

**Corrections to
Advanced Algebra^c Digital Second Edition**

Page 451, line –7. Insert the following sentence after the word “Remarks”: “Corollary 8.21 of *Basic Algebra* shows that $A[X]$ is a unique factorization domain.”

Page 452, line 7. Change “But” to “Since”.

Page 452, line 8. Delete “and thus”.

Page 452, line 9. Insert “by Corollary 8.21 of *Basic Algebra*” after “domain” and before the comma.

Page 453, line 4. Change “statement” to “statements”.

Page 453, line –3 of the top proof. Insert the following sentence right before “If both”: “This proves existence of a and b .”

Page 453, end of top proof. Insert an additional paragraph before the end-of-proof symbol, as follows: “For uniqueness of a and b when $R(f - g) \neq 0$, suppose there are two distinct pairs (a, b) with $af + bg = R$. Taking the difference of the two equations leads to an equation $af + bg = 0$ with $\deg a < n$ and $\deg b < m$. The implication that (b) implies (c) shows that $R(f, g) = 0$, and this conclusion contradicts the assumption that $R(f, g) \neq 0$.”

Page 454, line 4 of the large bracketed display in the middle. Change “with $i \leq n' + j \leq m' + i$ ” to “with $i \leq n' + j \leq n' + i$ ”.

Page 456, last line of Section 2. Change “ $(h) \subseteq (f) \cap (g)$ ” to “ $(h) \supseteq (f) \cap (g)$ ”.

Page 466, line 2. Change “Consequently” to “Since $y_i X - x_i Y$ is irreducible in $K[X, Y]$, the principal ideal $(y_i X - x_i Y)$ is prime, and Corollary 7.2 of the Nullstellensatz shows that”.

Page 492, line –5. In the display in the example, change the term “ $2XY$ ” to “ $2XY^2$ ”.

Page 500, line –6. A set of parentheses is missing around the rightmost f_j in the middle member of the displayed inequalities. Change the middle member so that it reads “ $\max(\text{LM}(a_j f_j), \text{LM}(\text{LT}(p)/\text{LT}(f_j))\text{LM}(f_j))$ ”.

Page 501, lines 1–2. Replace “Since $\text{LM}(p) = \text{LM}(\text{LT}(p))$, Proposition 8.18 shows that $\text{LM}(p)$ strictly decreases” by “Since $\text{LT}(p) = \text{LT}(\text{LT}(p))$, Proposition 8.18 shows that $\text{LM}(p - \text{LT}(p)) < \text{LM}(p)$, and thus $\text{LM}(p)$ strictly decreases”.

Page 501, line –10. Change “ $\text{LM}(I)$ ” to “ $\text{LT}(I)$ ”.

Page 504, line –1. Change “ $\sum_{j,k}$ ” on the right side to “ $\sum_{j < k}$ ”.

Page 506, line –10 of text. Change “8.23c” to “8.23b”.

Page 508, line 2 of the remark with Lemma 8.27. Change “ $j \geq 1$ ” to “ $j \geq 2$ ”.

Page 509, lines –14 and –13. Change “We conclude that $k = i$ and that $\text{LM}(h_i) = \text{LM}(g_i - h_i)$ ” to “We conclude that $k = i$. The divisibility in (*) then implies that $\text{LM}(h_i) \leq \text{LM}(g_i - h_i)$.”

Page 510, second display. Change “=” to “ \geq ”.

Page 512, line –4. Change “ $a_i f_i$ ” to “ $a_i g_i$ ”.

Page 513, line 4. In the second term of the right member of the displayed equality, change the numerator of the fraction from “ $\text{LCM}(\text{LM}(g_k), \text{LM}(g_k))$ ” to “ $\text{LCM}(\text{LM}(g_j), \text{LM}(g_k))$ ”.

Page 513, lines 5–6. Change “since the monomial ordering is of k -elimination type” to “since g_1, \dots, g_t by construction are all in $K[X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n]$ ”.

Page 513, line –15. Change “4th” to “3rd”.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Arjun Sudan, who communicated most of the corrections above.