Snake Lemma. Thus,

$$[\omega] \in H^2_{\operatorname{deR}}(\mathbb{C}P^1) \equiv \frac{E^2(\mathbb{C}P^1)}{\operatorname{d}E^1(\mathbb{C}P^1)} = \frac{\check{H}^0(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathcal{Z}^2)}{\operatorname{d}\check{H}^0(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathcal{E}^1)}$$

corresponds to  $[[\beta]] \in \check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{Z}^1)$  and  $[[h]] \in \check{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{C})$  under the isomorphisms factoring the de Rham isomorphism and to the image of  $\gamma^*$ .

Using Stokes' Theorem, we now obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} c_1(\gamma^*) = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \omega = \int_{U'_0} \omega = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\bar{U}'_0} d\left(\phi \frac{dz}{z}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S^1} \phi \frac{dz}{z} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S^1} \frac{dz}{z} = -1.$$

Remark: With the "correct" definition of  $c_1$ , the answer should be 1. Thus,  $c_1(L)$  should really be defined to be the negative of the image of L under the above composition of homomorphism. In the note for PS9 #2, I repeated a mistake from G&H. Their proof that their incorrect definition of  $c_1(L)$ is the correct one (i.e. satisfies 2. in Proposition on p141) contains an error. The relation between  $\theta_{\alpha}$ and  $\theta_{\beta}$  worked out in Section 5 Chapter  $\theta$  (the last displayed expression on p72) is the opposite of the third equation in the proof on p141; this would change the sign in the relation. The seemingly natural isomorphism between the Čech and de Rham cohomologies in G&H and Warner is actually not the natural one from a certain perspective. In particular, there is a separate isomorphism on each level, i.e. between  $\check{H}^p$  and  $H^p_{deR}$ . They can be unified by forming a double complex,  $\check{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathcal{E}^q)$ , with the differential  $D_{p,q} = \delta + (-1)^p d$ , where  $\delta$  and d are the usual Čech and de Rham differentials; the sign is needed to insure that  $D^2 = 0$ . The Čech and de Rham complexes then inject into this double complex, inducing isomorphisms in cohomology. The induced isomorphism between  $\check{H}^p$  and  $H^p_{deR}$  is then  $(-1)^{p(p+1)/2}$  times the isomorphism in G&H, correcting the sign error in the definition of  $c_1(L)$ in the de Rham cohomology.